Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) Growth and Yield Responses to Yara Fertilizer Formulations in Rain-fed Lowland Condition

Mawuli Korsi Amenyogbe [a*] and Israel Kwame Dzomeku [b]

[a] *School of Horticulture and Landscape Architecture, Yangtze University, 1 Nanhuan Road, Jingzhou Hubei 434023, P.R. China.*
[b] *Agronomy Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Food and Consumer Sciences, University for Development Studies, P. O. Box TL 1350, Tamale, Ghana.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

This experiment evaluated the effects of Unik-15 (15N + 15P + 15K) and Actyva (23N + 10P + 5K+ 2MgO+ 3S + 0.3Zn), likewise Amidas(40N+5.6S) and urea (46N) on rice growth and yield under rain-fed lowland condition. The study was a single factor trial laid out in a Randomized Complete Block (RCB) design with three replications at the University for Development Studies Experimental Field, located in Tamale, Ghana. The treatments were inorganic fertilizer combinations with a control (T**1** = UNIK- 15 at 125 kg ha^{-1} + AMI at 125 kg ha^{-1}, T**2** = ACT at 125 kg ha^{-1} +AMI at 125 kg ha^{-1}; T**3** = UNIK-15 at 125 kg ha^{-1} + URE at 125 kg ha^{-1}, and T**4** = ACT at 125 kg ha^{-1} +URE at 125 kg ha^{-1}). The Growth and yield traits measured were tiller number, plant height, number of grains panicle^{-1}, panicle length, grain yield, and 1000-grain weight. The results showed that the fertilizer

_____

Corresponding author: E-mail: mk.amenyogbe@gmail.com;

treatments significantly (P<.05) influenced growth and yield parameters. The application of UNIK-15 at 125 kg ha^{-1}+URE at 125 kg ha^{-1} resulted in the tallest plant (115.26 cm), longest panicle (29.30 cm), highest grain yield (6,074 kg ha^{-1}) and maximum 1000-grain weight (23.72 g). The number of tillers were increased by 53, 66, 74 and 81% for ACT+AMI < UNIK-15+AMI < ACT+URE < UNIK- 15+URE over the control, respectively. Similarly, ACT+AMI < ACT+URE < UNIK-15+AMI < UNIK-15+URE produced more grains panicle^{-1} than the control, increasing it by 46, 51, 78, and 91%, respectively. Likewise, the grain yield was increased by 99, 132, and 178%, respectively, compared to the control, by ACT+AMI < ACT+URE < UNIK-15+AMI. Grain yield positively correlated with effective tiller count (*r=0.53**), number of grains per panicle (*r=0.90****), and 1000-grain weight (*r=0.80***). Therefore, the application of UNIK-15 at 125 kg ha^{-1} + URE at 125 kg ha^{-1} is recommended for rice production under lowland condition.

1. INTRODUCTION

Limits on crop output are mainly determined by many complex interacting factors such as pests and disease, climate, farmer's resources, and soil fertility [1]. Declining soil fertility is the major contributor to lessening agricultural productivity in rice growing ecosystems in Sub-Saharan Africa [2]. In Ghana, continuous land use has resulted in a rapid breakdown of soil organic matter and consequent loss of soil fertility [3]. The constant cropping on the same soils without suitable fallow periods, ensuing in infertile soils, needs to be restored in an adequate and right proportion [4,5].

Low nitrogen (N) use continues to be a problem in wetland rice situation as nitrogen is subjected to numerous transformation losses. The optimal use of N can be attained by supplying the right quantity of crop demand. Nitrogen efficiency of crops can also be enhanced by using good agronomic management practices such as N fertilizers in adequate amount, form, time, and methods of application [6]. In a water-logged paddy field, ammonium (NH4+) tends to be considered the main source of N for rice as an alternative for nitrate (NO3-) [7,8]. However, researchers have paid critical attention to the fractional nitrate (NO3-) nutrition of rice crops, and their results have shown that lowland rice was very efficient in absorbing nitrate (NO3-) formed by nitrification in the rhizosphere [9,10].

