



Antinutrients in Mungbean and Strategy for Reduction: An Overview

Shrikrishna B. Narale ^{a*}, Vishnu B. Gore ^{b++},
Sudarshan Ambhure ^{c++}, Kundan ^{b++}, Ajay Kumar ^{d+},
Pawan R. Thombre ^{c++}, Apeksha M. Suryawanshi ^e
and Rohit Palghadmal ^{c++}

^a Department of Biochemistry, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, India.

^b Division of Biochemistry, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India.

^c Mahatma Phule Krushi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, India.

^d Division of Agricultural Engineering, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India.

^e Department of Animal Husbandry and Dairy Science, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/ejnf/2024/v16i91546>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/122664>

Review Article

Received: 11/07/2024

Accepted: 13/09/2024

Published: 21/09/2024

ABSTRACT

Mung bean (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek) is a highly nutritious pulse, particularly popular in Asian countries and traditionally used in medicine. It is a rich source of protein, dietary fiber, essential vitamins, minerals, and amino acids, including calcium, magnesium, iron, phosphorus, and potassium. Trypsin inhibitors, tannins, phytic acid, saponins, and polyphenols are some of the

⁺⁺ Ph.D. Scholar;

*Corresponding author: Email: Sbnarale00@gmail.com;

Cite as: Narale, Shrikrishna B., Vishnu B. Gore, Sudarshan Ambhure, Kundan, Ajay Kumar, Pawan R. Thombre, Apeksha M. Suryawanshi, and Rohit Palghadmal. 2024. "Antinutrients in Mungbean and Strategy for Reduction: An Overview". *European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety* 16 (9):275-89. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ejnf/2024/v16i91546>.

antinutritional substances found in mung beans that can lower their nutritional value by preventing the digestion of proteins and carbohydrates, causing problems with the liver and intestines, and binding nutrients. These antinutrients can have both beneficial and detrimental impacts on human health, and they are essential to plant physiology for defense and seed storage, among other functions. Several processing techniques, including soaking, autoclaving, cooking, sprouting, roasting, and dehulling, can be employed to lessen their effects; each technique efficiently reduces a particular type of antinutrient. Moreover, mung bean varieties with reduced amounts of antinutritional substances may be developed using breeding techniques like selection, backcrossing, and mutation breeding, which would improve the beans' total nutritional value.

Keywords: Mungbean; antinutrients; processing; phytate; trypsin; polyphenols.

1. INTRODUCTION

Legumes are a broad category of crops that belong to flowering plants and yield seeds in pods that are frequently processed for use in food and feed. With about 19500 species and 750 genera, legumes are the third-largest family of flowering plants [1]. They are an important source of dietary protein and play a big role in the diets of the impoverished in underdeveloped and emerging nations where it is generally more expensive to get animal protein [2,7]. In addition, compared to other high-protein food kinds, legumes have minimal environmental impact [3,4].

The mung bean (*Vigna radiata* L. Wildzék) is a popular pulse that is consumed all throughout the world, but especially in Asian nations, where it has long been used in traditional medicine [55]. India is the world's leading producer of mungbean, which is cultivated in practically every state. Mungbean production on 33.37 lakh hectares was 17.5 lakh tons in Kharif 2022–2023. It is grown both alone and in combination with other crops, including as maize, sorghum, cotton, and minor millets (<http://www.agricoop.nic.in>).

Like other members of the legume family, mung beans are composed of 63% carbohydrates, 16% dietary fiber, 1% fat, and 24% protein [57]. While its various food products like cake, sprouts, noodles, and soups evolved in oriental nations like China, the Philippines, and Thailand, its savoury foods, sweets, snacks, and dhal (thick stews made from dehulled and split grains) have evolved and gained popularity in the Indian subcontinent [14,15,27]. In addition, mung beans are a highly well-liked Asian dish that have significant advantages over other legumes, including the ability to cleanse, reduce inflammation, fight tumor growth, lower cholesterol, and diuretic effects [57].

There has never been an attempt to scientifically validate the safety and quality of food produced using conventional processing techniques [8,11,16]. Different processing techniques, such as soaking, dehulling, cooking, germination, and roasting, can be used to reduce the antinutrients; however, research is still needed to determine how beneficial these techniques are in compared [76]. The health concerns associated with mung bean consumption may be significantly decreased with the documentation of processing techniques that effectively remove the antinutrients found in mung beans [21-26]. Therefore, it is more than justified to try to enhance the nutritional qualities of mung beans with home remedies to lower antinutritional elements [55]. Thus, current review provides a knowledge about different processing techniques to be followed for elimination of antinutrients from mungbean.

2. HEALTH BENEFITS OF MUNG BEAN

Green gram seeds are used medicinally to treat obesity, fever, and other conditions. In the Ayurvedic medical system, it is beneficial for skin conditions, heat-related illnesses, and weakness. In India, green gram flour is used to make herbal soap [18,19]. A common ingredient in Asian cooking, green gram sprouts are high in vitamins and minerals. According to recent studies, green gram starch is a good source of slowly digesting carbohydrates for those with diabetes. It alters lipid and glucose metabolism favourably and causes a blood glycaemic response in humans [101].

It has been observed that the green gram helps to moisturize the skin and regulate unsettled stomach [28,29,31]. The main components of green gram's anti-melanogenesis, antioxidant, antimicrobial, anti-hypertensive, anti-inflammatory, immunomodulatory, and antitumor

properties are believed to be its high levels of proteins, amino acids, oligosaccharides, and polyphenols. These components also play a role in the regulation of lipid metabolism [134].

3. STRUCTURE OF MUNG BEAN COTYLEDON

Mature seeds have three essential components: the embryo, cotyledons, and seed coat. 7–15% of the total seed mass is made up of the seed coat. Approximately 85% of the seed mass is made up of cotyledons, with the remaining 1-4% coming from the embryo, as seen in Fig. 1. The exterior structures of the seed are the testa, hilum, micropyle, and raphe. The outside portion of the seed, known as the testa (smooth or rough), almost completely covers the seed surface. Where the seed was attached to the stalk, the hilum is an oval scar seen on the seed coat. The micropyle is a tiny hole near the hilum in the seed coat [32,35,41]. An edge on the hilum that faces the micropyle is called the raphe. The embryonic structure is the surplus material that remains after the seed coat is removed from the grain. There are two cotyledons and a brief pivot above and below them that make up the early-stage structure [37-40,61-64]. The seed coat provides a flimsy assurance, but the two cotyledons are not genuinely attached to one another other than at the pivot [56,59]. The seed is hence unusually susceptible to breaking [97].




