



---

## **A Review on Integrated Weed Management in Transplanted Rice**

**M. Yakadri <sup>a</sup>, M. Sai Suvindh <sup>b</sup> and Sheri Vaishnav <sup>a\*</sup>**

<sup>a</sup> Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Rajendranagar, PJTSAU, Hyderabad-30, India.

<sup>b</sup> Department of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, College of Agriculture, Tennessee State University, Tennessee, USA.

### **Authors' contributions**

*This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

### **Article Information**

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2022/v34i2231374

### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/88901>

**Review Article**

**Received 10 May 2022**  
**Accepted 12 July 2022**  
**Published 04 August 2022**

---

### **ABSTRACT**

Rice plays a pivotal role in agrarian economy of the most of the Asian and South east Asian nations particularly India. Transplanted rice crop suffers much from biotic production constraints among which weed competition is the important one. The reduction in paddy yield ranges from 9-51 per cent due to infestation of wide range of weed species viz. grasses, sedges and broadleaved weeds. The severity of yield loss is aggravated further when weed infestation coincides with critical period of rice growth depriving it from moisture, nutrients, sunlight and acting as alternate host to some pests and diseases. The cost of weed management in transplanted rice is higher than other operations due to exorbitant wage rate combining with low efficiency moreover due to scarcity of labour during peak period, weeding operation has become a cumbersome, time consuming and costly affair for rice farmers. Therefore, herbicide technology offers a mutual inclusive method of selective and economical control of weeds right from the beginning and forms a major component of integrated weed management of rice farming for reaping bumper yield.

**Keywords:** *Transplanted rice; biotic stress; herbicides; integrated weed management.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is one of the most important food crops in the world, forms the staple diet of billions of people and provides 70% direct employment to the rural India. To maintain the food security of Asia, its cultivation is crucial as more than 90% of rice production and consumption is in this continent. Rice contributes 32-59% of the dietary energy and 25-44% of the dietary protein in 39 countries [1]. The projected demand for rice is to be increased by 70% in next 30 years to maintain present per capita availability (69 kg/annum) considering the productivity of land constant. Rice production symbolizes the single largest land use for food production on earth and worldwide rice is grown on 164 million ha with an annual production of about 750 million tonnes of paddy. To meet the global rice demand, it is estimated that about 114 million tonnes of additional milled rice needs to be produced by 2035 and therefore, meeting ever increasing rice demand in a sustainable way with shrinking natural resources is a great challenge.

India is the second largest producer of rice after China. The area, production and productivity of rice in India are 45.76 million hectares, 124.36 million tonnes and 2717 kg/ha respectively [2], 2021. In India, major rice growing states are West Bengal, Punjab, Uttar Pradesh, Tamil Nadu, Andhra Pradesh, Telangana, Bihar, Odisha.

Most significant biological constraint in rice production is weed infestation. Manual weeding is not preferred now a days because of labour scarcity at critical time of weeding and increasing labour costs and is not much effective in controlling the weeds. Weed management using herbicides has become an integral part of modern agriculture. Herbicides have greater flexibility of operation and are often cost effective compared to any other method of weed management. New generation herbicides which are applied at very low doses are more effective with low mammalian toxicity and reduced risk of environmental pollution. Several new generation pre-emergence herbicides alone or supplemented with mechanical weeding have been reported to provide a fair degree of weed control.

## 2. WEED SPECTRUM ASSOCIATED WITH TRANSPLANTED RICE

Sangeetha et al. [3] reported that the dominant weed flora in experimental field at Coimbatore in

clay loam soils were *Echinochloa colonum* (L.) Link (Poaceae), *Cyperus difformis* L. (Cyperaceae), *Eclipta alba* (L.) Hassk. (Asteraceae), *Marsilea quadrifolia* L. (Marsileaceae), and *Ammannia baccifera* L. (Lythraceae). From Haryana, Kumar et al. [4] reported that *Echinochloa colonum*, *Echinochloa crus-galli* (L.) P. Beauv., *Ammannia baccifera*, *Ludwigia parviflora* Roxb. (Onagraceae), *Lindernia* spp. (Linderniaceae), *Marsilea quadrifolia*, *Cyperus iria* L., and *Cyperus difformis* were dominated species in clay loam soils. In silty loam soils of Uttarakhand, the predominant weed flora observed were *Echinochloa colonum*, *E. crusgalli*, *Ischaemum rugosum* Salisb. (Poaceae), *Caesulia axillaris* Roxb. (Asteraceae), *Ammannia baccifera*, *Alternanthera sessilis* (L.) R. Br. ex DC. (Amaranthaceae), and *Cyperus iria* [5]. The grasses, sedges and broad leaf weeds in weedy check plot were 20, 32 and 48% respectively. The weed species noticed were *Cynodon dactylon* (L.) Pers. (Poaceae), *Cyperus rotundus* L., *Cyperus iria*, *Cyperus difformis*, *Digitaria sanguinalis* (L.) Scop.(Poaceae), *Fimbristylis miliacea* (L.) Vahl (Cyperaceae), *Eclipta alba*, *Echinochloa colonum*, *Echinochloa crusgalli*, *Marsilea quadrifolia*, *Eleusine indica* (L.) Gaertn. (Poaceae), *Phyllanthus niruri* L. (Phyllanthaceae), *Euphorbia hirta* L. (Euphorbiaceae), *Amaranthus viridis* L. (Amaranthaceae), and *Commelina benghalensis* L. (Commelinaceae). Emergence of broad leaf weeds was noticed earlier than of sedges and grasses at Bihar [6]. In clayey soil of Rajasthan, the major weeds observed were grasses like *Echinochloa colonum* and *Echinochloa crusgalli*, sedges like *Cyperus rotundus*, *Cyperus difformis* and *Cyperus iria* and broad-leaved weeds like *Eclipta alba* and *Ammannia baccifera* [7]. Weed survey study indicated that in South Asia, 65 species were found in deep water rice, 194 species in dry seeded rice, 559 species in transplanted rice, 558 species in upland rice and 180 species in wet seeded rice [8]. Identification of weeds is the basic step for planning sound weed management programme. Depending upon the weed species, different weed management options are given keeping in view their susceptibility. The dominant grass weed species were *Echinochloa crusgalli* and *Echinochloa colonum*, sedges were *Cyperus iria*, *C. rotundus* and *Fimbristylis miliacea* and broad-leaved weed species were *Ammannia baccifera*, *Marsilea quadrifolia* and *Potamogeton distinctus* A. Benn. (Potamogetonaceae) under puddled condition of sandy clay loam soil during rainy season [9]. The