The absence of micronutrients is counted as one of the major causes of unproductively trends in rice growing ecosystem because micronutrients applications are as important as macronutrients [11,12]. In the presence of micronutrient deficiency, it is impossible for plants to gain maximum benefit from NPK fertilizer application [13]. Micronutrients are needed in small amounts but their sufficient supply improves nutrient availability, which positively affects cell physiology and translates into the maximum yield of the crop [14,15,16]. NPK fertilizers are extensively applied by farmers in Ghana, but the application of micronutrients such as zinc (Zn), copper (Cu), manganese (Mn), and boron (B) is not a common practice [17,18,19]. This practice may be limiting the amount of secondary and micronutrients that can be added to increase rice yield. It has been suggested that the addition of micronutrients like boron and zinc, as well as secondary nutrient like sulphur (S) to fertilizer blends could significantly boost rice yields [20]. Moreover, the combination of unik-15 and Actyva promoted rice growth and yield performance [21] As a result, studying the growth and yield increase of fertilization through the use of secondary and micronutrient elements in fertilizer formulations is necessary.

For the production of cereal crops, Yara Ghana has introduced several standard fertilizer formulations [22], including Unik-15 (15N + 15P + 15K), Actyva (23N + 10P + 5K+ 2MgO+ 3S + 0.3Zn), and Amidas (40N+5.6S). To make recommendations to the resource-poor farmers to optimize rice production under lowland condition, it is essential to compare the relative productivity of these fertilizer types. Therefore, this study was conducted, to evaluate the performance of Unik-15 and Actyva, likewise urea and Amidas as N sources on growth components and yield of rice under lowland condition.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Location

The experiment was conducted at the Experimental Field of the University for

Development Studies (Nyankpala). Nyankpala is in the Tolon district of the Northern region of Ghana about 16 km South – West of Tamale. It lies within the Guinea savannah zone of Ghana with an altitude of about 183 m above sea level and located on longitude 0 ° 58 °W and 9 ° 25 °N. The study area experiences a unimodal annual rainfall of about 1000 –12000 mm, unevenly distributed from April to November. Temperature distribution is uniform with mean monthly minimum and maximum values of 21 and 32 °C, respectively. The minimum and maximum relative humidity are 53 and 80%, respectively [23].

2.2 Experimental Design and Treatments

The experiment was a single factor study laid out in a Randomized Complete Block (RCB) design with five treatments and three replications. A plot size of 10 m x 10 m with 1m between plots and 2m between blocks were used. Plots were developed in a properly prepared soil bond to contain water to ensure better distribution of fertilizer in the root zone soil and prevent loss of nutrients by run-off. A planting space of 20 cm x 20 cm intra and inter rows were used, respectively, to plant two seeds of "Gbewaa" (Jasmin-85) variety per hill to a depth of 5 cm at a seeding rate of 50 kg ha^{-1}. There were three application timings of fertilizers. The first application was at 7 DAP, and the second and third applications were at tillering and panicle initiation, respectively. The application was done employing deep placement method for better distribution of fertilizer in the root zone soil to prevent loss of nutrients by run-off. Actyva and unik-15 were applied at the rate of 125 kg ha^{-1} to each plot at 7 and 28 DAP, and this procedure was similar for Amidas and urea at 50 DAP (Table 1). Table 2 contains the nutrient composition of the various fertilizers.

2.3 Soil Analysis

Soil sample collected at 0-20 cm depth was air-dried at room temperature, ground and passed through a 2mm sieve. Using soil: water ratio of 1:2.5, soil pH was measured [24]. The method of Nelson and Sommers [25] was used to measure total carbon (TC), and total nitrogen (TN) by the micro-Kjeldahl method [26, 25]. With Bray and Kurtz [27] method, available phosphorus (AP), and exchangeable cations (Ca^{2+}, Mg^{2+}, and K^{+}.) were extracted and determined by atomic absorption spectrometry [28], using a 1.0M ammonium acetate solution. Potassium content in the extract was determined by flame photometry while calcium and magnesium were by atomic absorption spectrophotometry determined. By the pipette method of Gee and Bauder [29], soil texture was determined.

2.4 Agronomic Data Collection and Analysis

2.4.1 Growth characteristics

Data were collected two weeks after planting, and at two weeks interval until harvesting. There were seven sampling periods. Five plants were randomly sampled per plot and tagged for; number of tillers (4 × 4 hill), plant height and panicle length.