Fig. 1. Structure of mung bean cotyledon [97]

4. WHAT ARE THE ANTINUTRIENTS?

Chemicals known as antinutrients hinder the body's ability to grow and function normally when they are present in food, whether it comes from humans or animals. anti-nutritional factors (ANFs) or non-beneficial compounds that can affect human and animal growth as well as reduce their nutrient intake, absorption, and

utilization. These include phytic acid, saponins, alkaloids, certain oligosaccharides, protease inhibitors, glucosinolates, tannins, and cyanogenic glycosides [9], [30]. Antinutrients are known to alter the absorption of nutrients such as vitamins, minerals, and proteins in addition to inhibiting enzyme activities. There are a plethora of studies that have proven the negative impact of ANFs on nutrient bioavailability in different living organisms [9], [30]. However, the deleterious effects of ANFs on nutrient metabolism vary according to age, species, concentration of ANFs, processing, and interactions with other nutrients. According to [33,48-53,58], anti-nutritional agents are primarily found in foods and feed material made from grain legumes and pulses, as well as in pulses themselves.

5. BENEFICIAL EFFECTS OF ANTINUTRIENTS IN PLANT

There can be no doubt that the so called "anti-nutrients" of legumes have a biological function. They are certainly important in the physiology of seedlings as N or C storage compounds and to facilitate nutrient uptake and rhizosphere establishment [73].

5.1 Anti- Nutritional Profiling of Mungbean

Antinutritional substances found in mung beans include tannins, phytic acids, polyphenols, trypsin inhibitors, and saponins [125], [27], [123], [1].

5.1.1 Tannins

The seed coat of most legumes contains significant levels of tannins, which are polyphenolic chemicals. It interferes with iron absorption by forming an irreversible bond with iron [67-69]. Moreover, they bind proteins, decreasing their availability, and interfere with the absorption of B vitamins. The ancient word "tannin" refers to a customary invention. The method of turning raw animal hides or skins into sturdy, non-putrescible leathers by applying plant extracts from different plant sections was referred to in the logical writing as tanning. Plant polyphenolic component tannin, which has a molecular weight ranging from 500 to over 3000, is an astringent, bitter substance that either binds or precipitates proteins and other organic molecules, such as amino acids and alkaloids [43].

Molecular formula: C₇₆H₅₂O₄₆




Fig. 2. Structure of hydrolyzed tannin [33]

Table 1. The major role of anti-nutrients in consumption and plant growth regulation

Sr. no.	Anti-nutrient	Effects on consumption	Role in plant growth	References
1.	Phytic acid	1. Animal nutrition inhibitor. 2. Decreases the level of blood glucose.	Micronutrient chelation and phosphorus storage for growth and development.	[47], [30]
2.	Saponins	1. By causing damage to red blood cells, induce vomiting and diarrhea. 2. Impacts the gastrointestinal membranes' ability to absorb nutrients. 3. Detrimental effect on the feed efficiency and chick development.	Resistance against diseases in vegetables.	[6], [30]
3.	Trypsin inhibitor	Protease inhibitors reduce the activity of some enzymes during ingestion.	Confer biotic stress tolerance and act as biopesticides.	[9], [33], [65]
4.	Tannins	1. Damage the intestines and inhibit digestion enzymes. 2. Have been linked to decreased protein digestibility, growth rate, feed efficiency, and feed intake.	Act against pathogenic bacteria, have antibacterial actions, and are antioxidants.	[6]

5.1.2 Phytate phosphorus

Foods strong in fiber, such as legumes and cereals, also have high levels of phytate or phytic acid. In the fully grown seeds of both monocot and dicot plants, phytate, or myo-inositol 1,2,3,4,5,6-hexakis (dihydrogen phosphate), is a major form of phosphorous storage [77-80]. It typically accounts for more than 80% of the soluble myo-inositol phosphate in seeds and about 75% of the total phosphorous [34]. Plant seed components make up the majority of animal and human feeds. Because humans lack phytases, seed phytic acid is typically inaccessible to monogastric animals like humans and is expelled as manure [47,72,74]. Water quality problems and eutrophication are caused by the excretion of undigested phytic acid in fertilizer [150]. A phosphatase called phytotase hydrolyzes phytate to produce free orthophosphate and inositol [152]. It's available

in a lot of seeds. Cereals contain a large amount of phosphorus in the form of phytate. Iron, zinc, calcium, and magnesium are bound by phytate, which prevents them from being absorbed [12]. Phytic acid (IP6) and phytin (phytate salts) are the two main types of phytates that are encountered. Phytates are chelating agents; their primary mechanism of antinutritional action is the binding of metals (Ca^{+2} , Mg^{+2} , Fe^{+2} , Zn^{+2} , and Cu^{+2}), which results in inadequate absorption [45,82-86].

Furthermore, protein consumption is negatively impacted by the creation of protein-phytate complexes [145]. Moreover, phytates affect the activities of trypsin, pepsin, and amylase [70]. Researchers have reported that the estimated values of phytate content in mungbean are as follows: 57.62 mg/100g [92], 63.2 mg/100g [99], 58 mg/100g [81], 66.47 mg/100g to 69.24 mg/100g [60], 44.80 mg/100g [27]. According to Srinivasan et al. (2007), phytic acid can be

viewed as an anti-nutritional substance from a nutritional standpoint, yet it gives grains protection from the bruchid beetle (*Callosobruchus maculatus*) when they are being stored. Wide variations in reported phytic acid levels in mung beans were discovered by [42]. These variations could be caused by genetic variations, since some reports indicate that the phytate content of mung beans is inherited on a genetic basis. Upendra Pokharel (2021) investigated the impact of processing techniques on antinutritional factors found in mung beans. The findings showed that the raw mung beans phytate content was 626.54 mg/100 g, which is comparable to the 622 mg/100 g found by [123]. However, this value is lower than the range of 727 to 940 mg/100 g found by [20]. According to Zafar et al. (2023), phytic acid levels in mung bean cultivars ranged from 0.45 to 1.2 percent, with a mean value of 0.69 percent.

Molecular formula: C₆H₁₈O₂₄P₆

5.1.3 Polyphenols

All higher plants contain phenolic compounds, which are widely distributed bioactive secondary metabolites mainly produced by the pentose phosphate, phenylpropanoid, and shikimic acid pathways [17,98,100,102]. They can range from simple molecules to extremely complex polymers and share a structural characteristic of having one or more hydroxyl groups directly attached to the aromatic ring. Based on the number of phenolic hydroxyl groups connected and the structural components that link benzene rings, phenolic compounds are subdivided into subgroups of phenolic acids, flavonoids, tannins, and stilbenes [122]. It is estimated that about 8,000 phenolic chemicals found in flora have been identified and extracted [96]. Foods' sensory qualities are influenced by phenolic compounds, and tannins are mainly responsible for the astringency of food sources [75].