predominant weed species at Tirupati, in Andhra Pradesh were *Echinochloa crus-galli*, *Cynodon dactylon* (L.), *Cyperus iria* and *Cyperus rotundus*, *Eclipta alba*, *Ammannia baccifera*, *Phyllanthus niruri*, and *Commelina bengalensis* [10]. In Tamil Nadu, experimental plots comprised of the following weed species *Echinochloa colonum* (26.1%) and *Echinochloa crus-galli* (21.6%) among grasses and *Cyperus rotundus* (2.9%) and *C. difformis* (4.4%) among sedges, *Sphenoclea zeylanica* Gaertn. (Sphenocleaceae) (40.3%), *Bergia capensis* L. (Elatinaceae) (2.2%), *Asteracantha longifolia* (L.) Nees (Acanthaceae) (0.8%) and *Eclipta alba* (1.4%) among broad leaved weeds in transplanted rice [11]. At Agricultural Research Station, Raichur, Ramesha et al. [12] reported that the dominant weeds like *Echinochloa* sp., *Cynodon dactylon*, *Panicum repens* L. among grasses and *Ludwigia parviflora*, *Eclipta alba* among broad leaved weeds and *Cyperus rotundus* among sedges in Direct seeded rice.

### 3. CRITICAL PERIOD OF CROP WEED COMPETITION IN TRANSPLANTED RICE

Ghosh [13] observed that 3-4 weeks after transplanting was the critical crop weed competition. A weedy situation for the first 15 days only or weed free situation for the first 60 or 75 days produced grain yields comparable with weed free conditions Muthukrishnan et al. [7]. Chinnusamy et al. [14] reported that maintaining a weed free period up to 45 days after transplanting (DAT) was essential to augment the yield of medium duration rice. Critical period for crop weed competition in rice was up to 40 DAT [15]. For higher productivity of lowland wet seeded rice as well as transplanted rice, weed free situation upto 45 days after seeded (DAS) or days after transplanting (DAT) is necessary [16]. Maintaining a weed free period up to 45 DAT was essential to realise higher yield in medium duration rice [13]. During early establishment, weeds make 20 to 30 per cent of their growth, while the crop makes 2-3 per cent of its growth [17]. The competition period up to 45 DAS had the greater impact on yield of wet seeded rice [15]. Weed competition for 30-50 days reduced the yield by 62 to 65 per cent in rice crop. Weed control after the critical period did not result in any positive effect on the growth and development of the crop [17]. There is evidence that the critical period exists during which weeds should be controlled to prevent losses [18]. Weeding frequencies had an impact on several conditions in both rice and

weeds. *E. crus-galli* dominated the field with low weeding frequencies. When *E. crus-galli* growth was suppressed, *Monochoria vaginalis* (Burm. f.) C. Presl ex Kunth (Pontederiaceae) became the dominant weed. We found that higher frequencies of weeding applied increased both the tiller number and biomass of the rice, which were 48 and 267% higher at 8 weeding frequencies (WF) than at 0WF at 110 DAT, respectively [19]. In a two-year experiment it was observed that the best transplant spacing for Super Basmati rice is 25 cm x 25 cm and critical weed competition period is 20 days after transplanting (DAT). Therefore, a weed management strategy must be employed within this period to obtain the maximum yield [20].

### 4. YIELD LOSSES DUE TO WEEDS IN TRANSPLANTED RICE

Duary et al. [21] reported that weeds are recognized as major biological constraints that hinder the attainment of optimal rice productivity. Direct yield loss has been estimated to the range from 16-86% depending on weed species and density, cropping season, duration and time of weed infestation. In severe cases the yield losses can be more than 76% depending upon the species and intensity of weeds [22]. Under transplanted condition uncontrolled weeds causes grain yield reduction upto 35-45% [23], 30-50% [24]. Research reports over past 25 years have shown yield losses due to weeds vary considerably from season to season and from year to year with an average of about 60 per cent of unweeded plots [16]. Yield reductions in transplanted rice due to weeds have been reported to be 28-45% [25]. Weeds are considered to be major constraints in achieving higher rice yield that cause a reduction of 10-90 per cent grain yield in Indian rice fields [26]. Weed-free condition at early stage of growth was found more important than at later stages for getting higher yield of rice [14]. Weeds form a serious negative factor in crop production and are accounted for a marked yield loss of 11-20% in transplanted rice [27]. Depending upon weed infestation and management practices adopted, losses in paddy yield due to weed competition may vary from 25-55 per cent under transplanted conditions [28]. Rice suffers heavily due to infestation of wide variety of weeds and the losses range between 30 and 50 per cent [29]. From an experiment on transplanted rice it was recorded that yield loss in rice due to competition with *Echinochloa colona*, *Leersia hexandra* Sw., *Cyperus iria*, *Ludwigia parviflora* and *Monochoria*

*vaginalis* was 64% compared with weed-free control [30].