Table 1. Fertilizer regimes used in the study

	Application Timings		
	7DAP	**Tillering**	**Panicle initiation**
T1	UNIK-15 at 125 kg ha^{-1}	UNIK-15 at 125 kg ha^{-1}	AMI at 125 kg ha^{-1}
T2	ACT at 125 kg ha^{-1}	ACT. at 125 kg ha^{-1}	AMI at 125 kg ha^{-1}
T3	UNIK-15 at 125 kg ha^{-1}	UNIK-15 at 125 kg ha^{-1}	URE at 125 kg ha^{-1}
T4	ACT at 125 kg ha^{-1}	ACT at 125 kg ha^{-1}	URE at 125 kg ha^{-1}
T5	Control		

Table 2. Nutrient composition of the fertilizers used in the study

Fertilizer type	Nutrient composition (%)					
	N	**P_2O5**	**K_2O**	**MgO**	**SO_3**	**Zn**
UNIK -15	15	15	15	0	0	0
ACTYVA	23	10	5	2	3	0.3
AMIDAS	40	0	0	0	5.6	0
UREA	46	0	0	0	0	0

2.4.2 Yield characteristics

Number of grains per panicle was computed, and the weight of 1000-grains was determined using an electronic balance. Stover weight and harvest index were determined. Grain yield was computed at 14% moisture level according to ASTM [30] method (Equations 1 & 2)

$$[\ MC_{(ad)} = \frac{(100-MC)}{86}] \tag{1}$$

$$[GY(kg\ ha^{-1}\ ) = \frac{G.Y(PP)\times 10,000m^2 \times MC(ad)}{9m^2}] \tag{2}$$

Where:
[GY $_{(PP)}$ = Grain yield per net plot (kg)];
[MC $_{(ad)}$ = Adjusted moisture content].

2.4.3 Statistical data analysis

Data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the GenStat statistical package. Means were separated using the Least Significant Difference (LSD) at 5% level of probability. The correlation and regression analyses between the growth and yield parameters were run, and Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) was used to determine the significant differences among the treatments. Results were represented in tables and figures.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Soil Analysis

The results of soil analysis revealed that the soil was slightly acidic with a pH of 5.50. The levels of organic carbon and nitrogen were low, thus; 1.11 and 0.02 %, respectively (Table 3). Phosphorus and potassium levels of 6.70 mg kg^{-1} and 34 cmol (+) kg^{-1} were moderate. Calcium and magnesium levels were low, thus; 1.85 cmol (+) kg- 1 and 0.76 cmol (+) kg^{-1}, respectively. The soil texture was sandy loam.

3.2 Plant Height at Maturity

The fertilizer treatments influenced plant height significantly (P=.05). The tallest plant of 115.26 cm was obtained with the application of UNIK-15 at 125 kg ha^{-1}+URE at 125 kg ha^{-1} (Fig. 1). Plants treated with ACT at 125 kg ha^{-1}+URE at 125 kg ha^{-1} supported 89.23 cm height, which was statistically not different from the 88.76 and 88.54 cm heights of ACT at 125 kg ha^{-1} +AMI at

125 kg ha^{-1}, and UNIK-15 at 125 kg ha^{-1} + AMI at 125 kg ha^{-1}, respectively. The control gave the least height of 62.70 cm.

3.3 Tiller Count

Tiller number was significantly (P=.05) influenced by the fertilizer treatments. At 42, 56, and 70 DAP, the highest tiller numbers of 28, 36 and 38 were observed, respectively, in plants that received UNIK-15 at 125 kg ha^{-1} + URE at 125 kg ha^{-1} (Fig. 2). The minimum tillers of 13, 17, and 21 were recorded for plants in the control plots. At 70 DAP, tiller number increased by 53, 66, 74 and 81% for ACT+AMI < UNIK-15+AMI< ACT+URE < UNIK-15+ URE over the control, respectively.

