

International Journal of Plant & Soil Science

Volume 35, Issue 16, Page 436-442, 2023; Article no.IJPSS.97454 ISSN: 2320-7035

Influence of Integrated Nutrient Management on Growth and Quality of Pre-seasonal Ratoon Sugarcane in Vertisol

D. D. Sawale ^a, A. L. Pharande ^b, Govind Kumar Yadav ^{c*} and Pradeep Kumar ^d

^a Division of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, College of Agriculture, Pune-411005, Maharashtra, India. ^b Departement of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri-413722, Maharashtra, India. ^c Departement of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, SKN College of Agriculture, Jobner-303329, Rajasthan, India. ^d Departement of Agronomy, SKN College of Agriculture, Jobner-303329, Rajasthan, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i163235

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/97454

> Received: 20/01/2023 Accepted: 24/03/2023 Published: 28/06/2023

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

A study was conducted in an ongoing field experiment started during 2007-08 at Central Sugarcane Research Station, Padegaon, Maharashtra during 2012-13 and 2013-14 to study the effect of integrated nutrient management on yield and quality of pre-seasonal ration sugarcane (cv. CO-86032). The experiment consisted of eight integrated nutrient management (INM) treatments *viz.*, 100 % of RD through organics (T₁), 100 % NPK through inorganic (T₂), Fertilizer as per soil test,

Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 35, no. 16, pp. 436-442, 2023

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: yadav.govi004@gmail.com;

FYM and biofertilizers (T₃), 75 % of RD through organics + 25 % of RD through inorganics (T₄), 50 % of RD through organics and 50 % of RD through inorganics (T₅), 25 % of RD through organics + 75 % of RD through inorganics (T₆), rishi-krishi tantra (T₇) and jivamrut (T₈) were laid down in randomized block design with replicated thrice. The results indicated that the number of millable canes, cane, top and sugar yields were recorded maximum (91.64, 132.09, 14.90 and 18.81 t ha⁻¹ during Ist ration 2012-13 and 83.82, 124.69, 13.98 and 18.41 t ha⁻¹ during IInd ration 2013-14, respectively) under the application of 25 % RD through organics and 75% RD through inorganic treatment (T₆) and remained statistically at par with T₃ (Fertilizer as per soil test, FYM @ 25 t ha⁻¹ and biofertilizers as seed treatment @ 5 kg ha⁻¹). The maximum value of reducing sugar 0.565 and 0.552% during Ist ration (2012-13) and IInd ration (2013-14), respectively was observed under treatment T₂ (100 % NPK through inorganic).

Keywords: Integrated nutrient management; pre-seasonal; ratoon; treatments; cane and sugar.

1. INTRODUCTION

Sugarcane (Saccharum officinarum L.), crop is able to regenerate shoots more than one from a single planting due to its perennial nature. After the mature crop is removed at ground level for harvest, buds on the remaining subterranean section of the stem re-germinate and produce a new crop, known as a "ratoon crop." Typically, a crop's first harvest after planting is referred to as the plant crop, and each subsequent harvest is referred to as the "first ratoon," "second ratoon" and so on. Ratoons may be grown for around 25% to 30% less money [1] since they don't require new seed or special soil preparation, and they require less irrigation and crop upkeep due shorter crop cycles. Ratoon crops to in Maharashtra, India were 45% less expensive and had a net return that was twice as high as that of plant crops. Raising multiple ratoons, ranging in number from 4-6, is fairly prevalent in India, Cuba, Philippines and Egypt [2,3]. Typically, Mauritius raises 5-8 ratoon harvests from a single planting [4]. In Taiwan, up to 6-8 consecutive ratoons are bred [5]. However, the average field output of the ratoons in different parts of the world only varies from 22 to 57% compared to the experimental maximum [6].

Next to cotton, sugarcane is one of the most important commercial crops for the industrial sector. With 35 million farmers farming 51.44 lakh acres of land and producing 3593.33 lakh tonnes of cane annually, India is the second-largest producer of sugarcane in the world. Sugarcane takes up 2.2% of the total planted area in India. The productivity is 69.90 t ha⁻¹ with a recovery of 10.37% sugar and Maharashtra produces 915.38 lakh tonnes of sugarcane annually on an area of 9.87 lakh ha. The recovery of sugar is 11.30% and the productivity is 78.10 t ha⁻¹ [7,8]. According to projections, the

country would need 625 MT of sugar by the year 2025, hence sugarcane yield must be increased and maintained at that level [1]. In order to optimise input utilisation, enhance output, and sustain it without compromising crop quality or soil health, integrated nutrient management (INM) uses a combination of organic manures, mineral fertilisers and industrial agricultural wastes in a complementary manner. It makes it possible to profitably use trash or underutilised renewable resources [9,10].

