

International Journal of Plant & Soil Science

Volume 36, Issue 8, Page 177-186, 2024; Article no.IJPSS.120256 ISSN: 2320-7035

Soil Fertility Mapping using Geo-spatial Technique for Khapradih Farm, DKS College of Agriculture and Research Station, Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh, India

Gulshan Kumar Ratre a*, D. S. Thakur ^a , G.P. Ayam ^a and Rama Mohan Savu ^a

^a Department of Soil Science, IGKV, Raipur (CG), India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI[: https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2024/v36i84848](https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2024/v36i84848)

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/120256>

Original Research Article

Received: 14/05/2024 Accepted: 18/07/2024 Published: 22/07/2024

ABSTRACT

The present study aimed to assess various chemical properties and available macro and micronutrients in the soil. Collection of 101 geo-referenced soil surface samples at 100-meter intervals of the Khapradih Farm of DKS College of Agriculture & Research Station, district Balodabazar-Bhatapara of Chhattisgarh state and examined in the laboratory for pH, electrical conductivity (EC), organic carbon (OC), and available macro-nutrients (N, P, K, S) and micronutrients (Fe, Mn, Cu, Zn, B). The results showed that the soil was generally neutral in pH, with a

Cite as: Ratre, Gulshan Kumar, D. S. Thakur, G.P. Ayam, and Rama Mohan Savu. 2024. "Soil Fertility Mapping Using Geo-Spatial Technique for Khapradih Farm, DKS College of Agriculture and Research Station, Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh, India". International Journal of Plant & Soil Science 36 (8):177-86. https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2024/v36i84848.

^{}Corresponding author: E-mail: gulshanratre007@gmail.com;*

range of 5.7 to 7.6. The electrical conductivity indicated non-saline, varying from 0.11 to 0.26 dSm⁻ 1 . Available nutrients were categorized as low, medium, or high based on nutrient index values. The study revealed that the soil in the area had low levels of available N and S, medium levels of available P and K, and high levels of available Fe, Mn, and Cu. Zn was found to be in the medium category, while B was low. Significant correlations were observed between various physicochemical properties and available macro and micro nutrients. Using ArcGIS 10.4.1, thematic maps were created, representing the spatial distribution of soil properties and nutrient status. Based on these findings, fertilizer recommendations were developed for major crops grown in the area, resulting in enhanced crop productivity. The study concludes that GPS and GIS-based tools are valuable for soil fertility mapping, monitoring, and site-specific nutrient management, leading to sustainable and optimal crop yields.

Keywords: Soil fertility maps; physico-chemical parameters; GIS; GPS.

1. INTRODUCTION

Intensive farming and conventional resource use disturb our ecosystem and threaten soil health, leading to reduced crop production and food insecurity. Effective nutrient management practices can ensure long-term sustainability of our agricultural ecosystem and it's vital for enhancing plant productivity. To address this, understanding the essentiality of nutrients for well growth and development of plants; the Soil-Plant- Atmosphere-Continuum (SPAC) relationship is crucial for maintaining or increasing soil fertility and sustaining agricultural production. Continuous supply of food forgrowing population to improve the productivity of our soil would be change our ability. Hence, a pressing challenges and difficulties to develop and implement soil, crop, and nutrient management technologies that optimizing plant productivity and soil quality. Describing the spatial variability of soil across a field has been difficult until new technologies such as Global Positioning Systems (GPS) and Geographic Information Systems (GIS) were introduced [1]. The collection of soil samples and the creation of thematic fertility maps are made easier with GPS and GIS technologies, supporting geo-statistical analysis to characterize spatial variability. For long-term monitoring and management, GIS-based soil fertility maps are an invaluable decision- support system. Soil testing and modern approaches like STCR/targeted yield ensure balanced nutrient management and sustainable soil fertility. These methods provide precise fertilizer recommendations,aiming to achieve specific crop yield goals while maintaining soil health.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study area will be the Khapradih Farm of DKS College of Agriculture & Research Station,

district Balodabazar-Bhatapara of Chhattisgarh state, located between Latitude- 19°44' 02.93" to 21^o44′33.56ʺ N Longitude - 81^o57′59.43'' to 81°58'44.41" E Altitude - 268- 270 m above the mean sea level. 101 surface soil samples were collected on the basis of grid point which covered almost more than 80% cultivated area of the Khaparadih farm. Collection of soil samples with the help of auger at 15 cm depth from the soil surface of the study area. collected Soil samples were dried with air in a shady place. After drying of soil samples were crushed with a hammer and passed through a 2 mm sieve and kept for analysis in plastic bags with the appropriate labels. Soil pH was determined by glass electrode pH meter, Piper's method [2], electrical conductivity with Solu- bridge process as suggested by Black, [3], organic carbon by wet digestion method [4]. Available nitrogen was estimated by alkaline KMnO4 method [5], Available phosphorus extracted by 0.5M NaHCO3 solution buffer at pH 8.5 [6] was used for neutral-alkaline soils. Available potassium was estimated through neutral normal ammonium acetate by flame-photometer [7]. Available Sulphur (S) by method of Williams and Steinbergs [8].