Fig. 3. Structure of phytic acid [12]

5.1.4 Saponins

Saponins are naturally occurring substances that are found in every cell of legume plants. A complex and chemically diverse category of chemicals, saponins derive their name from their ability to produce stable, soap-like foams in aqueous solutions [13]. Saponins are glycosidic, amphiphilic, and heat-stable substances that are typically found in a wide range of plant foods. They consist of one or more oligosaccharide moieties bonded to an aglycone that is either steroidal or triterpenoid. These compounds have amazing foaming and emulsifying qualities because of the strong hydrophilia of the sugar chains and the excessive hydrophobicity of the aglycone [87-91,135].

They are structurally made up of a lipid-soluble aglycone that is coupled to one or more water-soluble sugar residues of various kinds and quantities of sugars that are found in many different plants [93-95]. This aglycone can contain either a sterol or a triterpene group. The types, quantities, and content of the steroid ring determine the architectures of saponin found in various plant diets [103]. The figure depicts the structure of soyasaponin III, which is found in mung beans.

Molecular formula: C₅₈H₉₄O₂₇




Fig. 4. Structure of soyasaponin III present in mung bean [113]

5.1.5 Trypsin inhibitor

A protein known as a trypsin inhibitor (TI) function as a kind of serine protease inhibitor (serpin) by regulating the activation and synergistic reactions of other proteins, thereby reducing the biological activity of trypsin [104-107]. Based on molecular weight, legume TIs are categorized into two families: Bowman-Birk (BBTIs) with molecular weights of about 8 kDa

and Kunitz (KTIs) with molecular weights of about 20 kDa. While mung beans, cowpeas, lentils, etc. exclusively contain trypsin inhibitors from the BBTI family, soybeans have inhibitors from both groups. According to [138], KTI has two disulphide bonds while BBTI has seven. Trypsin is an enzyme that breaks down a variety of proteins, mostly during digestion in humans and other animals like young ruminants and monogastric animals. When trypsin inhibitor is consumed, it acts as an irreversible and competitive substrate [117,118-121]. It competes with proteins to bind to trypsin and therefore renders it unavailable to bind with proteins for the digestion

Furthermore, chymotrypsin function is partially interfered with by trypsin inhibitors [137]. Trypsin inhibitors lower the effectiveness of proteins, which means that the body of the consumer cannot fully and efficiently utilize the protein [66].

5.1.6 Strategies for reduction of Anti-nutrients from mung bean

Strategies for reducing anti-nutrients in mung beans include traditional processing methods such as soaking, sprouting, fermentation, and cooking. Each of these methods has been shown to significantly lower the levels of various anti-nutrients, thereby improving the digestibility and nutritional quality of mung beans [108,114,115].




Fig. 5. Different approaches for reduction of anti-nutrients from mung bean

A. Traditional approaches

1. Soaking

According to [116], soaking causes water to permeate the protein fraction and starch granules, which desaturates the protein and makes the texture of the beans mushy. Since phytate dissolves in water, soaking beans in water for the entire night significantly reduced the amount of phytate present. After soaking for 12 hours, mung beans' phytic acid content

decreased by 18%. After soaking, mung beans' levels of polyphenols decreased by 23% whereas those of the trypsin inhibitor decreased by 7% [46]. Mung beans that are soaked for 24 hours have a 28–35% different tannin content [60].

2. Cooking

Cooking often inactivates volatile molecules and heat-sensitive antinutritive factors including chymotrypsin and trypsin inhibitors. Tannin content in a variety of pulses, including kidney, mung, lentil, and cowpea, may have decreased after boiling as a result of tannins binding to proteins and other organic materials [65,109-112], [71]. Cooking destroys polyphenols in addition to tannins [140]. Pre-soaked cooked mung beans had a 15% and 20% decrease in phytic acid and tannin, respectively. Tannins and phytic acid are reduced in cooked mung beans that haven't been soaked by 25% and 30%, respectively [47].

3. Autoclaving

Trypsin inhibitor and hemagglutinin were completely eliminated from mung beans using autoclaving [81]. Phytic acid and tannin have been reduced by 34% and 44%, respectively, in presoaked autoclaved mung bean samples [123]. Phytic acid and tannin have been reduced by 32% and 40%, respectively, in unsoaked autoclaved mung bean samples [123,124,131, 132,133].

4. Roasting

Roasting is done to enhance the product's sensory attributes and inactivate harmful enzymes, which enhances the product's nutritional value and storage capacity [99]. According to [123], roasting mung beans can reduce their phytic acid, tannin, trypsin inhibitor, and polyphenols by up to 30%, 17%, 92%, and 17%, respectively.

5. Dehulling

One of the important post-harvest processes for improving the palatability of food grains is dehulling, or the removal of the seed coat from pulses. However, dietary fiber and minerals are lost as a result. The embryo and sticky layer that are present between the hull and the cotyledons are also removed by dehulling [71,126-130]. Dehulling decreased condensed tannin and polyphenol concentration while increasing protein content [36]; [10].

Table 2. Overview of different approaches for reduction of anti-nutrients from mung bean

Physical processing	Comments	Results	References
Soaking	Deeping the seeds in water with or without any additives.	Reduces the oligosaccharides like raffinose and stachyose.	[36]
Autoclaving	Heating at high temperature 121°C under pressure.	Reduction in trypsin inhibitors and tannins.	[1] ; [71]
Cooking	Ordinary domestic cooking by using pressure cooker.	Reduction of tannins content after cooking due to the binding of tannins with proteins and other organic substances during cooking.	[65], [71]
Sprouting	Soaking the seed in ethanol for one minute. (for sterilization) followed by keeping it in moist cotton until germination appear.	Reduces antinutritional factors such as trypsin inhibitors, phytic acid stachyose and raffinose.	[81]
Roasting	Dry heating about 120°C-250°C.	Removes of trypsin inhibitor	[60]

6. Sprouting

During sprouting, the enzymatic system of the seed gets activated. It has been recognized as one of the best processing techniques for raising the nutritional content of pulses and improving the nutrients' digestibility, especially protein and carbs [71]. This is the most efficient way to lessen the phytic acid in legumes. During germination, phytic acid is broken down, increasing the availability of inorganic phosphorus [143]. Tannin, phytic acid, and trypsin inhibitor are all decreased by 67%, 31%, and 23%, respectively, upon mung bean sprouting [81].

B. Breeding approaches

Selective breeding techniques and hybridization are the main components of traditional breeding practices used to reduce antinutrients in mung beans. For decades, farmers have employed these methods to enhance various aspects of crops, such as their nutritional value [135]. Here's a thorough explanation of how these techniques can be used to lower the antinutrient content of mung beans:

1. Selection

The process of selection includes discovering the plants with desirable traits, keeping them, and getting rid of the ones that have unfavorable traits [141,142,144]. It was also shown that screening pulse germplasm for reduced enzyme

inhibitors was an effective method of locating possible donors with reduced inhibitors [5]. Orf and Hymowitz (1979), identified two zero Kunitz inhibitor lines in soybeans, PI 157-440 and PI 196-168.