In addition to promoting greater sugarcane growth, the use of organic fertiliser in conjunction with chemical fertilisers lowers cultivation costs, reliance on chemical fertilisers, environmental contamination, and deterioration of soil health. The usage of both chemical and organic fertilisers together has been found to be particularly advantageous for the sustainability of sugarcane and sugar production. Increased soil fertility and cane output were the results of integrating organic and inorganic fertiliser sources [11].

Soil quality encompasses all of the physical, chemical and biological characteristics of the soil and controls the dynamics of nutrient and moisture availability as well as rooting behaviour, soil quality is most significance for the production of long-duration, nutrient-exhaustive sugarcane [12]. Since ancient times, several organic materials have been employed as nutrient sources, including farmyard manure, oil cakes, pressmud cake, vermicompost, green manure, legume as intercrops and sugarcane trash. Supplementing sugarcane's nutritional needs with organic sources of nutrients also helps to maintain a healthy soil's physical, chemical, and biological conditions. This will aid in the efficient disposal of locally accessible bio-resources as well as their utilisation as sources of fertilisers for crop production. Chemical fertilisers, organic manures or bio-fertilizers cannot supply all of the plant nutrients needed by a crop in intensive agriculture. Vermicompost is an organic fertiliser created by the microbial composting of organic wastes using earthworm activity. It has a greater amount of organic matter, organic carbon, total and readily accessible N, P and K, as well as micronutrients, microbial activity and enzyme activity [13].

Many people think of organic farming as a type of agriculture that uses exclusively organic inputs for nutrition delivery and disease and insect management. In actuality, it is a specialised type of diversified agriculture in which agricultural issues are only resolved with the aid of regional resources. The word "organic" alludes to the idea of a farm as an organism rather than the specific sort of inputs that are employed. Organic farming has frequently come under fire for the claim that using just organic inputs may not increase agricultural production and profitability due to the limitations of organic sources [14]. Organic farming reduces yield in intensive agricultural systems; the amount depends on the degree of external input utilised prior to conversion [15]. Organic sugarcane farmers in Maharashtra frequently apply the elements of the rishi-krishi tantra and jivamrut.

Vertisols make up a significant portion of India's geography, accounting for 22.2% of its total area. They are primarily found in the country's central region. A significant majority of the clays in these soils are swelling smectite clays [16]. They need to be managed carefully in order to maximise their potential and prevent soil quality loss. To create and execute farming strategies that will maintain these soils productive for the present and future generations, it is essential to understand the condition of these soils. Information how integrated nutrient on management strategies for sugarcane under heavy cropping in irrigated soils have changed the guality of the soil. Hence an investigation was planned to study the long-term use of integrated nutrient management on yield and guality of preseasonal sugarcane.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was initiated during 2006-07 at Central Sugarcane Research Station, Padegaon, Maharashtra on pre-seasonal sugarcane (cv. CO-86032) as plant cane followed by succeeding four ratoons during the year 2007-08 to 2010-11 in the first cycle. The

experiment was conducted on same site with same treatment randomization as plant cane during 2011-12 followed by succeeding two ratoons during 2012-13 and 2013-14. The Central Sugarcane Research Station, Padegaon is located in scarcity zone of Maharashtra, geographically at an elevation of 556 m above mean sea level on 18° 12" N latitude and 74° 10" E longitude. The soils of the experimental site classified as Typic Haplustert (Vertisol) belongs to bumne soil series. The experiment soil was low in available nitrogen (198 kg ha⁻¹), very high in phosphorus (32 kg ha⁻¹) and high in potassium (354 kg ha⁻¹). The soil was slightly alkaline in reaction (pH 8.30) and high organic carbon content (0.72%). The experiment consisted of eight integrated nutrient management (INM) treatments viz., 100 % of RD through organics (T₁), 100 % NPK through inorganic (T₂), Fertilizer as per soil test. FYM and biofertilizers (T_3) , 75 % of RD through organics + 25 % of RD through inorganics (T_4) , 50 % of RD through organics and 50 % of RD through inorganics (T₅), 25 % of RD through organics + 75 % of RD through inorganics (T_6) , rishi-krishi tantra (T_7) and jivamrut (T₈) were laid down in randomized block design with replicated thrice. The organic materials included press mud, farmyard manure (FYM), vermicompost and green manure (GM) of Sunhemp (Crotalaria juncea); the fertilizers were Urea, single superphosphate, suphala, muriate of potash, ferrous sulphate and zinc sulphate. Composite culture of biofertilizers ie Azotobactor, Acetobactor, Azospirillum and PSB 1.25 kg each were added in respective @ treatment. Cane samples were taken at the time of harvest and cane juice quality parameters were analysed on AUTO-POL analyser. Sugar vield [17] was calculated as-

Sugar yield (t ha⁻¹) = $[S - 0.4 (B-S) \times 0.73] \times cane yield (t/ha)/100$

Where S and B are sucrose and brix percent in cane juice, respectively.

