

21(4):1-8, 2019; Article no.PSIJ.48386 ISSN: 2348-0130



## A First Approach to Loop Quantum Gravity in the Momentum Representation

## W. F. Chagas-Filho<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Physics Department, Federal University of Sergipe, Brazil.

#### Author's contribution

The sole author designed, analyzed, interpreted and prepared the manuscript.

#### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/PSIJ/2019/v21i430115 <u>Editor(s)</u>: (1) Dr. Lei Zhang, Winston-Salem State University, North Carolina, USA. (2) Dr. Abbas Mohammed, Professor, Blekinge Institute of Technology, Sweden. <u>Reviewers</u>: (1) Snehadri B Ota, Institute of Physics, India. (2) P. A. Murad, USA. (3) Andrej B. Arbuzov Bogoliubov, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Russia. (4) Orchidea Maria Lecian, Sapienza University of Rome, Italy. (5) Pasupuleti Venkata Siva Kumar, Vallurupalli Nageswara Rao Vignana Jyothi Institute of Engineering and Technology, India. Complete Peer review History: http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/48386

**Original Research Article** 

Received: 24 January 2019 Accepted: 03 April 2019 Published: 08 April 2019

# ABSTRACT

We present a generalization of the first-order formalism used to describe the dynamics of a classical system. The generalization is then applied to the first-order action that describes General Relativity. As a result we obtain equations that can be interpreted as describing quantum gravity in the momentum representation.

Keywords: First-order formalism; Hamiltonian duality; General Relativity; Loop Quantum Gravity.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 04.20.-q; 04.60.-m; 04.60.Ds.

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: wfilho@ufs.br

#### **1** INTRODUCTION

As is well-known, Quantum Mechanics can be formulated in the configuration (or position) representation or in the momentum representation. This situation emerges from the two possible representations of the fundamental commutators in a quantum theory. To illustrate this, consider the simple example of the quantization of a one-dimensional system with a configuration variable q and a canonically conjugate momentum variable p. The corresponding quantum operators  $\hat{q}$  and  $\hat{p}$ must provide a representation of the fundamental commutator

$$[\hat{q}, \hat{p}] = \hat{q}\hat{p} - \hat{p}\hat{q} = i\hbar \tag{1}$$

where  $\hbar$  is Planck's constant *h* divided by  $2\pi$ . The usual way to represent the commutator (1) is to choose the operators

$$\hat{q} = q$$
  $\hat{p} = -i\hbar \frac{d}{dq}$  (2)

In this representation the quantum wave function will be a function of q, that is  $\psi = \psi(q)$ , and we will be in the configuration representation.

The other possibility of representing the commutator (1) is to choose the operators

$$\hat{q} = i\hbar \frac{d}{dp}$$
  $\hat{p} = p$  (3)

In this representation the quantum wave function will be a function of p, that is  $\psi = \psi(p)$ , and we will be in the momentum representation.

From a naive perspective, the operators (3) can be obtained from the operators (2) simply by substituting the letter q by p and the letter p by -q in equations (2). However, in a deeper conceptual level, these two possibilities are related to the quantum mechanical waveparticle duality. The configuration representation is related to the particle aspect. Because of the De Broglie's relation  $\lambda = h/p$ , the momentum representation is related to the wave aspect. The quantum wave-particle duality has a trace in classical mechanics in the form of a Hamiltonian duality. This duality interchanges position and momentum and leaves invariant the definition of the Poisson bracket. In this paper we will use this classical Hamiltonian duality to perform a first step towards the construction of a formulation of Loop Quantum Gravity (LQG) in the momentum representation.

At present time, a quantum theory for the gravitational interaction, based on the canonical quantization of General Relativity (GR) is under development. It is called Loop Quantum Gravity. This theory has already produced new interesting results, such as the quantization of the area and volume of a space-time region in terms of the Planck length  $L_P = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar G}{c^3}} = 1,62 \times 10^{-35}m$ . But with no present available way to test the theory against experimental results, the validity of LQG still remains an open question [1,2,3,7,8,9].