The micronutrients (Zn, Cu, Fe and Mn) were extracted with DTPA solution [9] and analyzed the concentrations with the help of atomic absorption spectrophotometer. Berger and Troug [10] identified a hot water method for determination of available B in soil. Based on standard rating values, The analytical results of the soil sample were categorized as low,medium, and high categories for organic carbon and available macro and micronutrients.

2.1 Nutrient Index and Fertility Rating

Ramamoorthy and Bajaj [11] established nutrient index values (NIV) based on the distribution of soil samples categorized as having low, medium, or high nutrient status.These values were used to classify soils into different fertility groups. $NIV = 1$ x PL + 2 x PM + 3 x PH 100; Where, NIV = nutrient index value PL= % samples fall under low category. PM= % samples fall under medium category. PH= % samples fall under high category.

In this assessment, an NIV of less than 1.33 indicates a low fertility level, an NIV between 1.33 and 2.33 indicates a medium fertility level, and an NIV greater than 2.33 indicates a high fertility level for each nutrient.

2.2 Soil Fertility Map

Soil fertility maps were prepared using the kriging method in ArcGIS software, assisted by GPS readings and measured physicochemical parameters (pH, EC, and OC) and available soil macronutrients and micronutrients to depict spatial variability of the study area.

Kriging is a geostatistical interpolation method that considers both the distance and the degree of variation between known data points to estimate values in unknown areas. Soil fertility mapping of the study area was conducted on a scale larger than 1:10,000 using ordinary kriging for soil parameter mapping. Various semivariogram models— spherical, circular, exponential, and Gaussian—were compared to identify the best fit for different soil fertility parameters. Maps were extracted using the extraction tool under the spatial analyst tool in ArcGIS.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Soil Reaction (pH)

A study on the soil reaction (pH) of the study area revealed that the soils were slightly acidic to slightly alkaline and the pH varied from 5.74 to 7.64 with a mean value of 7.07 ± 0.44 . Indicated that the overall soil pH of the study area is rated under neutral category. Percentage distribution of soil samples (Table 1). The spatial distribution and status of pH at Khapradih Farm is represent in Fig. 1. Similar findings were reported by Singh et al. [12].

3.2 Soil Electrical Conductivity (dSm-1)

The electrical conductivity of the soil-1 content is low also, fertility rating under low category with NIV 1.0 and percentage distribution (Table 2). Spatial distribution of available N content of Khapradih Farm is represented in Fig.1.

Similar findings were reported by Awanish Kumar [13]. ranged from 0.11 to 0.26 dSm with a mean value of 0.17 ± 0.03 dSm-1. Overall soil samples were recorded under the normal range (<1.0 dSm-1) of EC which are non-saline in study area, percentage distribution of soil samples (Table 3). Spatial distribution of EC content at Khapradih Farm is represent in Fig.1. Similar findings were reported by Meheret al. [14].

3.3 Soil Organic Carbon (%)

The OC content of soil sample ranged from 0.41 to 0.74% with mean value of (0.59 ± 0.07) %. Overall, OC content of study area also fertility rating under medium category with NIV 1.92 and percentage distribution of soil samples (Table 3). Spatial distribution of soil OC content at Khapradih Farm is shown in Fig.1. Similar findings were reported by Bal Krishna, [15].

3.4 The Available Macro-nutrients Status

3.4.1 Available Nitrogen (N)

The available nitrogen content in the soil samples varied from 169.7 to 256.8 kg ha $^{-1}$ with an average value of 227.9 \pm 18.61 kg ha⁻¹. Overall soil sample of study area available N.

3.4.2 Available Phosphorus (P)

The available phosphorus of soil samples ranged from $11.2 - 22.06$ kg ha-1 with a mean value of 16.74 \pm 2.54 kg ha-1. Overall soil sample of study area available P content, fertility rating under medium category based on NIV 2.0 and percentage distribution of soil samples (Table 2). Spatial distribution of available P content of Khapradih Farm is represented in Fig. 1. Similar findings were reported by Patel et al., [16].

3.4.3 Available Potassium (K)

The available potassium of soil samples varied from 259.6 to 399.3 kg ha-1 with an average value of 352.3 ± 36.5 kg ha-1. Overall soil samples of study area for available K content under high category based on NIV 2.74 and percentage distribution of soil samples (Table 3). Spatial distribution of available K content of Khapradih Farm is represented in Fig. 1. Similar findings were reported by Singh et al. [12].