Brix was analysed by brix hydrometer [18], pol analysed by poloriscope [19], reducing sugar calculated by volumetry method [19], sucrose and commercial cane sugar was determined using procedure outlined by Spencer EF and Meade GP [18].

The results were interpreted on the basis of Ftest and critical difference at 5% was used for calculating the significant difference between the means of two treatments [20].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Yield and Yield Attributes

The perusal of data (Table 1) revealed that the number of millable canes, cane, top and suger yields (t ha⁻¹) were significantly influenced by different nutrient management treatments in both the ratoons. The number of millable canes, cane, top and suger yield (t ha-1) were recorded maximum 91.64, 132.09, 14.90 and 18.81 t ha⁻¹, respectively during I^{st} ration (2012-13) and 83.82, 124.69, 13.98 and 18.41 t ha⁻¹, respectively during IInd ration (2013-14) under treatment T_6 (25 % of RD through organics + 75 % of RD through inorganics) and remained statistically at par with T₃ (Fertilizer as per soil test, FYM @ 25 t ha⁻¹ and biofertilizers as seed treatment @ 5 kg ha⁻¹). Application of organic nutrient combination with inorganic sources accelerated yield attributing characters i.e., number of millable canes resulting higher cane vield. The application of organics released through decomposition nutrient and mineralization that would have increased the availability of plant nutrients at later stage and brought improvement in physical, chemical and biological properties of soil [21]. The immediate and quick supply of nutrients through inorganic fertilizer and steady supply of plant nutrients by organics throughout the growth period of the crop resulted in higher cane yield. Further, number of tillers were converted into NMC with significantly more cane weight which led to higher cane yield [22,23]. The integrated use of organic and inorganic fertilizers with biofertilizers increased the availability of nutrients which resulted in

higher number of millable canes, height and girth which ultimately reflected on increase in yield of cane. The similar results were also reported by Rathore et al. [24] and Shukla et al. [25]. It was clearly indicated that nutrients applied through inorganic, organic, biofertilizers and green manuring showed balance nutrient supply improved, physical, chemical as well as biological properties of soil resulted in higher cane yield and sugar yield. Similar results are in conformity with Shukla et al. [26], More et al. [27], Kumar and Chand [28].

3.2 Quality of Sugarcane Juice

Data presented in Table 2 revealed that the brix during IInd ratoon (2013-14), pol. purity. commercial cane sugar and sucrose content in juice were not significantly affected by different integrated nutrient management treatments during both the ratoons. The highest value (22.24°) of brix was recorded under treatment T₆ (25 % of RD through organics + 75 % of RD through inorganics) during 1st ration (2012-13) and remained statistically at par with T₃ (Fertilizer as per soil test, FYM @ 25 t ha⁻¹ and biofertilizers as seed treatment @ 5 kg ha⁻¹) and T_2 (100 % NPK through inorganic). The maximum value of reducing sugar 0.565 and 0.552%, respectively during I^{st} ration (2012-13) and II^{nd} ration (2013-14) was observed under treatment T₂ (100 % NPK through inorganic) which was remained statistically at par with T_3 (Fertilizer as per soil test, FYM @ 25 t ha-1 and biofertilizers as seed treatment @ 5 kg ha⁻¹). Application of organic manure together with inorganic fertilizer, compared to addition of either

Table 1. Effect of	integrated nutrient management on number of millable canes, cane, top and								
sugar yields of pre-seasonal ratoon sugarcane at harvest									