The motivation of this paper is to present an initial development that can be used to support the validity of LQG. This initial development is an indication that, as Quantum Mechanics, Loop Quantum Gravity can be equivalently formulated in the configuration or in the momentum representation. A moment of reflection leads us to the conclusion that, in spite of being only an initial development what is presented in this paper, it has a considerable importance because it can be used as a starting point for an entirely new line of research in Loop Quantum Gravity.

This paper is organized as follows. In section two we derive the two simple classical equations that allow transitions to LQG in the configuration and in the momentum representations. We also present a brief discussion about the consistency of our approach. In section three we review the basic equations of LQG in the configuration representation. In section four we present the basic equations of a quantum theory that can be interpreted as LQG in the momentum representation. Concluding remarks appear in section five.

# 2 THE FIRST-ORDER FORMAL-ISM AND THE TRANSITION TO QUANTUM MECHANICS

The first-order formalism is in the interface between Lagrangian mechanics and Hamiltonian mechanics. According to Dirac [6], a

Hamiltonian formalism is a first approximation to a corresponding quantum theory. Since, as we mentioned in the introduction, quantum mechanics can be formulated in the configuration or in the momentum representations, following Dirac's idea, we need two first-order formalisms, one for each representation of quantum mechanics.

#### 2.1 The First-Order Formalism For The Configuration Space Formulation Of Quantum Mechanics

The first-order formalism which can be considered as the classical limit of a configuration space formulation of quantum mechanics is the usual first-order formalism. It is based on the action functional

$$S = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt [p \dot{q} - H(q, p)]$$
 (4)

where t is the time variable and H(q, p) is the Hamiltonian. A dot denotes derivatives with respect to t. Varying action (4) we find

$$\delta S = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \left[ -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q} \delta q + p \delta \dot{q} + (\dot{q} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial p}) \delta p \right]$$
(5)

Integrating by parts the second term we have

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt p \delta \dot{q} = p \delta q \mid_{t_1}^{t_2} - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \dot{p} \delta q$$

Inserting this result into the variation (5) we are left with a variation and a surface term

$$\delta S = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt [-(\dot{p} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial q})\delta q + (\dot{q} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial p})\delta p] + p\delta q \mid_{t_1}^{t_2}$$

The above variation of the action vanishes if Hamilton's equations

$$\dot{q} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p}$$
  $\dot{p} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q}$  (6)

are satisfied. In this case the variation  $\delta S$  reduces to the surface term

$$\delta S = p \delta q \mid_{t_1}^{t_2}$$

Now we require that  $\delta q(t_1) = 0$  and leave  $\delta q$  arbitrary at  $t = t_2$ . We therefore see that, as a

function of the final point of the trajectory, action (4) satisfies

p =

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta q} \tag{7}$$

As we shall see below, an equation analogous to equation (7) plays a central role in the formalism leading to LQG in the configuration representation.

#### 2.2 The First-Order Formalism For The Momentum Space Formulation Of Quantum Mechanics

We now introduce a first-order formalism which can be considered as the classical limit of a momentum space formulation of quantum mechanics. This formalism can be constructed using the Hamiltonian duality transformation

$$\rightarrow p \qquad p \rightarrow -q$$
 (8)

which leaves invariant the formal structure of the Hamilton's equations (6) and the definition of the Poisson bracket

q

$$\{A, B\} = \frac{\partial A}{\partial q} \frac{\partial B}{\partial p} - \frac{\partial A}{\partial p} \frac{\partial B}{\partial q}$$

which defines the algebraic structure in the phase space (q, p).