## 5. NATURE OF CROP WEED COMPETITION

Weeds are self-grown and appear simultaneously with crop plant creating severe competition for nutrient, space, moisture and solar radiation resulting in low yield of crop. Grassy weeds were heavy competitors with rice crop and were followed by sedges and broad leaved weeds [31]. Deepa and Jayakumar [32] reported that the uptake of nitrogen by weeds increased and reduced the crop uptake as weed density increased and resulted in decreased yield. Weeds usually grow faster than the crop plants and absorb added nutrients more rapidly and in larger quantities than by crops and thus deprive the supply of nutrients in time to the crop plants. Weeds removed nutrients (N, P and K) eight times higher under direct seeded rice compared to that of puddled transplanting [33]. Balasubramanian and Palaniappan [34] reported that weeds remove large amount of plant nutrients from the soil. An estimate shows that weeds can deprive the crops 47 per cent N, 42 per cent P, 50 per cent K, 39 per cent Ca and 24 per cent Mg of their nutrient uptake. Nitrogen responsive crop species are more competitive under high N- fertilization, but if the associated weed is also responsive to N, it utilizes more of the applied N and no advantage in crop yield may be obtained *Echinochloa crus-galli* may remove up to 80% of nitrogen from the soil especially in the first half of growing season [35]. Islam et al. [36] from his two years of experiment in transplanted rice concluded that, In all seasons (*Kharif*, *Rabi*, *summer* and *winter* respectively), the same number of weed species was found in the trial field and barnyard grass, *E. crus-galli*, was the most dominant weed. The possible reason for the same number of weed species is that both experiments were conducted in the same experimental fields. Although the weed species were the same in the both seasons, their dominance sequence varied and the variation was mainly due to the differences of seasonal weather and microenvironmental variation.

## 6. EFFECT OF CULTURAL PRACTICES ON YIELD AND YIELD PARAMETERS OF TRANSPLANTED RICE

Higher yield attributes *viz.*, number of panicles (398 and 302 m<sup>-2</sup>) and panicle weight (3.88 and

3.90 g) was recorded in twice hand weeded plot over weedy check treatment in clay soils of Rajasthan [37] Singh et al. [38] reported more number of effective tillers (185.2 m<sup>-2</sup>), grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> (162.7) and 1000 grain weight (25 g) with hand weeded treatments and was at par with the treatments where hand hoeing was done at 20 DAT (182.9, 158.2 and 24.9) in clay loam soils of Jabalpur. Weed free condition and weed density at 250 m<sup>-2</sup> was found to be more effective to get more productive tillers and fertile grains per panicle compared to weed density at 500 to 2000 m<sup>-2</sup> [39]. Among the weed control treatments, weed free treatment recorded significantly higher No. of effective tillers and grain yield as compared to partial weedy treatment [40]. The infestation of *Echinochloa crusgalli* significantly decreased productive tillers (171 No.m<sup>-2</sup>), crop biomass (970 g m<sup>-2</sup>) and grain yield (360 g m<sup>-2</sup>) as compared to weed free plots. The *Echinochloa crusgalli* (40-120 m<sup>2</sup>) infestation showed more decrease in ear length (19.2 cm), filled grains (91 panicle<sup>-1</sup>) and 1000 grain weight (31.8 g) compared to *Echinochloa colonum* (15 plants m<sup>2</sup>) on ear length (20.4 cm), filled grains (136 panicle<sup>-1</sup>) and 1000 grain weight (33.2 g) [41]. The lower number of panicles per plant, panicle length (18.4 cm) and number of grains per panicle (85) was noticed due to suppressed crop growth [42,43].

In another study the reduction in panicle number was to the extent of 24-38% spikelets panicle<sup>-1</sup>, spikelet fertility by 6-8% and 1000 grain weight by 6-11% in rice during rainy seasons at Palampur [44]. In another study Ahmed et al. [45] also reported that plots kept weed free gave yield which was at par with those obtained with different herbicide applications. Raju and Reddy [46] reported that hand weeding twice recorded higher effective tillers m<sup>-2</sup> compared to chemical weed control. Hand weeding resulted in maximum increase in effective tillers (105.5 m<sup>-2</sup>) and number of grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> (157.8) and proved superior to the rest of the weed control treatments. Further hand weeding recorded significant increase in both grain (2401 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and straw (5229 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) yield. Mabbayed and Moody [47] reported that reduction in tiller number, panicle length, thousand grain weight and crop growth rate, delayed ripening and reduced light transmission due to weed competition in rice. Among the crop establishment techniques of rice Stale Seed Bed (ploughing and keeping fallow + paraquat application and normal transplanting) and among the weed management practices use of Cono-

Weeder at 20 and 40 Days after Transplanting (DAT) registered the lower density and dry weight of weedy rice at all the growth stages. These treatments also recorded the higher values of growth parameters, yield attributes and yield of rice, gross return, net return and B:C ratio [48].

## 7. EFFECT OF HERBICIDES ALONE ON YIELD AND YIELD PARAMETERS OF TRANSPLANTED RICE

Yoshida [49] reported that 1000 grain weight was not influenced significantly due to different weed control treatments. According to Singh et al. [50] 1000 grain weight is a stable varietal character because the grain size is rigidly controlled by the hull. Kumar et al. [3] at Haryana reported that weed free treatment resulted in significantly higher yield viz., attributes, effective tillers  $m^{-2}$ , filled grains panicle $^{-1}$ , 1000 grain weight and grain yield were influenced significantly, though at par with pretilachlor 750 g  $ha^{-1}$  (3DAT), pyrazosulfuron 20 g  $ha^{-1}$  (3 DAT) butachlor 1500 g  $ha^{-1}$  (3 DAT) and bispyribac sodium 25 and 30 g  $ha^{-1}$  (20 DAT) treatments. These treatments were also found equally effective in reducing unfilled grains per panicle.