3.4.4 Available Sulfur (S)

The available sulfur of soil samples ranged from 11.3 to 35.1 kg ha-1 with an average value of 22.09 ± 6.05 kg ha-1. Overall soil samples of study area available S content, fertility rating under low category based on NIV 1.48 and percentage distribution of soil samples (Table 3). Spatial distribution of available S content of Khapradih Farm is represented in Fig. 2. Similar findings were reported by Iyer et al. [17].

3.5 The Available Micro-Nutrients Status

3.5.1 Available iron (Fe) status in soil

The available Fe of soil samples ranged from 6.9 to 16.4 mg kg⁻¹ with an average value of 11.52 \pm 1.90 mg ha-1 . Overall available Fe content of study area is high also fertility rating under high category based on NIV 2.91 and percentage distribution of soil samples (Table 3). Spatial distribution of available Fe content of Khapradih Farm is represented in Fig. 2. Similar findings were reported by Singh et al.,[12].

3.5.2 Available Manganese (Mn) status in soil

The available Mn of soil samples ranged from 8.14 to 32.4 mg kg-1 with a mean value of

19.14 \pm 6.4 mg ha⁻¹. All the soil samples of study area available Mn content is high also, fertility rating under high category based on NIV 3.0 and percentage distribution of soil samples (Table 9). Spatial distribution of available Mn content of Khapradih Farm is represented in Fig. 2. Similar findings were reported by Bal Krishna et al., (2018) [15].

3.5.3 Available Copper (Cu)

The available Cu of soil samples varied from 0.42 to 2.66 mg kg $^{-1}$ with an average value of 1.25 \pm 0.6

mg ha-1 . All the soil samples of study area available Cu content is high also, fertility rating under high category based on NIV 3.0 and Percentage distribution of soil samples (Table 10). Spatial distribution of soil Cu content of Khapradih Farm is represented in Fig. 2. Similar findings were reported by Singh et al. [12].

3.5.4 Available Zinc (Zn)

The available Zn of soil samples ranged from 0.33 to 1.69 mg kg⁻¹ with a mean value of 0.86 \pm 0.33 mg ha-1 . Accordingly, it was found that 26 %, 52 %, 22 % samples were recorded under deficient, sufficient and high range for available Zn, respectively. Overall soil samples of study area available Zn content, fertility rating under medium category based on NIV 1.96 and Percentage distribution of soil samples (Table 1). Spatial distribution of soil Zn content of Khapradih Farm is shown in Fig. 2. Similar findings were reported by Mehar et al., [14].

3.5.5 Available Boron (B)

The available B of soil samples ranged from 0.13 to 0.28 mg kg⁻¹ with an average value of 0.19 \pm 0.03 mg ha-1 . All the samples were found to be low rating in available B content with NIV 1.0 and percentage distribution of soil samples (Table 1). Spatial distribution of soil B content of Khapradih Farm is shown in Fig. 2. Similar findings were recorded by Rawal et al.,[18].

3.6 Correlation of the Soil Properties with SoilAvailable Nutrients

From the table it was found that the pH was negatively correlated with the Mn, Cu, Zn, B and positively correlated with the EC, OC, N, P, K and S. The electrical conductivity was positively correlated with P, K, S and negatively correlated with the OC, N, Fe, Mn, Zn, B. Organic carbon was positively correlated with the N, K, S, Fe, Mn, Cu, Zn, B and negatively correlated to P, and Zn.

Table 1. Distribution of soil samples under different pH and EC rating

Soil Reaction (pH)

Available Nitrogen

Electrical Conductivity

Available Phosphorus

Available Potassium

Available Potassium

Fig. 1. Spatial distribution of available nutrient in soils of khapradih farm, DKS College of agriculture and research station, Balodabazar-Bhatapara district (C.G.)

Available Zinc

Available Boro

Fig. 2. Spatial distribution of available nutrient in soils of khapradih farm, DKS college of agriculture and research station, Balodabazar-Bhatapara district (C.G.)

Table 2. Distribution of soil samples under different macro-nutrient status rating

Table 3. Distribution of soil samples under different micro-nutrient status rating

Ratre et al.; Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 36, no. 8, pp. 177-186, 2024; Article no.IJPSS.120256

Table 4. Results of correlation analysis

Nitrogen was positively correlated with the K, S, Fe, Mn, Zn while P, Cu, B negatively correlated with the N. Phosphorus was negatively correlated with Fe, Mn, Zn and positively with K, S, Cu, B. Potassium was positively correlated with the S and negatively correlated with the Fe, Mn, Cu and B. Sulphur negatively correlated with Fe, Mn, Cu, Zn, B. Iron positively correlated with Mn, Cu, Zn, and B. Mn positively Zn and B and negatively correlated with Cu. Cu is negative correlated with the Zn and positive with B. Zn is positive correlated with B. The low value of correlation study may be attributed to less number of samples and it may not be possible to draw a definite conclusion.