Applying the duality transformation (8) to action (4) we obtain the new action

$$S = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt [-q\dot{p} - \tilde{H}(q, p)]$$
(9)

Varying action (9) we have

$$\delta S = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \left[ -(\dot{p} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial q}) \delta q - \frac{\partial H}{\partial p} \delta p - q \delta \dot{p} \right]$$
(10)

Integrating by parts the last term gives

$$-\int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt q \delta \dot{p} = -q \delta p \mid_{t_1}^{t_2} + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \dot{q} \delta p$$

Inserting this result into the variation (10) we find that

$$\delta S = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \left[ -(\dot{p} + \frac{\partial \tilde{H}}{\partial q}) \delta q + (\dot{q} - \frac{\partial \tilde{H}}{\partial p}) \delta p \right] - q \delta p \mid_{t_1}^{t_2}$$
(11)

Now, we see that, when Hamilton's equations

ġ

$$=\frac{\partial \tilde{H}}{\partial p} \qquad \dot{p}=-\frac{\partial \tilde{H}}{\partial q}$$

are valid, the variation (11) reduces to the surface term

$$\delta S = -q\delta p \mid_{t_1}^{t_2}$$

We now impose that  $\delta p(t_1) = 0$  and leave  $\delta p$  arbitrary at  $t = t_2$ . We now find that, as a function of the end point, action (9) satisfies

$$-q = \frac{\delta S}{\delta p} \tag{12}$$

Equation (12) is the central equation in this paper. We will describe below how equation (12) allows the construction of a formalism that can be interpreted as describing Loop Quantum Gravity in the momentum representation.

#### 2.3 The Transition To Quantum Mechanics

It is important to stress that the first-order formalism of section 2.2 was introduced only to be used as the classical limit of a momentum space formulation of quantum mechanics. Since the wave-particle duality disappears at the classical level, the classical Hamilton equations for the variables q and p derived from the Hamiltonian  $\tilde{H}(q, p)$  will in general appear to be inconsistent. However, when we turn to quantum mechanics, the Schrödinger equation obtained from the quantum operator corresponding to  $\tilde{H}(q, p)$  will be consistent.

The simplest example of the above situation is a free non-relativistic particle, described by the Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2m}$$

The Hamilton equations for this system are

$$\dot{q} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p} = \frac{p}{m}$$
  $\dot{p} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q} = 0$ 

These equations tell us that the free particle moves in the position space and remains at a fixed point in the momentum space. Quantization of this system using the operators (2) leads to the Schrödinger equation

$$-\hbar^2 \frac{\partial^2 \psi(q,t)}{\partial q^2} = i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi(q,t)}{\partial t}$$

Now, from the duality transformation (8), we obtain the Hamiltonian

$$\tilde{H} = \frac{q^2}{2m}$$

As we saw in section (2.2), the Hamilton equations remain valid for this Hamiltonian. These equations now give

$$\dot{q} = \frac{\partial \tilde{H}}{\partial p} = 0$$
  $\dot{p} = -\frac{\partial \tilde{H}}{\partial q} = -\frac{q}{m}$ 

which look counter-intuitive for us because the free particle now remains at a fixed point in the position space and moves in the momentum space. However, the existence of this dual situation is a consequence of the classical Hamiltonian duality, which we interpret as a residue of the quantum wave-particle duality. Quantization of this system using the operators (3) leads to the Schrödinger equation

$$-\hbar^2 \frac{\partial^2 \psi(p,t)}{\partial p^2} = i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi(p,t)}{\partial t}$$

which is perfectly consistent.

Obviously this illustrative example of a free nonrelativistic particle should be looked at with some care. This is because a free particle is an idealized physical system. All particles are subjected to some kind of interaction due to the fact that they are part of the universe. In the following sections we study this same situation in the more realistic case of General Relativity.

## **3 LOOP QUANTUM GRAVITY**

In this section we review the basic equations that define LQG in the configuration representation.