At Pantnagar single application of pretilachlor @ 750 g  $ha^{-1}$  recorded a grain yield of 4843 kg  $ha^{-1}$  and penoxsulam @ 22.5 g  $ha^{-1}$ , resulted 5156 kg  $ha^{-1}$  (Raj et al., 2014). Similar results were registered by Veeraputhiran and Balasubramanian [51]. At Jabalpur, Singh et al. [37] reported that chlorimuron ethyl 12 g  $ha^{-1}$  recorded higher number of tillers  $m^{-2}$  (179.6), grains panicle $^{-1}$  (157.3) and 1000 grain weight (24.3 g). The control plot recorded lower effective number of tillers  $m^{-2}$  (144.2), grains panicle $^{-1}$  (93.2) and 1000 grain weight (22.2 g). Higher number of panicles  $m^{-2}$  (381 and 278) and panicle weight (3.70 and 3.80 g) were recorded with pretilachlor @ 0.750 kg a.i.  $ha^{-1}$  at 3-5 DAT over other treatments viz., pretilachlor @ 0.5 kg a.i.  $ha^{-1}$  at 3-5 DAT, bensulfuron methyl @ 0.05 kg a.i.  $ha^{-1}$  at 20-25 DAT in clay soils of Rajasthan [52]. During 2010 and 2011 higher number of panicles  $m^{-2}$  420 and 312, panicle length 24.2, 23.4 cm and grain yield of 7.14 and 6.93 t  $ha^{-1}$ , respectively were recorded by weed free plot which was at par with all the doses of bispyribac sodium (25, 35 and 50 g  $ha^{-1}$ ) application. There was 44.8 and 51.3% yield reduction under unweeded plot over weed free plot at Madurai in clay loam soils [53]. Grain yield of rice crop was higher under weed free situation

(4196 kg  $ha^{-1}$ ), and was statistically at par with metsulfuron methyl 10% + chlorimuron ethyl 10% (3900 kg  $ha^{-1}$ ) and ethoxysulfuron @ 18.75 g  $ha^{-1}$  (3873 kg  $ha^{-1}$ ) applications. All the herbicide treatments enhanced the grain yield by 50% over weedy check (2880 kg  $ha^{-1}$ ) at Jabalpur [53].

There was more than 58% reduction in the grain yield of rice due to competition with weeds in weedy plots (2805 kg  $ha^{-1}$ ). Pyrazosulfuron ethyl at 25-30 g  $ha^{-1}$  recorded less than all the doses (30, 40, 50 and 60 g  $ha^{-1}$ ) of bensulfuron methyl 6560 -6967 kg  $ha^{-1}$  at Pantnagar in clay loam soils [54]. Harvest index of paddy crop was higher under hand weeding treatment (53.7%) comparable with the treatment having application of pyrazosulfuron ethyl 42.0 g  $ha^{-1}$  (52.6%) [55]. Significantly more No. of panicles  $m^{-2}$  and grains panicle $^{-1}$  were recorded with application rate of 30.0-35.0 g a.i.  $ha^{-1}$  of azimsulfuron over pretilachlor 625 g a.i.  $ha^{-1}$  at Cuttack [56]. In an alluvial clay loam soil of Cuttack, all the herbicide treated plots gave significantly more grain yield than the weedy plots. The higher grain yield of rice (61.2 q  $ha^{-1}$ ) was obtained in weed free check and was on a par with that of triasulfuron + pretilachlor at 9 + 500 g  $ha^{-1}$ . The traditional herbicides, viz., butachlor at 1,500 g  $ha^{-1}$  and pretilachlor at both the doses (500 and 750 g  $ha^{-1}$ ) yielded significantly less than all the doses of different sulfonylurea herbicides, i.e. pyrazosulfuron ethyl, bensulfuron methyl and triasulfuron whether applied alone or as tank mixture with pretilachlor at 500 g  $ha^{-1}$  [57]. At Nadia under new alluvial zone, the higher rice grain yield (3.7 t  $ha^{-1}$ ) was recorded in hand weeded plot which was statistically at par with pyrazosulfuron ethyl applied @ 42.0 g  $ha^{-1}$  (3.3 t  $ha^{-1}$ ). Lower grain yield of rice was observed in untreated check (2.1 t  $ha^{-1}$ ) [58].

## 8. EFFECT OF SEQUENTIAL HERBICIDES APPLICATION ON YIELD AND YIELD PARAMETERS OF TRANSPLANTED RICE

Raj et al. [59] reported higher grain yield with pre emergence application of pretilachlor 750 g  $ha^{-1}$  followed by bispyribac sodium 20 g  $ha^{-1}$  (5729 kg  $ha^{-1}$ ) in silty loam soils of Pantnagar. In silty loam soils of Uttarakhand, Kabdal et al. [4] reported that the higher rice grain yield (6.74 t  $ha^{-1}$ ) was recorded in weed free plots which was at par with pre emergence application of pretilachlor at 750 g  $ha^{-1}$  followed by post-emergence application of chlorimuron ethyl + metsulfuron methyl at 4 g  $ha^{-1}$  (6.39 t  $ha^{-1}$ ). Similar results were reported by