2. Backcrossing and Mutation breeding

A backcross occurs when an organism combines with either of its parents. Plant mutation breeding, also known as variant breeding, is an approach for creating novel crop varieties that involves causing spontaneous genetic diversity in plants using chemical or physical methods [5]. A technique backcrossing is used to improve or introduce a certain trait in a plant while keeping other desired traits from the original parent. Using this method to add a feature like lower antinutrient levels to well-adapted or high-yielding cultivars is especially helpful [54,136,139]. In order to add variety to a plant population, mutation breeding entails causing genetic mutations. If the pathways for antinutrient synthesis are affected by these mutations, it may result in lower amounts of antinutrients. By carefully applying these methods, breeders can develop mung bean varieties with reduced antinutrient levels, enhancing the nutritional quality of the crop while maintaining desirable agronomic characteristics [146]. The two primary approaches for reducing anti-nutritional characteristics in crops are backcrossing and mutation breeding [147,148,149,151]. Using both spontaneous and induced mutations in important crops, phytic acid has been successfully reduced [54].

6. CONCLUSIONS

This review highlights the key antinutritional components in mung beans, such as trypsin inhibitors, tannins, and phytic acid, which can reduce nutrient absorption and cause health issues. To mitigate these effects, processing methods like dehulling, pressure cooking, and sprouting are most effective, preserving nutrients while reducing antinutrients. Additionally, breeding techniques like selection, backcrossing, and mutation breeding show promise in eliminating harmful compounds.

7. FUTURE PROSPECTS

Large amount of mung bean is imported from India as it has the highest production in the world. Nowadays, production rate is also increasing day by day mainly, and hence consumption is also increasing due to its beneficial effect in the human body. Present review prominently deals with antinutrients in mung bean and effect of various processing methods to reduce those antinutrients. Hence, review might help in the establishment of the effective and optimized way for the use of green gram in household level and industrial levels.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Abbas Y, Ahmad A. Impact of processing on nutritional and antinutritional factors of legumes: A review. *Annals. Food Sci. Technol.* 2018;19(2):199-215.
2. Adegunwa M, Adebowale A, Bakare H, Kalejaiye K. Effects of treatments on the antinutritional factors and functional properties of Bambara groundnut (*Voandzeia subterranea*) flour. *J. Food Process. Preserv.* 2014;38(4):1875-1881. DOI: 10.1111/jfpp.12159
3. Afam AOC, Agugo UA, Anyaegbu EC. Effect of germination on the nutritional and antinutritional contents of mungbean. *Asian J. Appl. Sci. Technol.* 2016;4(7):801-805.
4. Aguilera Y, Estrella I, Benitez V, Esteban RM, Martín-Cabrejas MA. Bioactive phenolic compounds and functional properties of dehydrated bean flours. *Food Res. Int.* 2011;44(3):774-780.
5. Ahmar S, Gill RA, Jung KH, Faheem A, Qasim MU, Mubeen M, Zhou W. Conventional and molecular techniques from simple breeding to speed breeding in crop plants: Recent advances and future outlook. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences.* 2020;21(7):2590.
6. Akande KE, Fabiyi EF. Effect of processing methods on some antinutritional factors in legume seeds for poultry feeding. *Int. J. Poultry Sci.* 2010;9(10):996-1001.
7. Akhtar MS, Israr B, Bhatti N, Ali A. Effect of cooking on soluble and insoluble oxalate contents in selected Pakistani vegetables and beans. *Int. J. Food Prop.* 2011;14(1):241-249.
8. Alajaji SA, El-Adawy TA. Nutritional composition of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum L.*) as affected by microwave cooking and other traditional cooking methods. *J. Food Compos. Anal.* 2006;19(8):806-812.
9. Ali A, Devarajan S, Manickavasagan A, Ata A. Antinutritional factors and biological constraints in the utilization of plant protein foods. In *Plant protein foods*. Cham: Springer International Publishing. 2022;407-438.
10. Alonso R, Aguirre A, Marzo F. Effects of extrusion and traditional processing methods on antinutrients and *In vitro* digestibility of protein and starch in faba and kidney beans. *Food Chem.* 2000;68(2):159-165.
11. Amarowicz R, Pegg RB. Legumes as a source of natural antioxidants. *Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol.* 2008;110(10):865-878.
12. Aneta P, Dasha M. Antinutrients in plant-based foods: A review. *Open Biotechnol. J.* 2019;13:68-76. DOI: 10.2174/1874070701913010068
13. Arunasalam K, Shi J, Yeung D, Kakuda Y, Mittal G, Jiang Y. Saponins from edible legumes: Chemistry, processing, and health benefits. *J. Med. Food.* 2004;7(1):67-78. DOI: 10.1089/109662004322984734
14. Attou A, Bouderoua K, Cheriguene A. Effects of roasting process on nutritional and antinutritional factors of two lentils