In 1986 Ashtekar [4,5] introduced a new set of variables to describe General Relativity. In this new set of variables GR can be described by the first-order action ( for details on this construction see ref. [1])

$$S = \frac{1}{8\pi i G} \int d^4 x (E_i^a \dot{A}_a^i - \lambda^i D_a E_i^a)$$
$$-\lambda^a F_{ab}^i E_i^b - \lambda F_{ab}^{ij} E_i^a E_j^b) \tag{13}$$

where

$$D_a V^i = \partial_a V^i + \epsilon^i_{ik} A^j_a V^k$$

is the covariant derivative on the tangent space  $T(\Sigma)$  of a compact three-dimensional manifold  $\Sigma$  without boundaries.

$$F_{ab}^{i} = \partial_a A_b^{i} - \partial_b A_a^{i} + \epsilon_{jk}^{i} A_a^{j} A_b^{k}$$

is the curvature of  $\Sigma$  and  $F_{ab}^{ij} = \epsilon_k^{ij} F_{ab}^k$ . The variables  $\lambda^i$ ,  $\lambda^a$  and  $\lambda$  are Lagrange multipliers without dynamics.

Indices i, j, ... = 1, 2, 3 are internal SU(2) indices and a, b = 1, 2, 3 are space indices. Comparing action (13) with action (4) we see that

#### a) the configuration variable is $A_a^i(\vec{x})$

b) the canonical momentum is  $E_i^a(\vec{x})$ 

c) the total [6] Hamiltonian density is given by  $H_T = \lambda^i D_a E_i^a + \lambda^a F_{ab}^i E_i^b + \lambda F_{ab}^{ij} E_i^a E_j^b$ 

Varying action (13) in relation to the variables  $\lambda^i$ ,  $\lambda^a$  and  $\lambda$  we obtain the first-class [6] constraints

$$D_a E_i^a = 0 \tag{14}$$

$$F_{ab}^i E_i^b = 0 \tag{15}$$

$$F^{ij}_{ab}E^a_iE^b_j = 0 \tag{16}$$

Equation (14) is the requirement of invariance of the theory under internal SU(2) transformations. Equation (15) is the requirement of invariance of the theory under space diffeomorphisms. Equation (16) is the canonical Hamiltonian. Equations (14), (15) and (16) together are equivalent to the Einstein equations in vacuum [1].

Now, using the analog of equation (7), that is

$$E_i^a = \frac{\delta S}{\delta A_a^i}$$

we can make a transition to the Hamilton-Jacobi formalism as an intermediate step to the quantum theory. Equations (14), (15) and (16) then become [1]

$$D_a \frac{\delta S}{\delta A_a^i} = 0 \tag{17}$$

$$F^i_{ab}\frac{\delta S}{\delta A^i_b} = 0 \tag{18}$$

$$F^{ij}_{ab}\frac{\delta S}{\delta A^i_a}\frac{\delta S}{\delta A^j_b} = 0$$
(19)

The transition to LQG in the configuration space is then obtained by substituting the classical action S by the wave functional  $\Psi(A)$  in equations (17), (18) and (19). The final result is

$$D_a \frac{\delta}{\delta A_a^i} \Psi(A) = 0 \tag{20}$$

$$F^{i}_{ab}\frac{\delta}{\delta A^{i}_{b}}\Psi(A) = 0$$
(21)

$$F^{ij}_{ab}\frac{\delta}{\delta A^i_a}\frac{\delta}{\delta A^j_{\mu}}\Psi(A) = 0$$
 (22)

Equations (20), (21) and (22) are the basic quantum equations of LQG [1].

## 4 THE HAMILTONIAN DUALITY AND GENERAL RELATIVITY

In the context of GR, described by the firstorder action (13), the Hamiltonian duality transformation assumes the form

$$A_a^i \to E_i^a \qquad E_i^a \to -A_a^i$$
 (23)

Under this transformation, the first-order action (13) becomes

$$S = \frac{1}{8\pi i G} \int d^4x (-A^i_a \dot{E}^a_i - \lambda_i \nabla^a \qquad (24)$$

$$A_{a}^{i} - \lambda_{b} R_{i}^{ab} A_{a}^{i} - R_{ij}^{ab} A_{a}^{i} A_{b}^{j})$$
 (24)