Kumar et al. [60]. The higher grain yield was recorded in weed free ( $4.89 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ) treatment, which was statistically at par with cyhalofop butyl ( $70 \text{ g ha}^{-1}$ ) followed by 2, 4-D sodium  $800 \text{ g ha}^{-1}$  ( $4.62 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ) and penoxsulam  $25 \text{ g ha}^{-1}$  ( $4.60 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ) at Pantnagar [61]. In clay loamy soils of Jharkhand chlorimuron ethyl + metsulfuron methyl at  $4 \text{ g ha}^{-1}$  followed by 2,4-DEE @  $0.5 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  recorded yield of  $45.2 \text{ q ha}^{-1}$  was at par with two hand weeding ( $42 \text{ q ha}^{-1}$ ). Minimum grain yield of  $25.2 \text{ q ha}^{-1}$  was obtained in weedy check [56]. In another experiment Reddy et al. [62] reported that pre emergence application of bensulfuron methyl  $0.6\%$  + pretilachlor  $6.0\%$  G @  $75 + 750 \text{ g ha}^{-1}$  recorded higher rice grain yield of  $5.58$  and  $6.55 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$  and remain on par with pre emergence application of bensulfuron methyl + pretilachlor @  $60 + 600 \text{ g ha}^{-1}$  followed by PoE hand weeding ( $5.27$  and  $6.32$ ) over weedy check recording  $3.58$ ,  $5.48 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$  during 2006 and 2007, respectively in deep black clay soil of Karnataka. Sowjanya et al. [63] at Agricultural Research Station, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad recorded grain yield ( $2737 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ) and B:C ratio ( $1.64$ ) with application of pendimethalin @  $1 \text{ kg a.i ha}^{-1}$  as pre-emergence fb penoxsulam + cyhalofop-butyl ( $25 + 127 \text{ g a.i ha}^{-1}$ ) as post-emergence at 2-4 leaf stage of weeds fb mechanical weeding at 50 DAS in semi dry rice. Nagarjun et al. [64] at Main Research Station, Hebbal, Bengaluru revealed that with application of pendimethalin fb Trifamone and Ethoxysulfuron and pendimethalin ( $38.7\%$  CS) fb penoxsulam + cyhalofop butyl the grain yield was found to be  $4320 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  and  $4150 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ .

Hemalatha et al. [65] revealed that pre-emergence application of pendimethalin fb post-emergence application of metsulfuron methyl + chlorimuron ethyl registered the highest weed control efficiency (WCE) ( $89.7\%$ ) which was comparable with weed free check ( $90.6\%$ ). Ramesha et al. [66] stated that pre-emergence application of pretilachlor + pyrazosulfuron-ethyl ( $600 + 15 \text{ g/ha}$ ) and twice hand weeded check ( $20$  and  $40 \text{ DAS}$ ) recorded significantly lower grassy and broad leaved weeds with higher WCE compared to bispyribac-sodium ( $25 \text{ g ha}^{-1}$ ), penoxsulam ( $25 \text{ g ha}^{-1}$ ) and pyrazosulfuron ethyl ( $20 \text{ g ha}^{-1}$ ) application alone. Gupta and Tomar [67] analyzed that N, P and K removal by weeds in unweeded control recorded highest mainly because of higher dry matter accumulation by weeds which enabled them to absorb more nutrients in this treatment. The study conducted by Arya and Ameena [68] at college of Agriculture, Thiruvananthapuram, revealed that

with application of oxyfluorfen @  $0.15 \text{ kg a.i ha}^{-1}$  have not shown visual phytotoxicity but the crop density and growth was lower at the initial stage in semi-dry rice. Patil et al. [70] observed that application of penoxsulam + cyhalofop-butyl  $6\%$  OD @  $135 \text{ g ha}^{-1}$  at 2-4 leaf stage significantly reduced the weed density, weed dry weight and showed higher weed control efficiency under dry direct seeded rice. They also reported that the concentration of herbicide and at the moment of application are found important to reduce weed menace in rice. Application at lower concentration either @  $105$  or  $120 \text{ g ha}^{-1}$  at later part of crop growth (5-8 leaf stage of weeds) was not found to be effective.

## 9. EFFECT OF INTEGRATED WEED MANAGEMENT ON YIELD AND YIELD PARAMETERS OF TRANSPLANTED RICE

In red loamy soils early post emergence application of azimsulfuron  $30.0 \text{ g a.i. ha}^{-1}$  +  $0.2\%$  safener followed by one hand weeding at 40 DAT recorded higher grain yield ( $6834$ ,  $6683$ ,  $6102$  and  $6540 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  in summer 2008, *kharif* 2008, *kharif* 2009, respectively) and straw yield ( $7008$ ,  $7030$ ,  $6494$  and  $6844 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  in summer 2008, *kharif* 2008, *kharif* 2009, respectively) of transplanted rice at Karnataka [71]. Gnanavel and Anbhazhagen [72] reported that pre-emergence application of oxyfluorfen at  $250 \text{ g ha}^{-1}$  followed by post emergence application of bispyribac sodium at  $50 \text{ g}$  + metsulfuron methyl at  $10 \text{ g ha}^{-1}$  recorded the least weed count ( $11.0 \text{ m}^{-2}$ ) and weed dry weight production ( $114.6 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ) and higher weed control efficiency ( $90.1\%$ ) favoured high grain yield ( $5.32 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ). Chinnamuthu et al. [73] also reported that pre emergence application of pretilachlor at  $0.45 \text{ kg a.i. ha}^{-1}$  on 3 DAS + roto cylindrical weeder weeding on 45 DAS resulted in the least total weed density and weed dry weight. Pre emergence application of pretilachlor with safener + rotary weeding ( $25 \text{ DAS}$ ) + hand weeding ( $45 \text{ DAS}$ ) recorded lower weed biomass and accounted for high weed control efficiency ( $93.0\%$ ). Further Ghosh [12] reported that pre emergence application of pyrazosulfuron  $20 \text{ g ha}^{-1}$  followed by one mechanical weeding at 40 DAT recorded higher grain yield ( $3640 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ) in sandy loam soils of Ranchi. Integrated weed management approach of pre-emergence application of pretilachlor at  $0.45$  to  $0.75 \text{ kg a.i. ha}^{-1}$  followed by hand weeding at 25 to 30 DAS effectively controlled grasses, sedges, broad leaved weeds and thus minimized the nutrient