Treatments	I st rat	toon (201	2-13)		II nd ratoon (2013-14)				
	Number of	Yield (t ha ⁻¹)			Number of	Yield (t ha ⁻¹)			
	millable	Cane	Cane Top Sugar millable ca		millable canes	Cane	Тор	Sugar	
	canes (t ha ⁻¹)		-	_	(t ha⁻¹)		-	-	
T ₁	73.53	95.05	10.14	13.05	67.27	89.83	9.56	12.78	
T_2	84.16	119.37	12.37	16.88	76.95	112.71	11.69	16.52	
T_3	87.01	130.05	14.19	17.60	79.55	121.14	13.32	17.24	
T_4	75.43	107.66	12.93	14.77	69.00	101.67	12.22	14.46	
T_5	75.78	113.23	11.63	15.25	69.30	106.91	10.93	14.92	
T_6	91.64	132.09	14.90	18.81	83.82	124.69	13.98	18.41	
T ₇	64.84	83.67	8.08	11.53	59.29	79.01	7.64	11.28	
T ₈	67.25	82.56	8.81	11.36	61.51	77.96	8.32	11.12	
SEm <u>+</u>	3.89	3.78	1.00	0.637	3.55	3.89	0.90	0.623	
CD (p=0.05)	11.79	11.47	3.02	1.93	10.78	11.81	2.73	1.89	

Treatments	Juice quality parameters											
	l st ratoon (2012-13)			ll nd ra	atoon (20)13-14)	I st ratoon (2012-13)			ll nd ratoon (2013-14)		
	Brix⁰	Pol	Purity	Brix⁰	Pol	Purity	commercial	Sucrose	Reducing	commercial	Sucrose	Reducing
		(%)	(%)		(%)	(%)	cane sugar	(%)	Sugar	cane sugar	(%)	Sugar
							(%)		(%)	(%)		(%)
T ₁	21.02	20.08	96.96	20.90	20.86	96.87	13.74	20.38	0.390	14.30	20.24	0.370
T_2	21.89	20.64	95.54	21.72	21.40	95.51	14.14	20.91	0.565	14.69	20.75	0.552
T_3	22.01	19.78	96.13	21.77	20.74	96.04	13.52	21.16	0.558	14.21	20.88	0.526
T_4	21.25	20.06	96.91	21.24	20.75	96.46	13.72	20.59	0.456	14.22	20.49	0.434
T_5	21.56	19.69	96.50	21.47	20.41	96.46	13.46	20.80	0.359	13.98	20.71	0.327
T_6	22.24	20.81	95.56	22.07	21.58	95.47	14.26	21.26	0.465	14.82	21.07	0.462
T ₇	21.23	20.15	95.93	21.11	20.93	95.89	13.79	20.36	0.295	14.35	20.24	0.283
T ₈	21.47	20.11	94.59	21.22	20.86	94.52	13.76	20.29	0.243	14.30	20.05	0.225
SEm <u>+</u>	0.24	0.574	0.765	0.349	1.014	1.12	3.89	0.168	0.004	0.73	0.236	0.006
CD (p=0.05)	0.74	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.011	NS	NS	0.019

Table 2. Effect of integrated nutrient management on quality of pre-seasonal ratoon sugarcane juice at harvest

organic manure or inorganic fertilizer alone had higher positive effect on quality of sugarcane [11,12]. The combination of organic nutrient source was found beneficial in improving cane juice and sugar recovery. Sugar yield followed the similar trend of yield of sugarcane. Sugar yield is function of cane yield, juice quality and juice recovery. Higher cane yield and juice recovery due to application of nutrients from organic and inorganic sources resulted in high sugar yield. Similar findings were also reported by Thakur et al. [22], Jha et al. [10].

4. CONCLUSION

Sugarcane yield increased significantly with integration of organic and inorganic nutrient sources and combinations of FYM and along with biofertilizers was more effective for sustainable sugarcane production and quality of juice. However, it may be concluded that integrated use of organic and inorganic fertilizer not only sustained sugarcane yield but also improve juice quality. Nutrient combination supplying through 25 % of RD through organics + 75 % of RD through inorganics meet the demand of N for obtaining higher cane and sugar yield besides improvement in quality of juice in Vertisol.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I extend my sincere thanks to my advisor and Central Sugarcane Research Station, Padegaon, Maharashtra.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Sundara B. Sugarcane cultivation, Vikas Publishing House Pvt. Ltd. Masjid Road, Jangpura, New Delhi, 110014. 1998;576.
- 2. Pawar BN, Shinde HR, Sale DL. Resource productivity and sustainability of sugarcane in Western Maharashtra. Indian Sugar. 2000;50:147-151.
- 3. Mishra A, Mathur PS. Ratooning sugarcane in India. Retrospect and prospect. Indian Sugar Crops Journal. 1983;9:1-4.
- 4. Ponnappan S. Cane cultivation in Mauritius Island. South Indian Sugarcane and Sugar Technologists Association (SISSTA). Sugar Journal. 1994;20:37-41.