3.4 Number of Grains per Panicle

There was a significant (P =.05) difference among the fertilizer treatments. The application of UNIK-15 at 125 kg ha^{-1}+URE at 125 kg ha^{-1} promoted the maximum grains panicle^{-1} of 153, followed by 142 grains panicle^{-1} result obtained by UNIK-15 at 125 kg ha^{-1}+AMI at 125 kg ha^{-1} (Fig. 3). Plants in the control plots produced the minimum grains of 80 panicle-1. Compared to the control, ACT+AMI < ACT+URE < UNIK-15+AMI < UNIK-15+URE increased the number of grains by 46, 51,78 and 91%, respectively.

3.5 Grain Yield

Grain yield was significantly (P=.05) affected by the fertilizer treatments. The application of UNIK-15 at 125 kg ha^{-1}+URE at 125 kg ha^{-1} resulted in the maximum grain yield of 6,074 kg ha^{-1} whilst UNIK-15 at 125 kg ha^{-1} +AMI at 125 kg ha^{-1} supported 5,070 kg ha^{-1} result (Fig. 4). The minimum grain yield of 1,824 kg ha^{-1} was produced by the control. Increased performance of the fertilizer treatments over the control are in the following order of 99, 132, 178 and 233% for ACT+AMI < ACT+URE < UNIK- 15+AMI < UNIK-15 + URE, respectively.

3.6 1000 -Grain Weight

The fertilizer treatments influenced significantly (P<.05) 1000-grain weight. The maximum grain weight of 23.72 g was achieved with the application of UNIK-15 at 125 kg ha^{-1}+URE at 125 kg ha^{-1} (Fig. 5). Moreover, the results (21.98 and 21.88 g) of UNIK-15 at 125 kg ha^{-1}+AMI at 125 kg ha^{-1}, and ACT at 125 kg ha^{-1}+URE at 125

kg ha^{-1} were not statistically different. The control at the other hand gave the lowest grain weight of 17.50 g.

3.7 Panicle Length

Panicle length was significantly (P<.001) affected by the fertilizer treatments. The application of UNIK-15 at 125 kg ha^{-1}+URE at 125 kg ha^{-1} resulted in the longest panicle length of 29.30 cm, whilst the control obtained the minimum length of 18.40 cm (Table 4). Panicle length increased by 22, 31, 44 and 59% for ACT+URE

< ACT+AMI < UNIK-15+AMI < UNIK-15+URE over the control, respectively.

3.8 Harvest Index

The difference in the fertilizer treatments was significant (P<.05). The application of UNIK-15 at 125 kg ha^{-1} + URE at 125 kg ha^{-1} promoted the highest HI of 0.46, whilst the control produced 0.27 minimum value (Table 4). Compared to the control, ACT+AMI < ACT+URE < UNIK-15+AMI < UNIK-15+URE increased HI by 15, 22,48 and 70%, respectively.

Table 3. Physical and chemical properties of the soil before planting at the depth of 0-20 cm

| Properties	Unit	Value
pH 1:2.5		5.50
Organic Carbon	%	1.11
Total Nitrogen (TN)	%	0.02
Available Phosphorus (P)	mg kg-1	6.70
Exchangeable Calcium (Ca2+)	cmol (+) kg-1	1.85
Exchangeable Potassium (K+)	cmol (+) kg-1	34.00
Exchangeable Magnesium (Mg2+)	cmol (+) kg-1	0.76
Clay	%	17
Silt	%	36
Sand	%	47

Fig. 1. Plant height at harvest (cm) as influenced by the fertilizer treatments || Means followed by similar letter (s) are not significantly different || Bars = Standard error of means ||
Where: T1= UNIK-15 + AMI, T2 = ACT +AMI; T3 = UNIK15 + URE, T4 = ACT +URE, T5 = Control

Table 4. Panicle length and harvest index as influenced by the fertilizer treatments

Treatments	Panicle length (cm)	Harvest index
UNIK-15 + AMI	26.60**b**	0.40**ab**
ACT +AMI	24.10**c**	0.31**bc**
UNIK-15 + URE	29.30**a**	0.46a
ACT +URE	22.40**c**	0.33**bc**
control	18.40**d**	0.27**c**
LSD (5%)	2.09	0.11
Grand Mean	24.16	0.35
CV (%)	11.50	14.20

Means followed by the same letter (s) in a column are not statistically different according to Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT) at 5% level of probability || LSD = Least significance difference. || CV = Coefficient of variation