removal by weeds due to improved weed control efficiency and contributed to higher grain yield and B: C ratio [74]. Among herbicide-based IWM options, mefenacet + bensulfuron-methyl as preemergence followed by (fb) either bispyribac-sodium or penoxsulam as postemergence fb one hand-weeding were the most profitable alternatives, with reductions in labor requirement by 11 to 25 person-days ha<sup>-1</sup> [75]. Planting rice at 15 cm row spacing suppressed the weeds by 11.4–20.4% with 10.0–13.4% higher grain yield over planting at 20 cm spacing and use of hand weeding at 20 and 40 DAT, provided 78.0–84.7% weed control with 83.6– 89.1% higher grain yield followed by application of bispyribac sodium at 25 g ha<sup>-1</sup> over control. Therefore, under labour scarcity situation bispyribac sodium at 25 g ha<sup>-1</sup> would be a better alternative [76].

## 10. CONCLUSION

Weed management assumes lot of importance in rice as it hosts a wide range of weed species of which some are problematic and few are mimic type. Losses when quantified it has recorded a range of 16-86 % depending on the intensity of weeds and weed management option adopted. Wide range of weed management options are available across the rice growing regions and each practice has its own advantages and disadvantages and no single practice offers cent per cent effectiveness, it is all relative efficiency we need to assess before opting any particular management option and choose the best fit one that provides maximum economic benefits. Using herbicides alone or mechanical or cultural practices alone will not be effective enough rather a combination of all these practices, what we call as Integrated Weed Management (IWM) has been recorded as best weed management strategy in most of the studies reviewed.

## COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

1. Sangeetha C, Velayutham A, Thavaprakash N, Chinnusamy C. Crop establishment and Weed management effects on rice productivity and Weed dynamics. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*. 2015;47(1):6–10.
2. Kumar N, Nandal DP, Punia SS. Efficacy of post-emergence herbicides for Weed control in transplanted rice. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*. 2014;46(4):380-382.
3. Kabdal P, Pratap T, Singh VP, Singh R, Singh SP. Control of complex Weed flora in transplanted rice with herbicide mixture. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*. 2014; 46(4):377-379.
4. Kumar S, Rana S, Chander N, Ramesh K. Mixed Weed flora management by bispyribac-sodium in transplanted rice. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*, 2013a; 45(3):151-155.
5. Prakash C, Shivran RK, Koli NR, Sharma J. Efficacy of different herbicides for Weed control in transplanted rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under vertisols of Rajasthan. *Trends of bio Sciences*. 2013b;6(1):112-114.
6. Muthukrishnan P, Subbalakshmi L, Sathiya K. Weed distribution and management in rice. *Proceedings Annual Weed Science Conference, 30<sup>th</sup> November & 1<sup>st</sup> December, TNAU, Coimbatore*. 15; 2010.
7. Walia US, Bhullar MS, Nayyar S, Singh SA. Control of complex Weed flora of dry-seeded rice (*Oryza sativa*) with pre and post emergence herbicides. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*. 2008;40(3&4):161-164.
8. Subramanyam D, Reddy DS, Reddy CR. Influence of integrated Weed management practices on growth and yield of transplanted rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Crop Research*. 2007;34(1, 2 & 3):1-5.
9. Natarajan S, Kuppusamy G. Weed management in transplanted rice. *Journal of Ecobiology*. 2001;13(3):213-216.
10. Ramesha YM, Anand SR, Krishnamurthy D, Bhanuvally M. Weed management effect to increase grain yield in dry direct-seeded rice. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*. 2019;51(1):6-9.
11. Ghosh RK. Weed management in transplanted and direct seeded rice in India. *Proceedings of biennial Conference Indian Society of Weed Science*. 2010;25-26:Raipur. 83.
12. Chinnusamy C, Kandasamy OS, Sathyamoorthy K, Chandrasekar CN. Critical period of crop-weed competition in lowland rice ecosystems. *Proceedings of State level Seminar on Integrated Weed Management in New Millennium*. Ratnagiri. 2000;27-28.
13. Thapa CB, Jha PK. Paddy crop-Weed competition in Pokhara, Nepal. *Geobios*, 2002;29(1):51-54.
14. Sathyamoorthy K, Kandasamy OS. Critical period of crop Weed competition in