- varieties (*Lens culinaris*) cultivated in Algeria. *S. Asian J. Exp. Biol. Sci.* 2020;10(6):445-454.
15. Aviles-Gaxiola S, Chuck-Hernández C, Serna Saldivar SO. Inactivation methods of trypsin inhibitor in legumes: A review. *J. Food Sci.* 2018;83(1):17-29. DOI: 10.1111/1750-3841.13985
 16. Awad E, Osman AM, Awadelkareem AM, Gasim SM. Nutritional composition and antinutrients of two faba bean lines. *Int. J. Adv. Res.* 2014;2(12):538- 544.
 17. Balasundram N, Sundram K, Samman S. Phenolic compounds in plants and agri-industrial by-products: Antioxidant activity, occurrence, and potential uses. *Food Chem.* 2006;99(1):191-203.
 18. Barroga CF, Laurena AC, Mendoza EMT. Polyphenols in mung bean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) *Wilczek*): Determination and removal. *J. Agri. Food Chem.* 1985;33(5):1006-1009. DOI: 10.1021/jf00065a056
 19. Basu N, Rastogi RP. Triterpenoid saponins and sapogenins. *Phytochem.* 1967;6(9):1249-1270. DOI: 10.1016/S0031-9422(00)86088-4
 20. Bindu BM, Ashwini M, Vijaykumar AG, Kasturiba B. Nutrition composition and antinutritional factors of green gram varieties. *Environ. Ecol.* 2017;35(3):1699-1703.
 21. Boye J, Zare F, Pletch A. Pulse proteins: Processing, characterization, functional properties and applications in food and feed. *Food Res. Int.* 2010;43(2):414- 431. DOI: 10.1016/j.foodres.2009.09.003
 22. Brudzynski A, Salamon A. The oxalic acid content in selected barley varieties grown in Poland, as well as in their malts and worts. *J. Inst. Brew.* 2011;117(1):67-73.
 23. Brunner JH. Direct spectrophotometric determination of saponin. *J. Anal. Chem.* 1984;34(396):1314-1326.
 24. Camacho L, Sierra C, Campos R, Guzman E, Marcus D. Nutritional changes caused by the germination of legumes commonly eaten in Chile. *Arch. Latinoam. Nutr.* 1992;42(3):283-290.
 25. Clementson CL, Ileleji KE, Rosentrater KA. Evaluation of measurement procedures used to determine the bulk density of distillers dried grains with solubles. *Trans. Am. Soc. Agric. Biol. Eng.* 2010;53(2):485-490.
 26. Coscueta ER, Pintado ME, Picó GA, Knobel G, Boschetti CE, Malpiedi LP, Nerli BB. Continuous method to determine the trypsin inhibitor activity in soybean flour. *Food Chem.* 2017;214:156-161.
 27. Dahiya P, Linnemann A, Van Boekel M, Khetarpaul N, Grewal R, Nout M. Mung bean: Technological and nutritional potential. *Food Sci. Nutr.* 2015;55(5): 670-688. DOI: 10.1080/10408398.2012.671202
 28. Dendougui F, Schwedt G. *In vitro* analysis of binding capacities of calcium to phytic acid in different food samples. *Eur. Food Res. Technol.* 2004;219(4):409-415.
 29. Deol JK, Bains K. Effect of household cooking methods on nutritional and antinutritional factors in green cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) pods. *J. Food Sci. Technol.* 2010;47(5):579-581. DOI: 10.1007/s13197-010-0112-3
 30. Dey S, Saxena A, Kumar Y, Maity T, Tarafdar A. Understanding the antinutritional factors and bioactive compounds of kodo millet (*Paspalum scrobiculatum*) and little millet (*Panicum sumatrense*). *Journal of Food Quality.* 2022;2022(1):1578448.
 31. Deraz SF, Khalil AA. Strategies to improve protein quality and reduce antinutritional factor of mungbean. *Food. Global Science Books.* 2008;2(1):25-38.
 32. Deshpande SS, Sathe SK, Salunkhe DK, Cornforth DP. Effects of dehulling on phytic acid, polyphenols, and enzyme inhibitors of dry beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). *J. Food Sci.* 1982;47(6):1846-1850. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365- 2621.1982.tb12896.x
 33. Diouf A, Sarr F, Sene B, Ndiaye C, Fall SM, Ayessou NC. Pathways for reducing anti-nutritional factors: Prospects for vigna unguiculata. *J. Nutri. Health Food Sci.* 2019;7(2):1-10. DOI: 10.15226/jnhfs.2019.001157
 34. Dorsch JA, Cook A, Young KA, Anderson JM, Bauman AT, Volkmann CJ, Murthy PPN, Raboy V. Seed phosphorus and inositol phosphate phenotype of barley low phytic acid genotypes. *Phytochem.* 2003;62(5):691-706.
 35. El-Adawy TA. Nutritional composition and antinutritional factors of chickpeas (*Cicer arietinum* L.) undergoing different cooking methods and germination. *Plant Food Human Nutr.* 2002;57(1):83-97.
 36. El-Gohery SS. Effect of different treatments on nutritional value of lima bean (*Phaseolus lunatus*) and its utilization in

- biscuit manufacture. Food Nutr. Sci. 2021;12(4):372-391.
DOI: 10.4236/fns.2021.124029
37. Emmanuel E, Deborah S. Phytochemical and anti-nutritional studies on some commonly consumed fruits in Ilokoja, Kogi state of Nigeria. Gen. Med. Open. 2018;2(3):1-5.
DOI: 10.15761/GMO.1000135
 38. Essack H, Odhav B, Mellem JJ. Screening of traditional South African leafy vegetables for specific anti-nutritional factors before and after processing. Food Sci. Technol. 2017;37:462-471.
DOI: 10.1590/1678-457X.20416
 39. Etsuyankpa MB, Gimba CE, Agbaji EB, Omoniyi KI, Ndamitso MM, Mathew JT. Assessment of the effects of microbial fermentation on selected anti-nutrients in the products of four local cassava varieties from Niger state, Nigeria. Am. J. Food Sci. Technol. 2015; 3(3):89-96.
 40. Fardet A. New hypotheses for the health-protective mechanisms of whole-grain cereals: what is beyond fibre? Nutr. Res. Rev. 2010;23(1):65-134.
 41. Enneking D, Wink M. Towards the elimination of anti-nutritional factors in grain legumes. In Linking research and marketing opportunities for pulses in the 21st century: Proceedings of the third international food legumes research conference. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands. 2000;671-683.
 42. Galati A, Oguntoyinbo FA, Moschetti G, Crescimanno M, Settanni L. The cereal market and the role of fermentation in cereal-based food production in Africa. Food Rev. Int. 2014;30(4):317-337.
 43. Gemedede HF, Ratta N. Antinutritional factors in plant foods: Potential health benefits and adverse effects. Int. J. Nutr. Food Sci. 2014;3(4):284-289.
DOI: 10.11648/j.ijnfs.20140304.18
 44. Ghavidel RA, Prakash J. The impact of germination and dehulling on nutrients, antinutrients, in vitro iron and calcium bioavailability and *In vitro* starch and protein digestibility of some legume seeds. Food Sci. Technol. 2007;40(7): 1292-1299.
 45. Gibson RS, Bailey KB, Gibbs M, Ferguson EL. A review of phytate, iron, zinc, and calcium concentrations in plant-based complementary foods used in low-income countries and implications for bioavailability. Food Nutr. 2010;31(2):134-146.
 46. Grewal A, Jood S. Effect of processing treatments on nutritional and antinutritional contents of green gram. J. Food Biochem. 2006;30(5):535-546.
DOI: 10.1111/j.1745-4514.2006.00080.x
 47. Gupta RK, Gangoliya SS, Singh NK. Reduction of phytic acid and enhancement of bioavailable micronutrients in food grains. Journal of Food Science and Technology. 2015;52:676-684.
 48. Halil U, Esref I, Nazmi I, Yucel T. Geometric and mechanical properties of mung bean (*Vigna Radiata L.*) grain: Effect of moisture. Int. J. Food Prop. 2008;11(3):585-599.
DOI: 10.1080/10942910701573024
 49. Hamid R, Masood A. Dietary lectins as disease causing toxicants. Pak. J. Nutr. 2009;8(3):293-303.
 50. Haminiuk CWI, Maciel GM, Plata-Oviedo MSV, Peralta RM. Phenolic compounds in fruits—an overview. Int. J. Food Sci. Technol. 2012;47(10):2023-2044.
 51. Heuze V, Tran G, Bastianelli D, Lebas F. Mung bean (*Vigna radiata*). Feedipedia; 2015.
Available: <http://www.feedipedia.org/node/235>. (Last update July 3, 2015).
 52. Hirota M, Ohmuraya M, Baba H. The role of trypsin, trypsin inhibitor, and trypsin receptor in the onset and aggravation of pancreatitis. J. Gastroenterol. 2006;41(9): 832-836.
 53. Holzhauser T, Wackermann O, Ballmer-Weber BK, Bindeslev-Jensen C, Scibilia J, Perono-Garoffo L, Utsumi S, Poulsen LK, Vieths S. Soybean (*Glycine max*) allergy in Europe: Gly m 5 (β -conglycinin) and Gly m 6 (glycinin) are potential diagnostic markers for severe allergic reactions to soy. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 2009;123(2):452-458.
 54. Hospital F. Selection in backcross programmes. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences. 2005;360(1459):1503-1511.
 55. Hou D, Yousaf L, Xue Y, Hu J, Wu J, Hu X, Feng N, Shen Q. Mung bean: Bioactive polyphenols, polysaccharides, peptides and health benefits. Nutr. 2019;11(6).
DOI: 10.3390/nu11061238
 56. Hotz C, Gibson RS. Traditional food-processing and preparation practices to enhance the bioavailability of micronutrients in plant-based diets. The