Fig. 2. Tiller number (4x4 hill) as influenced by the fertilizer treatments || Bars = Standard error of means || Treatments as Fig. 1


Fig. 3. Number of grains per panicle as influenced by fertilizer treatments|| Means followed by similar letter (s) are not significantly different || Bars = Standard error of means || Treatments as Fig. 1


Fig. 4. Grain yield (kg ha^{-1}) as influenced by the fertilizer treatments. Means followed by similar letter (s) are not significantly different || Bars = Standard error of means || Treatments as Fig. 1

Fig. 5. 1000 -grain weight as influenced by the fertilizer treatments || Means followed by similar letter (s) are not significantly different || Bars = Standard error of means || Treatments as Fig. 1

Table 5. Linear correlation coefficients (r) of agronomic parameters

Parameter	ET	GP	1000- GW	GY
ET	----			
GP	0.33	----		
1000-GW	0.80**	0.70*	----	
GY	0.53*	0.90***	0.80**	-----

*Significant (P < 0.05), * Significant (P < 0.01), ** Significant (P< 0.001) ***, Values without asterisk (s) have no significant linear correlation. GY= Grain yield || ET = Effective tiller || GW = Grain weight || GP = Grains panicle⁻¹*

3.9 Correlation Analysis of Growth and Yield Parameters

The correlation analysis indicated that most of the growth parameters and grain yield had positive relationship (Table 5). Grain yield positively correlated with effective tiller count (r=0.53*), number of grains per panicle (r=0.90***) and 1000-grain weight (r=0.80**).

3.10 Regression Analysis of Growth and Yield Parameters

The analysis revealed that; 1000-grain weight accounted for 61% in grain yield, whilst the number of grains per panicle accounted for 75% in grain yield (Fig. 6b & c)

4. DISCUSSION

According to the soil analysis, the soil's low levels of nitrogen and soil organic carbon may be the result of the soil's protracted anaerobic condition. Nitrogen reactions in wetlands could undergo several transformations through nitrification, denitrification, ammonia volatilization, leaching, and runoff [31, 32]. These mechanisms might have facilitated in lowering the concentrations of inorganic N in the water column. During the decomposition of organic matter, a sizeable percentage of the dissolved organic N utilized by plants could be recycled into the water column. The significant amount of this dissolved organic N, however, might also be resilient to breakdown. This observation agrees with the finding of [21] who observed the same under wetland condition. Under these conditions, water leaving wetlands may contain high levels of N in organic form.

The highest height obtained by UNIK-15 at 125 kg ha⁻¹+URE at 125 kg ha⁻¹ could be attributed to the adequate N provision and its efficiency in enhancing crop metabolism. Fertilizer N efficiency in lowland rice can be enhanced by right application timing and placement to correspond with crop peak requirements [33, 6]. The higher nitrogen supply from urea (46% N) over Amidas (40% N), possibly increased plants physiological processes, and the underlying cause of the higher performance of UNIK-15+URE and ACT+URE over UNIK-15+ AMI and ACT+AMI. This is in addition to the plant root nutrient interception factor. This condition most likely increased leaf area, resulting in increased photo -assimilates and growth.

**Fig. 6a. Relationship between panicle length and grains per panicle**

**Fig. 6b. Relationship between grain yield and 1000- grain weight**

Fig. 6c. Relationship between grains per panicle and grain yield

Tiller number increased significantly within 42 to 52 DAP and thereafter started to degenerate due to translocation of dry matter from vegetative organs to sink with the various fertilizer treatments. The adequate N provision from fertilization could have played an important function in cell division, as well as early profuse root system development and nutrient interception, which promoted tillering. Compound fertilizer formulation that incorporates micronutrients offers some advantages including supplying crops with the vital nutrients they need to grow vigorously and resist disease [34, 35]. The sulfur-content of both Actyva and Amidas compounds could function as signaling molecules in the regulation of biotic and abiotic stresses and promoted plant physiological performance. Similarly, the zinc nutrient provision from Actyva may have stimulated enzymatic activity and auxin metabolism, both of which influence plant development. Moreover, tiller primordium development was likely influenced by N, P, and K content in leaves and sheaths, and tiller number increased with sheath N concentration [32, 36]. The base-dressing of N supply as nitrate (NO_3-) from Actyva fertilizer could have been transported to the anaerobic zone and lost by nitrification. This effect could explain why UNIK-15+URE outperformed ACT+URE despite the fact that urea was utilized for top-dressing in both treatments. This conclusion concurs with the finding of [37, 21], who suggested that the base-dressing of N should not be applied as nitrate (NO_3-). For top-dressing, however, nitrate (NO_3-) and ammonium (NH_4^+) forms may be utilized for growing plants since established rice can efficiently and rapidly use the applied nitrate (NO_3-) before it is leached to the anaerobic soil zone and then gets denitrified.