- different rice culture (lowland transplanted and wet seeded rice). In: summary and research achievement, AICRPWC (ICAR), Coimbatore Centre, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, India; 1998.
15. Moody K. Yield losses due to weeds in rice in the Phillipines. Crop loss assessment in rice. International Rice Research Institute, Phillipines. 1990b;193-202.
  16. Hosmani MM. Integrated Weed management in field crops. Venkatardri Printers, Bangalore. 1995;113-145.
  17. Radosevich M, Traina SJ, Hao YI, Touvinen OH. Degradation and mineralization atrasine by a soil bacterial isolate. Applied Environmental Microbiology. 1995;61:297-302.
  18. Maimunah MA, Kautsar V, Bimantara PO, Kimani SM, Torita R, Tawaraya K, Murayama H, Utami SNH, Purwanto BH, Cheng W, Weeding frequencies decreased rice–weed competition and increased rice n uptake in organic paddy field. Agronomy. 2021;11:1904.
  19. Chadhar AR, Nadeem MA, Ali HH, Safdar ME, Raza A, Adnan M, Hussain M, Ali L, Kashif MS, Javaid MM. Quantifying the impact of plant spacing and critical weed competition period on fine rice production under the system of rice intensification. International Journal of Agriculture & Biology. 2020;24:1142–1148.
  20. Duary B, Mishra MM, Dash R, Teja KC. Weed management in lowland rice. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2015;47(3):224–232.
  21. Singh VP, Govindra S, Mahendra S. Effect of fenoxaprop-p-ethyl transplanted rice and associated Weeds. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2004;36(1&2):190-192.
  22. Gupta DK, Sharma S. Effect of rice (*Oryza sativa*) planting method and weed management in rice. Proceedings of biennial Conference of Indian Society of Weed Science. 2010;25-26, Raipur. 180.
  23. Nagarajan R, Chinnusamy C. Evaluation of bensulfuron methyl and butachlor on Weed control and productivity of transplanted rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Proceedings Annual Weed Science Conference, 29-30<sup>th</sup> November, TNAU, Coimbatore. 2010;145.
  24. Singh R. Influence of pendimethalin application with different fertilizers on soil enzyme activities in bulandshahr soil. International Journal of scientific and Research Publications. 2014;4(5):1-6.
  25. Nair AK, Pramanik SC, Ravisankar N, Dinesh R. Effect of varieties and Weed control practices on productivity of rice (*Oryza sativa*) and Weed growth in lowlands of south Andamans. Indian Journal of Agricultural Science. 2000; 72(8):477-479.
  26. Ghosh A, Moorthy BTS. Weed management deserves more attention in rainfed lowland cultivation. Indian Farming. 1998;48(6):15-18.
  27. Saikia M, Purushothaman S. Competitive ability of Weed flora in lowland rice (*Oryza sativa*). Indian Journal of Agricultural Science. 1996;66(1):70-72.
  28. Lakhwinder S, Brar J, Kolar S, Brar LS. Efficacy of naproanilide, Bentazone and Metasulfuron against *Caesulia axillaris* Roxb. in transplanted rice. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 1995;27(3&4):127-130.
  29. Benukar Biswas, Jagadish Timsina, Sourav Garai, Mousumi Mondal, Hirak Banerjee, Saju Adhikary, Sahely Kanthal. Weed control in transplanted rice with postemergence herbicides and their effects on subsequent rapeseed in Eastern India. International Journal of Pest Management; 2020. DOI: 10.1080/09670874.2020.1853276
  30. Umapathi K, Sivakumar C. Studies on crop-Weed competition in rice-rice cropping system. Proceedings of state level seminar on integrated Weed management in new millennium. Feb. 27-28. Dapoli chapter of Indian Society of Agronomy, Maharashtra. 2000;57.
  31. Deepa S, Jayakumar R. Studies on uptake of N, P and K as influenced by different rates (doses) of pretilachlor in transplanted rice. Madras Agricultural Journal. 2008;95(7-12): 333-338.
  32. Singh LN, Chongloi KL, Singh AI. Effect of plant population on rice yield during early wet season in Manipur. *Oryza*. 2002; 40(3&4):79-80.
  33. Balasubramanian P, Palaniappan SP. Principles and practices of Agronomy, Agrobios publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi. 2001;306-364.
  34. Holm LG, Plucknett DL, Pancho JV, Herberger JP. The world's worst Weeds: Distribution and Biology. The University Press of Hawaii, Malabar, Florida; 1991.
  35. Islam AKMM, Nasir M, Akter Mou M, Yeasmin S, Islam MS, Ahmed S, et al. Preliminary reports on comparative weed competitiveness of bangladeshi monsoon



- and winter rice varieties under puddled transplanted conditions. Sustainability. 2021;13:5091.
36. Prakash C, Shivran RK, Koli NR. Bioefficacy of penoxsulam against broad-spectrum Weed control in transplanted rice. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2013;45(4):228-230.
  37. Singh PC, Jha AK, Monika S. Efficacy of chlorimuron-ethyl against Weeds in transplanted rice. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2013;45(2):135-136.
  38. Begum M, Juraimi AS, Amartalingam R, Omar SRS, Man AB. Effect of Fimbristylis miliacea competition with MR 220 rice in relation to different nitrogen levels and weed density. International Journal of Agriculture Biology. 2009;11:183-187.
  39. Walia US, Bhullar MS, Nayyar S, Singh S A. Role of seed rate and herbicides on the growth and development of direct dry-seeded rice. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2009;41(1&2):33-36.
  40. Paradkar NR, Kurchania SP, Tiwari JP, Bhalla CS. Competitive impact of *Echinochloa crus-galli* (L.) Beauv on yield attributes and yield of rice. World Weeds.1998;5:57-60.
  41. Ghosh P, and Ghosh RK. Bioefficacy and phytotoxicity of clomazone + 2, 4 –DEE for Weed control in transplanted rice. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2005;38(1):298-299.
  42. Sangeetha M, Jayakumar R, Bharathi C. Effect of slow release formulations of pretilachlor on growth and yield of lowland transplanted rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Green Farming. 2009;2(2):997-999.
  43. Angiras NN, Rano SS. Integrated Weed management in direct seeded puddled sprouted rice (*Oryza sativa*). Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2005;43(4):644-649.
  44. Ahmed GJU, Mamun SAA, Hossain MA, Mridha AJ, Hossain ST. Agro-economic study of weed control in direct seeded Aus rice in the farmer's field. Annals of Bangladesh Agriculture. 2000;8(2):111-118.
  45. Raju RA, Reddy MN. Performance of herbicide mixtures for Weed control in transplanted rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Indian Journal of Weed Science.1995;27:106-107.
  46. Mabbayed MO, Moody K. Herbicide seed treatments for Weed control in wet seeded rice. Journal of Plant Protection in the Tropics. 1992;2:117-124.
  47. Anupam M, Buddhadeb D, Chinanshuk G. Effect of crop establishment techniques and weed management practices on management of weedy rice. SATSA Mukhapatra - Annual Technical Issue 26; 2022.
  48. Yoshida S. Fundamentals of rice crop Science. International Rice Research Institute. 1981;112-114.
  49. Singh, A.P., Singh, A.K., Chaturvedi, S. Singh, S. and Mishra,O.P. Bio-efficacy of sulfonylurea herbicides on mixed Weed flora in transplanted rice. Indian Journal of agriculture research. 2012;46(1):9-15.
  50. Veeraputhiran R, Balasubramanian R. Evaluation of bispyribac-sodium in transplanted rice. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2013;45(1):12-15.
  51. Prakash C, Shivran RK, Koli NR, Sharma. Bio efficacy of new herbicides on Weed dynamics, yield attributes, yield and Weed control efficiency in transplanted rice. Trends in Bio Sciences. 2011;4(2):224-227.
  52. Sondhia S, Dixit A. Bioefficacy and persistence of ethoxysulfuron in rice. Oryza. 2012;49(3):178-182.
  53. Singh BR, Singh RV, Rajput OP. Effect of nitrogen, phosphorous and zinc on growth, yield and nutrient uptake of wheat. Current Advances in Agricultural Sciences. 2009; 1:133–134.
  54. Pal S, Ghosh RK, Banerjee H, Kundu R, Alipatra A. Effect of pyrazosulfuron-ethyl on yield of transplanted rice. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2012;44(4):210-213.
  55. Saha S, Rao KS. Weed management in rice. Research Buletin No. 2, Central Rice Research Insitiute, Cuttack, Orissa. 2007; 1-20.
  56. Saha S. Comparative study on efficacy of sulfonylurea herbicides and traditional recommended herbicides in transplanted rice (*Oryza sativa*).Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2006;51(4):304-306.
  57. Chopra NK, Chopra N. Effect of dosages and stages of application of pyrazosulfuron- ethyl on Weeds in transplanted rice. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2003;35:27-29.
  58. Raj VY, Pratap VS, Usha A, Rekha S. Integrated Weed management in transplanted rice. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2014;46(2):176-179.
  59. Kumar B, Kumar R, Kalyani S, Haque M. Effectiveness and of integrated Weed management in transplanted rice (*Oryza*