- 5. Soopramanien GC, Hunsigi G. Ratooning nutrient and environment: An overview. Co-Operative Sugar. 1996;22:831–849.
- 6. Rozeff N. Limitations to cane and sugar production in the field. International Sugar Journal. 1999;101:450-452.
- 7. Nelyub VA. Method for assessing the chemical reaction between carbon fibre and epoxide binder. Fibre Chemistry. 2015;47:40-2.
- Economic Survey of Maharashtra (2015-16). Directorate of economics and statistics, planning department, Government of Maharashtra, Mumbai: 4-5.
- Bokhtiar SM, Sakurai K. Integrated use of organic manure and chemical fertilizer on growth, yield, and quality of sugarcane in high Ganges river floodplain soils of Bangladesh. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis. 2005;36:1823-1837.
- 10. Jha CK, Thakur SK. Integrated use of organic and inorganic fertilizer on yield, uptake and quality of sugarcane in calcareous soil. Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology. 2018;31:1-7.
- 11. Jha CK, Kumar V, Thakur SK. Integrated effect of sugarcane trash, pressmud and Zn on soil fertility and productivity of sugarcane in calcareous soil: integrated effect of sugarcane trash, pressmud and Zn on soil fertility and productivity of sugarcane in calcareous soil. Journal of Agri Search. 2019;6:4-7.
- Sharma BL, Singh S, Prakash V, Mishra AK, Srivastava PN, Singh DN, Singh SB. Integrated nutrient management in sugarcane: Performance of FYM, biocompost and pressmud cake with inorganic N on growth and quality indices. Cooperative Sugar. 2005;36:993.
- Parthasarathi K, Ranganathan LS, Anandi V, Zeyer J. Diversity of microflora in the gut and casts of tropical composting earthworms reared on different substrates. Journal of Environmental Biology. 2007; 28:87-97.
- 14. Chhonkar PK. Organic farming: Science and belief. Dr R. V. Tamhane Memorial Lecture delivered at the 68th Annual Convention of the Indian Society of Soil Science, CSAU&T, Kanpur; 2003.
- 15. Stanhill G. The comparative productivity of organic agriculture. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment. 1990;30:1-26.
- 16. Yadav GK, Jagdhani AD, Sawale DD, Yadav K, Kumawat C, Dadhich SK.

Assessment of irrigation water quality of agriculture technical school, Manjri farm, Pune. Indian Journal of Soil Conservation. 2020;48:262-268.

- 17. Kumar N. Productivity, quality and nutrient balance in spring sugarcane (*Saccharum spp.* hybrid complex) under organic and inorganic nutrition. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2012;57:68-73.
- Spencer EF, Meade GP. Cane sugar hand book 9th Edn. John Willey and Sons INC, New York; 1964.
- 19. Lane JH, Euton L. Determination of sugar by Fehling's solution with methyl blue as indicator. Journal of Chemical Society of India. 1993;42:32-34.
- 20. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods for agricultural workers. Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers; 1954.
- 21. Virdia HM, Patel CL. Integrated nutrient management for sugarcane (*Saccharum spp.* hybrid complex) plant-ratoon system. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2010; 55:147-151.
- Thakur SK, Jha CK, Alam M, Singh VP. Productivity, quality and soil fertility of sugarcane (*Saccharum spp.* complex hybrid) plant and ratoon grown under organic and conventional farming system. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2012 82, 896-899.

- Jha CK, Sinha SK, Alam M, Pandey SS. Effect of bio-compost and zinc application on sugarcane (*Saccharum* species hybrid complex) productivity, quality and soil health. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2015; 60:450-456.
- 24. Rathore AK, Harendra S, Rituja J. Growth, yield and quality of sugarcane (*Saccharum spp.* hybrid complex) as influenced by integrated nutrient management and genotypes. The Bioscan. 2014;9:727-730.
- 25. Shukla SK, Singh PN, Chauhan RS, Solomon S. Soil physical, chemical and biological changes and long-term sustainability in subtropical India through integration of organic and inorganic nutrient sources in sugarcane. Sugar Tech. 2015;17:138-149.
- 26. Shukla MK, Lal R, Ebinger M. Soil quality indicators for reclaimed mine soils in South Eastern Ohio. Soil Science. 2004;169:133-142.
- 27. More NB, Bhalerao VP, Patil AV, Bhoi PG. Integration of nutrient sources for sustaining and production and its effect on soil, yield and quality of seasonal sugarcane. Indian Sugar. 2005:21-28.
- 28. Kumar V, Chand M. Effect of integrated nutrients management on cane yield, juice quality and soil fertility under sugarcanebased cropping system. Sugar Tech. 2013; 15:214-218.

© 2023 Sawale et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/97454