Number of grains per panicle is influenced significantly under adequate N content in rice production. From this study, at the internode elongation (green ring) through the start of head establishment, nitrogen was made available in a timely and appropriate quantity to promote the maximum number of grains. The effect of main nutrients, particularly N, on this yield attribute may be attributed mostly to assimilate build-up, which increased N supply of photosynthates to grains [38-40]. In the same way, the sufficient N dose may have positively influenced some critical physiological processes, resulting in a considerable increase in spikelet number per panicle. The micro-nutrients combination possibly promoted biological process of

protection of structural and functional integrity of physiological membranes, which enhanced grain filling.

Grain yield is determined in part by the number of ears per unit area and the number of ripe grains per ear. The effect of Potassium could primarily have regulated photosynthesis and assimilates transport, which was beneficial in increasing grain number and grain weight [41, 42].

The effect of increase in panicle length is an N-fold increase in spikelet number [43,44]. Similarly, proper nutrition availability and use may have enhanced panicle development in this study.

The harvest index reflects the level of efficiency with which plants use nitrogen for grain development [31]. Through its impact on plant height, tillers, panicles, and grain output, soil amendment has distinct consequences on the growth and yield attributes of plants. The trends in the results, particularly UNIK + URE showed that there was higher effective partition of photosynthetic products to economic yields [45].

5. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDA-TIONS

This study was conducted to evaluate the performance of Unik-15 and Actyva, likewise urea and Amidas as N sources on growth components and yield of rice under lowland condition. The application of UNIK-15 at 125 kg ha^{-1}+URE at 125 kg ha^{-1} resulted in the tallest plant (115.26 cm), longest panicle (29.30 cm), best grain yield (6,074 kg ha^{-1}) and maximum 1000-grain weight (23.72 g). Therefore, the application of UNIK-15 at 125 kg ha^{-1}+URE at 125 kg ha^{-1} is recommended for rice production under lowland condition.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Ngoune Liliane T, Shelton Charles M. Factors affecting yield of crops. Agronomy - Climate Change and Food Security; 2020.
DOI: 10.5772/intechopen.90672

2. Abe S, Buri MM. Issaka RN, Kiepe P, Wakatsuki T. Soil fertility potential for rice production in West African lowlands. JARQ, 44, 343-355 Guinea Savanna Voltaian Basin of Ghana. Agric. Food Sci. J. Ghana. 2010; 6:445-458.

3. Mutegi EM, Kung'u JB, Muna M, Pieter P, Mugendi DN. Complementary effects of organic and mineral fertilizers on maize production in the smallholder farms of Meru South District, Kenya. In: Agricultural Sciences. 2012;3(2):221-229.

4. Mehmet Tuğrul K. Soil management in sustainable agriculture. Sustainable Crop Production; 2020.
DOI: 10.5772/intechopen.88319

5. Cox WJ, Reisenauer HM. Growth and ion uptake by wheat supplied nitrogen as nitrate, or ammonium, or both. Plant and Soil. 1973; 38:363–380.

6. Shrestha J, Karki TB, Hossain MA. Application of nitrogenous fertilizer in rice production: A review. Journal of Nepal Agricultural Research Council. 2022;8:16–26.
DOI:https://doi.org/10.3126/jnarc.v8i.44815

7. Holzschuh, Marquel, Bohnen, Humberto, Anghinoni, Ibanor, Meurer, Egon, Carmona, Felipe & Costa SEVGA. Rice growth as affected by combined ammonium and nitrate supply. Revista Brasileira de Ciencia do Solo. 2009; 33:1323-1331.