The covariant derivative  $\nabla^a$  is now defined on the cotangent space  $T^*(\Sigma)$  and is given by

$$\nabla^a V^i = \partial^a V^i + \epsilon^{ijk} E^a_i V^k$$

and the curvature  $R_i^{ab}$  in momentum space is given by

$$R_i^{ab} = \partial^a E_i^b - \partial^b E_i^a + \epsilon_i^{jk} E_j^a E_k^b$$

with  $R_{ij}^{ab} = \epsilon_{ijk} R_k^{ab}$ . Action (24) is completely new and, as far as we know, has never appeared before in the literature. It describes a previously unknown dual version of the first-order action (13) that describes GR. Consequently it is impossible to compare action (24) with other pre-existing actions that describe GR, such as the ADM action [10], the Palatini action (for details see ref [9]) or the Host action [11], unless we construct the corresponding dual versions of these actions, as we did in this paper for action (13). Action (24) is new and unique. However, care must be taken when dealing with action (24). Following Dirac's original idea mentioned above, we should not interpret action (24) as describing a real classical physical system. As will become clear in the next section, action (24) should be interpreted as a formal action describing the classical limit of a real quantum physical system.

To conclude this section we mention that we are not inclined to expect that there might be

advantages in using action (24) instead of action (13), or the contrary. Since these two actions are related by the classical Hamiltonian duality transformation, which in this paper is interpreted as the classical residue of the quantum waveparticle duality, these two actions should be considered as complementary actions. By this we mean for example that one action may be used to investigate features that are difficult to investigate using the other and vice-versa.

In the next section we describe how action (24) can lead us to a new quantum theory for the gravitational interaction. We interpret this new quantum theory as Loop Quantum Gravity in the momentum representation.

# 5 LOOP QUANTUM GRAVITY IN THE MOMENTUM REPRESENTATION

The equations of motion for the variables  $\lambda_i$ ,  $\lambda_a$  and  $\lambda$  that follow from action (24) give the constraints

$$\nabla^a A^i_a = 0 \tag{25}$$

$$R_i^{ab}A_b^i = 0 \tag{26}$$

$$R_{ij}^{ab}A_a^i A_b^j = 0 \tag{27}$$

The next step towards the quantum theory is to use the general equation (12) we derived in section two. In the present case equation (12) becomes

$$A_a^i = -\frac{\delta S}{\delta E_i^a} \tag{28}$$

Substituting equation (28) into equations (25), (26) and (27) we obtain the Hamilton-Jacobi equations

$$\nabla^a \frac{\delta S}{\delta E_i^a} = 0 \tag{29}$$

$$R_i^{ab} \frac{\delta S}{\delta E_i^b} = 0 \tag{30}$$

$$R_{ij}^{ab} \frac{\delta S}{\delta E_i^a} \frac{\delta S}{\delta E_j^b} = 0 \tag{31}$$

Finally, the transition to the quantum theory is completed by substituting the classical action S by the wave functional  $\Psi(E)$  in momentum space. This gives

$$\nabla^a \frac{\delta}{\delta E_i^a} \Psi(E) = 0 \tag{32}$$

$$R_i^{ab} \frac{\delta}{\delta E_i^b} \Psi(E) = 0$$
 (33)

$$R_{ij}^{ab} \frac{\delta}{\delta E_i^a} \frac{\delta}{\delta E_j^b} \Psi(E) = 0$$
 (34)

We interpret equations (32), (33) and (34) as the basic quantum equations of Loop Quantum Gravity in the momentum representation.