- Journal of Nutrition. 2007;137(4):1097-1100.
57. Hwang DL, Foard DE, Wei CH. A soybean trypsin inhibitor. Crystallization and x-ray crystallographic study. J. Bio. Chem. 1977;252(3):1099-1101.
 58. Imran K, Khan AA, Inam I, Ahmad F. Yield and yield attributes of Mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.) cultivars as affected by phosphorous levels under different tillage systems. Cogent Food Agric. 2016;2(1):115-982.
DOI: 10.1080/23311932.2016.1151982
 59. Jood S, Chauhan BM, Kapoor AC. Polyphenols of chickpea and blackgram as affected by domestic processing and cooking methods. J. Sci. Food Agric. 1987;39(2):145-149.
 60. Kakati P, Deka SC, Kotoki D, Saikia S. Effect of traditional methods of processing on the nutrient contents and some antinutritional factors in newly developed cultivars of green gram [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilezeck] and black gram [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper] of Assam, India. Int. Food Res. J. 2010;17(2):377-384.
 61. Karimi J, Allahyari M, Bandani AR. Lectins and Their Roles in Pests Control. Intech Open. Iran. [ISBN 953510490X]; 2012.
 62. Kataria A, Chauhan BM, Punia D. Antinutrients and protein digestibility (*In vitro*) of mungbean as affected by domestic processing and cooking. Food Chem. 1989a;32(1):9-17.
DOI: 10.1016/0308-8146(89)90003-4
 63. Kataria A, Chauhan BM, Punia D. Antinutrients in amphidiploids (black gram x mung bean): Varietal differences and effect of domestic processing and cooking. Plant Food Hum. Nutr. 1989b;39(3):257-266.
 64. Kataria A, Chauhan BM, Gandhi S. Effect of domestic processing and cooking on the antinutrients of black gram. Food Chemistry. 1989;32(3):285-293.
 65. Kaur D, Dhawan K, Rasane P, Singh J, Kaur S, Gurumayum S, Singhal S, Mehta CM, Kumar V. Effect of different pretreatments on antinutrients and antioxidant of rice bean (*Vigna umbellata*). Acta Univ. Cibiniensis, Ser. E: Food Technol. 2020;24(1).
DOI: 10.2478/auaft-2020-0003
 66. Klomklao S, Benjakul S, Kishimura H, Chaijan M. Extraction, purification and properties of trypsin inhibitor from Thai mung bean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) R. Wilczek). Food Chem. 2011;129(4):1348-1354.
DOI: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2011.05.029
 67. Krezanoski JZ. Tannic acid: Chemistry, analysis, and toxicology: An episode in the pharmaceuticals of radiology. Radiol. 1966;87(4):655-657.
DOI: 10.1148/87.4.655
 68. Kumar KG, Venkataraman LV, Java TV, Krishnamurthy KS. Cooking characteristics of some germinated legumes: Changes in phytins, Ca⁺⁺, Mg⁺⁺ and pectins. J. Food Sci. 1978;43(1):85-88.
 69. Kumar R, Rao PVKJ, Edukondalu L. Physical properties of maize grains. Int. J. Agric. Sci. 2017;9(27):4338-4341.
 70. Khan A, Ghosh K. Phytic acid-induced inhibition of digestive protease and α -amylase in three Indian major carps: An *In vitro* study. Journal of the World Aquaculture Society. 2013;44(6):853-859.
 71. Kumar Y, Basu S, Goswami D, Devi M, Shivhare US, Vishwakarma RK. Antinutritional compounds in pulses: Implications and alleviation methods. Legum. Sci. 2021;111.
DOI: 10.1002/leg3.111
 72. Lam SK, Ng TB. Lectins: Production and practical applications. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 2011;89:45-55.
DOI: 10.1007/s00253-010-2892-9
 73. Lal N, Barcchiya J, Raypuriya N, Shiurkar G. Anti-nutrition in legumes: Effect in human health and its elimination. Innovative Farmin. 2017;2(1):32-36.
 74. Lambrides CJ, Godwin ID. Mung bean. 3, 69- 90. Cited in K. Chittarajan. Genome Mapping and Molecular Breeding in Plants. Feedipedia. USA; 2006.
 75. Landete JM. Updated knowledge about polyphenols: Functions, bioavailability, metabolism, and health. Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr. 2012;52(10):936-948.
 76. Luo YW, Xie WH. Effect of different processing methods on certain antinutritional factors and protein digestibility in green and white faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.). J. Food. 2013;11(1):43-49.
 77. Machaiah JP, Pednekar MD, Thomas P. Reduction in flatulence factors in mung beans (*Vigna radiata*) using low-dose γ -irradiation. J. Sci. Food Agric. 1999;79(5): 648-652.
 78. Mello JPF. Farm Animal Metabolism and Nutrition. CABI. Scotland. [ISBN 0851993788]; 2000.
 79. Mendoza EMT, Barroga CF, Rodriguez FM, Revilla MJ, Laurena AC. Factors