8. Holzschuh, Marquel, Bohnen, Humberto, Anghinoni, Ibanor, Meurer, Egon, Carmona, Felipe, Costa SEVGA. Rice growth as affected by combined ammonium and nitrate supply. Revista Brasileira de Ciencia do Solo. 2009; 33:1323-1331

9. Duan YH, Yin XM, Zhang YL, Shen QR. Mechanisms of nitrate enhancement on growth and nitrogen uptake by rice. Pedosphere. 2007; 17:697-705.

10. Duan YH, Zhang YL, Shen QR, Wang SW. Nitrate effect on rice growth and nitrogen absorption and assimilation at different growth stages. Pedosphere. 2006;16:707–717.

11. IITA. Selected Methods for Soil and Plant Analysis. Manual Series No. 1. IITA, Ibadan, Nigeria; 1979.

12. Mboyerwa PA, Kibebew K, Mtakwa P, Aschalew A. Lowering nitrogen rates under the system of rice intensification enhanced rice productivity and nitrogen use efficiency in irrigated lowland rice. Heliyon. 2022;(8)3:2405-8440.
DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e09140.

13. Monreal C, Derosa M, Mallubhotla S, Bindraban PS, Dimkpa C. Nanotechnologies for increasing the crop use efficiency of fertilizer- micronutrients. Journal of Biology and Fertility of Soils. 2016;52.
DOI:10.1007/s00374-015-1073-5.

14. Kihara J, Nziguheba J, Huising G, Waswa BS, Njoroge S, Kabambe V. Maize response to macronutrients and potential for profitability in sub- Saharan Africa. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystem. 2016;105(3):171–181.

15. Somani LL. Micronutrient for soil and plant health. Agrotech Publishing Academy. 2008;14-74

16. Chen K, Yu S, Ma T, Ding J, He P, Dai Y, Zeng G. Effects of water and nitrogen management on water productivity, nitrogen use efficiency and leaching loss in rice paddies water. 2022;4(10):1596.
DOI:https://doi.org/10.3390/w14101596

17. Kihara J, Bolo P, Kinyua M, Rurinda J, Piikki K. Micronutrient deficiencies in African soils and the human nutritional nexus: opportunities with staple crops. Journal of Environmental Geochemistry and Health. 2020;42(9):3015–3033.

18. Yousaf A, Khalid N, Aqeel M, Noman A, Naeem N, Sarfraz W, Ejaz U, Qaiser Z, Khalid A. Nitrogen dynamics in wetland systems and its impact on biodiversity. Nitrogen Journal. 2021;2(2):196-217.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/nitrogen2020013

19. Raman DR, Spanswick RM, Walker LP, LP. The kinetics of nitrate uptake from flowing nutrient solutions by rice" influence of pre-treatment and light. Bioresource Technology. 1995; 53:125–132.

20. Van Reeuwijk LP. Procedures for soil analysis. 3rd edition, international soil. Reference and Information Center (ISRIC), Wageningen. 1992;34.

21. Haruna YA. Effects of Yara fertilizers on the performance of rice as influenced by

NPK application timing in the Guinea savannah; 2019.
Available: http 94

22. Moe K, Htwe AZ, Thu TTP, Kajihara Y, Yamakawa T. Effects on NPK status, growth, dry matter and yield of rice (*Oryza sativa*) by organic fertilizers applied in field condition. Agriculture J. 2019;9(5): 109.
DOI:https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture9050109

23. SARI. Council for Scientific and Industrial Research, Savanna Agricultural Research Institute (SARI) annual report; 2014.
Available: http center/publications-2/annual-report/

24. Fageria NK, Baligar VC. Enhancing nitrogen use efficiency in crop plants. Advances in Agronomy. 2005; 88:97–185.

25. Nelson DW, Sommer LE. Total carbon, organic carbon and organic matter. Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2. Chemical and Microbiological Properties, 2nd Edition. 1982;595-579.

26. Bremner JM. Total nitrogen, In Black, C.A. (ed.) Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2 Chemical and Microbiological Properties. 1965;1149-1178.