- sativa*). Trends in Bio Sciences. 2013b; 6(2):212-215.
60. Nath CP, Pandey PC. Evaluation of herbicides on grain yield and nutrient uptaken in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Bioinfolet. 2013;10(1B):282-287.
61. Reddy M, Ravishankar BG, Subash G, Joshi VR, Negalur RK. Efficacy of bensulfuron methyl plus pretilachlor for controlling Weeds in transplanted rice. *Oryza*. 2012;49(1):65-67.
62. Sowjanya V, Goverdhan M, Prakash TR, Srinivas A. Weed dynamics under semidry rice as influenced by integrated weed management. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2020;8(6):1739-1743.
63. Nagarjun P, Dhanapal GN, Sanjay MT, Yogananda SB, Muthuraju R. Energy budgeting and economics of weed management in dry direct-seeded rice. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2019;51(1):1-5.
64. Hemalatha K, Ramana AV, Bisen N, Rani M. Effect of weed management practices on yield and economics of semidry rice. Journal of Pure and Applied Microbiology. 2017;11(2):1027-1032.
65. Ramesha YM, Manjunatha B, Gaddi AK, Krishnamurthy D, Umesh MR. Weed management in irrigated dry-seeded rice. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2017;49(2):113-116.
66. Gupta PK, Tomar AK. Weed management in transplanted rice with special reference to *Commelina benghalensis* in the Kymore Plateau Satpura hills region of Madhya Pradesh. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2019;51(3):236-239.
67. Arya SR, Ameena M. Efficacy of new generation herbicides for weed management in semi dry rice. Journal of Tropical Agriculture. 2016;54(1):55.
68. Patil VB, Reddy BM, Desai BK, Umesh MR, Ibrahim M. Effect of penoxsulam+cyhalofop-butyl 6% OD application on weed control and performance of dry direct seeded rice. Biochemical and Cellular Archives. 2014;14(2):319-322.
69. Jayadeva HM, Bhairappanavar ST, Somashekharappa PR, Rangaswamy BR. Efficacy of azimsulfuron for weed control in transplanted rice. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2011;41(3&4):172-175.
70. Gnanavel I, Anbzhazhagen R. Bio-efficacy of pre and post emergence herbicides in transplanted aromatic basmathi rice. Research Journal Agricultural Science. 2010;1(4):315-317.
71. Chinnamuthu CR, Chinnusamy C, Ravisankar D. Efficiency evaluation of mechanical weeders in wet seeded lowland rice. Proceedings of biennial Weed Science Conference. 2008;27-28:Pusa, 87.
72. Ramphool P, Pandey PC, Bisht PS, Singh DK. Nutrient uptake by crop and Weeds as influenced by trisulfuron, trisulfuron+pretilachlor and bensulfuron-methyl in transplanted rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2007;39(3&4):239-240.
73. Ahmed S, Kumar V, Alam M, Dewan MR, Bhuiyan KA, Miajy AA, Saha A, Singh S, Timsina J, Krupnik TJ. Integrated weed management in transplanted rice: Options for addressing labor constraints and improving farmers' income in Bangladesh. Weed Technol. 2021;35:697-709.
74. Choudhary VK, Deepak N, Anil D. Weed prevalence and productivity of transplanted rice influences by varieties, weed management regimes and row spacing. Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science; 2021. DOI: 10.1080/03650340.2021.1937606
75. Choudhary, Hanuman Prasad, Singh, Vineeta., Effect of Induced Mutation on Sheath Blight Disease Resistance in Pusa Basmati-1Rice [2014]. Society for Advancement of Science and Rural Development. 2014; 7(18): 2742-2754.
76. Area, production and productivity of rice in India 2020. Indiastat.com.

© 2022 Yakadri et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:  
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:  
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/88901>