4. CONCLUSION

The research farm's soil was mostly neutral, nonsaline, and had medium organic carbon content. Nutrient index values indicated low fertility for N, S, and B; medium for P, K, and Zn; and high for Fe, Mn, and Cu. The primary nutrient constraints were N, S, and B. Soil fertility maps, createdusing the Kriging interpolation technique, highlighted spatial variability and supported site- specific nutrient management. The exponential model best fit pH, EC, N, P, Mn, and Zn; the circular model for K and Fe; the spherical model for Cu; and the Gaussian model for S and B. Strong spatial dependency was found for most soil parameters, with moderate for Cu and B, and weak for Zn. Cross-validation showed that using semivariogram parameters for spatial predictionis more accurate than assuming the mean for unsampled locations. These results can be used to make fertilizer recommendations and best management practices with the help of soil fertility mapsand sustain soil productivity.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Reddy GP, Ramamurthy V, Singh SK., Integrated remote sensing, GIS, and GPS applications in agricultural land use planning. In Geospatial Technologies in Land Resources Mapping, Monitoring and Management. Springer, Cham. 2018;489- 515.

- 2. Piper CS. Soil and plant analysis. Asian publishing House, Bombay, New Delhi. 1967;85-102.
- 3. Black CA. Method of soil analysis American agronomy Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA. 1965;131-137.
- 4. Walkley A, Black, IA. Rapid titration method of organic carbon of soils. Soil Science. 1934;37:29-33.
- 5. Subbiah BV, Asija GL. A rapid procedure for the determination of available nitrogen in soils. Current Sciences. 1956;25:259- 260.
- 6. Olsen. Estimation of available phosphorus (in soil) by Olsen's method for slightly acidic, neutral and alkaline soils. 1954;14- 15.
- 7. Jackson ML. Soil chemical analysis. prentice hall of India (P) Ltd., New Delhi. 1967;183-192.
- 8. Williams and Steinbergs. Estimation of available sulfur by CaCl2- Extractables. 1959;16-17.
- 9. Lindsay, Norvell WA. Development of DTPA soil test for zinc, iron, manganese and copper. Soil Science Society of American Journal. 1978;42:421-428
- 10. Berger KC, Trough E. Boron determination in soils and plants, Industrial Engineering Chemistry and Analytical Edition. 1939; 11:540-545.
- 11. Ramamoorthy B, Bajaj JC. Available nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium status of Indian soils. Fertilizer News. 1969;14(8): 25-36.
- 12. Singh C, Bajpai RK, Tiwari A, Chandra M, Krishna B. Evaluations of soil fertility status of available major nutrients (N, P & K) and micro nutrients (Fe, Mn, Cu & Zn) in Vertisols of Balodabazar block in Balodabazar district of Chhattisgarh. Journal of Pharmacognos and Phytochemistry. 2018;2 :10-12.
- 13. Awanish Kumar LK, Srivastava VN, Mishra Rakesh Banwasi. Major and micro nutrient status of rice- chickpea grown in soils of Chhattisgarh plain region of India. Indian Journal Agricultural. Research. 2017;51(1).
- 14. Meher SK, Singh V, Bala J, Samuel S, Motghare R, Tedia K. Assessment of spatial variability of soil fertility status in KVK farm of Pahanda in Durg district,

Chhattisgarh using GIS- GPS. International Journal of Communication Systems. 2020; 8(2):304- 309.

- 15. Krishna B, Sahu KK, Tedia K, Singh CB, Kumar R. Evaluation of soil fertility status of palari block under Baloda Bazar district of Chhattisgarh Journal Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2018;7(2S):243-246.
- 16. Patel H, Patel R, Paikara KP, Brajendra Mishra VN. Assessment of soil fertility in Baramkela block under Raigarh district of Chhattisgarh, India. International Journal of Current Microbial

Applied Science. 2018;7: 3212-3215.

- 17. Iyer SS, Ayam GP. Soil fertility status of available potassium in soil through soil fertility mapping using GPS and GIS techniques of Dharmaur micro-watershed Jagdalpur block, Bastar district of C.G. state. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2020;8(3):866-869.
- 18. Rawal N, Acharya K, Bam CR, Acharya K. Soil fertility mapping of different VDCs of Sunsari district, Nepal using GIS. International Journal of Applied and Biotechnology. 2018;6(2):142-151.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

___ *© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

> *Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/120256>*