27. Bray RH, Kurtz LT. Determination of total, organic and available forms of phosphorus in soils. Soil Science. 1945; 59:39-46,.

28. Thomas, GW. Exchangeable cations, A.L. Page (Ed.), Methods of Soil Analysis, American Society of Agronomy. 1982;159–165.

29. Gee GW, Bauder JW. Particle size analysis. In methods of soil analysis Part 1: Physical and Mineralogical Methods: 383-411.

30. Njoroge R, Abigael N. Otinga, John R. Okalebo, Mary Pepela, Roel Merckx. Maize (*Zea mays* L.) response to secondary and micronutrients for profitable N, P and K fertilizer use in poorly responsive soils. Agronomy. 2018;8(4):49-59.

31. Anas M, Liao F, Verma KK. Fate of nitrogen in agriculture and environment: agronomic, eco-physiological and molecular approaches to improve nitrogen use efficiency. Biol Res. 2020;53:47.
DOI:https://doi.org/10.1186/s40659-020-00312-4

32. Mahmud K, Panday D, Mergoum A, Missaoui A. Nitrogen losses and potential mitigation strategies for a sustainable agroecosystem. Sustainability. 2021;13(4):2400.
DOI:https://doi.org/10.3390/su13042400

33. Wang B, Zhou G, Guo S, Li X, Yuan J, Hu A. Improving nitrogen use efficiency in rice for sustainable agriculture: strategies and future perspectives. Life. 2022;12(10):1653.
DOI:https://doi.org/10.3390/life12101653

34. Gustomo G, Mulyadi M, Premono E. The effects of micronutirents on growth and yield of lowland rice grown on typic Dystrudept soil. Journal of Tropical Soils. 2019;24(1):1.

35. Prakash Narayan OM, Kumar P, Yadav B, Dua M, Atul KJ. Sulfur nutrition and its role in plant growth and development. Plant Journal of Taylor & Francis online: Signaling & Behavior; 2022.
DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/15592324.2022.2

36. ASTM. Annual Book of ASTM Standards. In American Society for Testing and Materials, 100 Barr Harbor Drive; ASTM: West Conshohocken, PA, USA; 2001.
Available: HTTPs standards/oclc/2187052

37. Yara. Fertilizer Industry Handbook. 2012;91.

38. Singh U, Bindraban PS, Elmer WH, Gardea-Torresdey JL, White JC. Zinc oxide nanoparticles alleviate drought-induced alterations in sorghum performance, nutrient acquisition, and grain fortification. Science of the Total Environment. 2019; 688:926–934.
DOI:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.06.392

39. Mboyerwa PA, Kibret K, Mtakwa P, Aschalew A. Rice yield and nitrogen use efficiency with system of rice intensification and conventional management practices in Mkindo Irrigation Scheme, Tanzania. Front. Sustain. Food Syst. 2022; 6:802267.
DOI: 10.3389/fsufs.2022.802267

40. Fallah A. Interactive effects of nitrogen and irrigation methods on the growth and yield of rice in amole area. International Journal of Agriculture. 2012;3(4):111- 113.

41. Chaturvedi I. Effect of nitrogen fertilizers on growth, yield and quality of hybrid rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Journal of Central European Agriculture. 2005; 6:611-618.

42. Li, Sheng-Xiu, Wang, Zhaohui, Stewart, Bobby. Responses of Crop plants to ammonium and nitrate N; 2013.
DOI:10.1016/B978-0-12-405942-9.00005-0.

43. Gebrekidan H, Mulugeta S. Fertilizers on yield and yield components of flooded lowland rice on vertisols of fogera plain. Ethiopia: Journal of Agriculture and Rural Development in the Tropics and Subtropics. 2006;107 (2):161–176.

44. Ghoneim, Adel M, Gewaily EE, Osman, Marvet MA. Effects of nitrogen levels on growth, yield and nitrogen use efficiency of some newly released Egyptian rice genotypes. The Open; 2018. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1515/opag-2018-0034

45. Kusalkar DV, Awari VR, Pawar VY, Shinde MS. Physiological parameters in relation to grain yield in Rabi sorghum on medium soil. Adv. Pl. Sci. 2003; 16:119–2.

_____