The basic quantum equations of LQG in the configuration representation, equations (20), (21) and (22) are now related to the basic quantum equations of LQG in the momentum representation, equations (32), (33) and (34) via the quantum wave-particle duality. This quantum duality interchanges the operator

$$\hat{E}^a_i = -i\hbar \frac{\delta}{\delta A^i_a}$$

with the operator

$$\hat{A}_a^i = i\hbar \frac{\delta}{\delta E_i^a}$$

and interchanges the quantum wave functional  $\Psi(A)$  with the quantum wave functional  $\Psi(E)$ , leaving invariant the commutator between the the operators  $\hat{A}_a^i$  and  $\hat{E}_i^a$ . Therefore we should not expect that there might be advantages in using LQG in the momentum representation instead of using LQG in the configuration representation, or vice-versa. From our point of view these two representations of Loop Quantum Gravity should be considered as complementary descriptions of the quantum mechanics of the gravitational field.

### 6 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this paper, motivated by Dirac's idea that a Hamiltonian formalism is a first approximation to a corresponding quantum theory, we presented a generalization of the first-order formalism used to describe the dynamics of a classical system. This generalization is based on the Hamiltonian duality, which interchanges the configuration and the momentum variables of phase space. The Hamiltonian duality leaves invariant the formal structure of the Poisson bracket, which defines the algebraic structure of phase space. It also leaves invariant the formal structure of Hamilton's equations. As we saw in this paper, the generalization of the first-order formalism we presented also leaves Hamilton's equations invariant. Our generalization was then used to derive a new equation (equation (12)) that allows a possible extension of the Hamilton-Jacobi formalism.

After a review of the basic equations that define Loop Quantum Gravity, the results described above were applied to the first-order action that describes General Relativity. For simplicity we considered only the case of a compact manifold. This eliminates the discussion of boundary terms. A new dual action, which should be interpreted as a formal action describing the classical limit of quantum General Relativity in the momentum representation, was then obtained.

As an intermediate step towards the quantum theory we used our new equation (12) to construct the Hamilton-Jacobi formalism for the dual action (24). Finally, using standard quantization techniques, we obtained quantum equations that can be interpreted as defining Loop Quantum Gravity in the momentum representation. These final quantum equations justify the interpretation of action (24) as describing the classical limit of quantum General Relativity in the momentum representation, in agreement with Dirac's original idea mentioned above.

## 7 CONCLUSION

The conclusion of this paper is that the quantum theory for the gravitational interaction, based on the canonical quantization of General Relativity, can be formulated in the configuration or in the momentum representation. We think that this conclusion gives further support for the validity of Loop Quantum Gravity. In addition, this conclusion opens a new line of research in LQG. This new line of research is already well defined. It should start with a search for a possible geometrical meaning for the dual action (24) using the tools of differential geometry. A second step should be the use of the Poisson bracket in the  $(A_a^i, E_i^a)$  phase space to investigate the algebra defined by constraints (32), (33) and (34). After this one should try to elucidate the particular quantum dynamic features of the momentum representation and how these quantum dynamic features are related to the presently known ones in the configuration representation. A reasonable conjecture is that all these quantum dynamic features will be related via some type of gravitational wave-particle duality.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to the referees for their careful reading, constructive criticisms, comments and suggestions, which have helped us to improve this work significantly.

## COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors has declared that no competing interests exist.

## References

- [1] Rovelli C. Quantum gravity.Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics; 2004.
- [2] Thiemann T. Modern canonical quantum general relativity. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics; 2008.
- [3] Rovelli C, Vidotto F. Covariant loop quantum gravity. Cambridge University Press; 2015.
- [4] Ashtekar A. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1986;57:2244.
- [5] Ashtekar A. Phys. Rev. 1987;D36:1587.
- [6] Dirac PAM. Lectures on quantum mechanics. Yeshiva University; 1964.
- [7] Kiefer C. Quantum gravity. Oxford University Press; 2007.
- [8] Gambini R, Pullin J. A first course in loop quantum Gravity. Oxford University Press; 2011.

- [9] Vaid D, Bilson-Thompson S. LQG for [11] Holst S. Phys. Rev. 1996;D53:5966. the bewildered. Springer International Publishing; 2017.
- [10] Arnowitt RL, Deser S, Misner CW. 1962;arXiv:0405109. [gr-qc]

©2019 Chagas-Filho; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/48386