- affecting the nutritional quality and acceptability of mung bean. *Trans. Nat. Acad. Sci. Technol.* 1988;10:305-322.
80. Mogotsi KK. *Vigna radiata (L.) R. Wilczek*. [Cited in M. Brink and G. Belay. *Cereals and Pulses*. Netherlands; 2006.
 81. Mubarak AE. Nutritional composition and antinutritional factors of mungbean seeds (*Phaseolus aureus*) as affected by some home traditional processes. *Food Chem.* 2005;89:489-495.
DOI: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2004.01.007
 82. Mueller-Harvey I. Analysis of hydrolysable tannins. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 2001;9(1-2):3-20.
DOI: 10.1016/S0377-8401(01)00227-9
 83. Bohn L, Meyer AS, Rasmussen SK. Phytate: impact on environment and human nutrition. A challenge for molecular breeding. *Journal of Zhejiang University Science B.* 2008 Mar;9(3):165-91.
 84. Muzquiz M, Varela A, Burbano C, Cuadrado C, Guillamón E, Pedrosa MM. Bioactive compounds in legumes: Pronutritive and antinutritive actions. Implications for nutrition and health. *Phytochem. Rev.* 2012;11(2):227-244.
 85. Nair RM, Yang R, Easdown WJ, Thavarajah D, Thavarajah P, Hughes J, Keatinge JDH. Biofortification of mungbean (*Vigna radiata*) as a whole food to enhance human health. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 2013;93(8):1805-1813.
 86. Neupane RK, Sah RP, Neupane R, Bhattarai EM, Sah MP. Varietal investigation on Mungbean in Nepal. National Grain Legumes Research Program, Nepal; 2003.
 87. Ngoc LE, Thanh TH, Nguyet TM, Linh TK. Impact of different treatments on chemical composition, physical, anti-nutritional, antioxidant characteristics and *In vitro* starch digestibility of green-kernel black bean flours. *Food Sci. Technol*; 2021.
DOI: 10.1590/fst.31321
 88. Nikolopoulou D, Grigorakis K. Nutritional and antinutritional composition of legumes and factors affecting IT. *Food Sci. Technol.* 2008;105-170.
 89. Nithya U, Sarita M. Effect of germination and fermentation on the antioxidant and anti-nutritional content of pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum L.*). *International Food Research Journal.* 2011;18(3):1099-1104.
 90. Noonan SC, Savage GP. Oxalic acid and its effects on humans. *Asia Pac. J. Clin. Nutr.* 1999;8(1):64-74.
 91. Nwokolo E, Smartt J. Food and feed from legumes and oilseeds. Chapman and Hall. London. [ISBN 978-1-4613-0433-3]; 1996.
 92. Oburuoga AC, Anyika JU. Nutrient and antinutrient composition of mungbean, acha and crayfish. *Pak. J. Nutr.* 2012;11(9):814-844.
 93. Ogodo AC, Agwaranze DI, Aliba NV, Kalu AC, Nwaneri CB. Fermentation by lactic acid bacteria consortium and its effect on anti-nutritional factors in maize flour. *J. Biol. Sci.* 2019;19(1):17-23.
 94. Oladimeji MO, Akindahunsi AA, Okafor AF. Investigation of the bioavailability of zinc and calcium from some tropical tubers. *Nahrung.* 2000;44(2):136-137.
 95. Onwurafor EU, Onweluzo JC, Ezeoke AM. Effect of fermentation methods on chemical and microbial properties of mung bean (*Vigna radiata*) flour. *Nig. Food J.* 2014;32(1):89-96.
 96. Ouchemoukh S, Amessis-Ouchemoukh N, Gómez-Romero M, Aboud F, Giuseppe A, Fernández-Gutiérrez A, Segura-Carretero A. Characterisation of phenolic compounds in Algerian honeys by RP-HPLC coupled to electrospray time- of-flight mass spectrometry. *Food Sci. Technol.* 2017;85:460-469.
DOI: 10.1016/j.lwt.2016.11.084
 97. Patel and Dutta S. Effect of soaking and germination on anti-nutritional factors of garden cress, wheat and finger millet. *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.* 2018;6(5):1076-1081.
DOI: 10.18782/2320-7051.7006
 98. Promuthai C, Huang L, Glahn RP, Welch RM, Fukai S, Rerkasem B. Iron (Fe) bioavailability and the distribution of anti-Fe nutrition biochemicals in the unpolished, polished grain and bran fraction of five rice genotypes. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 2006;86(8):1209-1215.
 99. Rackis JJ, Wolf WJ, Baker EC. Protease inhibitors in plant foods: Content and inactivation. *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* 1986;199:299-347.
DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4757-0022-019
 100. Ramakrishnaiah N, Kurien PP. Variabilities in the dehulling characteristics of pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan L.*) cultivars. *J. Food Sci. Technol.* 1983;20(6):287-291.
 101. Randhir R, Lin Y, Shetty K. Stimulation of phenolics, antioxidant and antimicrobial activities in dark germinated mung bean

- sprouts in response to peptide and phytochemical elicitors. *Process Biochem.* 2004;39(5):637-646.
102. Ranganna S. *Handbook of Analysis and Quality Control for Fruit and Vegetable Products*. Tata McGraw-Hill Education. New Delhi. [ISBN 0074518518]; 1986.
 103. Rao AV, Sung MK. Saponins as anticarcinogens. *J. Nutr.* 1995;125(3):717-724.
DOI: 10.1093/jn/125.suppl.3.711S
 104. Rather TA, Malik MA, Dar AH. Physical, milling, cooking, and pasting characteristics of different rice varieties grown in the valley of Kashmir India. *Cogent Food Agric.* 2016;2(1).
DOI: 10.1080/23311932.2016.1178694
 105. Reddy NR, Pierson MD, Sathe SK, Salunkhe DK. *Phytates in Cereals and Legumes*. CRC Press. India. [ISBN 0849361087]; 1989.
 106. Salunkhe DK, Jadhav SJ, Kadam SS, Chavan JK, Luh BS. Chemical, biochemical, and biological significance of polyphenols in cereals and legumes. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* 1983;17(3):277-305.
 107. Samarajeewa U, Sen AC, Cohen MD, Wei CI. Detoxification of aflatoxins in foods and feeds by physical and chemical methods. *J. Food Prot.* 1990;53(6):489-501.
 108. Samtiya M, Aluko RE, Dhewa T. Plant food anti-nutritional factors and their reduction strategies: An overview. *Food Prod. Process Nutr.* 2020;2(6).
DOI: 10.1186/s43014-020-0020-5
 109. Sanchez-Monge R, Lopez-Torrejón G, Pascual CY, Varela J, Martin-Esteban M, Salcedo G. Vicilin and convicilin are potential major allergens from pea. *Clin. Exp. Allergy.* 2004;34(11):1747-1753.
 110. Sasaki M, Koplin JJ, Dharmage SC, Field MJ, Sawyer SM, McWilliam V, Peters RL, Gurrin LC, Vuillermin PJ, Douglass J. Prevalence of clinic-defined food allergy in early adolescence: The schoolnuts study. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* 2018;141(1):391-398.
 111. Sefa-Dedeh S, Stanley DW. The relationship of microstructure of cowpeas to water absorption and dehulling properties. *Cereal Chem.* 1979;56(4):379-386.
 112. Shahidi F, Ambigaipalan P. Phenolics and polyphenolics in foods, beverages and spices: Antioxidant activity and health effects—A review. *J. Funct. Foods.* 2015;18:820-897.
 113. Shi J, Arntfield SD, Nickerson M. Changes in levels of phytic acid, lectins and oxalates during soaking and cooking of Canadian pulses. *Food Res. Int.* 2018;107:660-668.
 114. Shi J, Arunasalam K, Yeung D, Kakuda Y, Mittal G, Jiang Y. Saponins from edible legumes: Chemistry, processing, and health benefits. *J. Med. Food.* 2004;7(1):67-78.
DOI: 10.1089/109662004322984734
 115. Shrestha R, Neupane RK, Adhikari NP. Status and future prospects of pulses in Nepal. Presented at Regional Workshop on Pulse Production. NARC, Kathmandu. 2011;24-25.
 116. Siddiq M, Uebersax MA. *Dry beans and pulses: Production, processing and nutrition*. John Wiley and Sons. USA. [ISBN 1118448286]; 2012.
 117. Silverman GA, Bird P, Carrell RW, Church FC, Coughlin PB, Gettins PG, Irving JA, Lomas DA, Luke CJ, Moyer RW. The serpins are an expanding superfamily of structurally similar but functionally diverse proteins. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2001;276(36):33293-33296.
 118. Simwaka JE, Chamba MV, Huiming Z, Masamba KG, Luo YW. Effect of fermentation on physicochemical and antinutritional factors of complementary foods from millet, sorghum, pumpkin and amaranth seed flours. *Int. Food Res. J.* 2017;24(5).
 119. Singh U, Singh B. Tropical grain legumes as important human foods. *Economic Botany.* 1992;46(3):310-321.
 120. Singh AK, Kumar P, Chandra N. Studies on seed production of Mungbean sown at different dates. *J. Environ. Biol.* 2013;34:1007-1011.
 121. Singh B, Singh JP, Kaur A, Singh N. Phenolic composition and antioxidant potential of grain legume seeds: A review. *Food Res. Int.* 2017;101:1-16.
DOI: 10.1016/j.foodres.2017.09.026
 122. Singh JP, Kaur A, Singh N, Nim L, Shevkani K, Kaur H, Arora DS. *In vitro* antioxidant and antimicrobial properties of jambolan (*Syzygium cumini*) fruit polyphenols. *Food Sci. Technol.* 2016;65:1025-1030.
 123. Singh K, Dsouza MR, Yogitha R. Nutrient content and *in vivo* reduction of anti-nutrients of mung bean (*Vigna radiata*) under various processing methods. *J.*

- Chem. Biol. Phy. Sci. 2015;5(2):1627-1638.
124. Singleton VL, Orthofer R, Lamuela-Raventós RM. Analysis of total phenols and other oxidation substrates and antioxidants by means of folin-ciocalteu reagent. *Meth. Enzymol.* 1999;299:152-178.
DOI: 10.1016/S0076-6879(99)99017-1
 125. Sivakumaran K, Jagath MA, Theja HM. Comparison of contents of phytates and saponins and the effect of processing in some selected edible beans in Sri Lanka. *Int. J. Food Sci. Nutr.* 2017;2(2):95-100.
 126. Skylas DJ, Molloy MP, Willows RD, Salman H, Blanchard CL, Quail KJ. Effect of processing on mungbean (*Vigna radiata*) flour nutritional properties and protein composition. *J. Agric. Sci.* 2018;10(11):16-28.
DOI: 10.5539/jas.v10n11p16
 127. Smits M, Le T, Welsing P, Houben G, Knulst A, Verhoeckx K. Legume protein consumption and the prevalence of legume sensitization. *Nutr.* 2018;10(10):1545.
 128. Soetan KO. Pharmacological and other beneficial effects of antinutritional factors in plants-A review. *Afri. J. Biotechnol.* 2008;7(25).
 129. Soetan KO, Oyewole OE. The need for adequate processing to reduce the antinutritional factors in plants used as human foods and animal feeds: A review. *Afri. J. Food Sci.* 2009;3(9):223-232.
DOI: 10.5897/AJFS.9000293
 130. Subbulakshmi G, Ganesh Kumar KG, Venkataraman LV. Effect of germination on the carbohydrates, proteins, trypsin inhibitor, amylase inhibitor and hemagglutinin in horsegram and mothbean. *Nutr. Rep. Int.* 1976;13(1):19-31.
 131. Suseelan KN, Bhatia CR, Mitra R. Characteristics of two major lectins from mungbean (*Vigna radiata*) seeds. *Plant Foods Hum. Nutr.* 1997;50(3):211-222.
DOI: 10.1007/bf02436058
 132. Tajoddin M, Manohar S, Lalitha J. Effect of soaking and germination on polyphenol content and polyphenol oxidase activity of mung bean (*Phaseolus aureus*) cultivars differing in seed color. *Int. J. Food Prop.* 2014;17(4):782-790.
DOI: 10.1080/10942912.2012.654702
 133. Tajoddin M, Shinde M, Lalitha J. Polyphenols of mung bean (*Phaseolus aureus* L.) cultivars differing in seed coat color: Effect of dehulling. *J. New Seeds.* 2010;11(4):369-379.
DOI: 10.1080/1522886X.2010.520146
 134. Tang D, Dong Y, Ren H, Li L, He C. A review of phytochemistry, metabolite changes, and medicinal uses of the common food mung bean and its sprouts (*Vigna radiata*). *Chem. Cent. J.* 2014;8(1):1-9.
 135. Timilsena YP, Phosanam A, Stockmann R. Perspectives on saponins: Food functionality and applications. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences.* 2023;24(17):13538.
 136. Unal H, Isik E, Izli N, Tekin Y. Geometric and mechanical properties of mung bean (*Vigna radiata*) grain: Effect of moisture. *Int. J. Food Prop.* 2008;11(3):585-599.
DOI: 10.1080/10942910701573024
 137. Vagadia BH, Vanga SK, Raghavan V. Inactivation methods of soybean trypsin inhibitor: A review. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* 2017;64:115-125.
 138. Vanderven C, Matser AM, Vandenberg RW. Inactivation of soybean trypsin inhibitors and lipoxygenase by high-pressure processing. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 2005;53(4):1087-1092.
 139. Vasconcelos IM, Oliveira JTA. Antinutritional properties of plant lectins. *Toxicon.* 2004;44(4):385-403.
DOI: 10.1016/j.toxicon.2004.05.005
 140. Huang H, Kwok KC, Liang HH. Inhibitory activity and conformation changes of soybean trypsin inhibitors induced by ultrasound. *Ultrasonics Sonochemistry.* 2008 Jul 1;15(5):724-30.
 141. Vidal-Valverde C, Frias J, Estrella I, Gorospe MJ, Ruiz R, Bacon J. Effect of processing on some antinutritional factors of lentils. *J. Agri. Food Chem.* 1994;42(10):2291-2295.
 142. Vijayakumari K, Siddhuraju P, Pugalenti M, Janardhanan K. Effect of soaking and heat processing on the levels of antinutrients and digestible proteins in seeds of *Vigna aconitifolia* and *Vigna sinensis*. *Food Chem.* 1998;63(2):259-264.
 143. Virginia P, Swati V, Ajit P. Effect of cooking and processing methods on oxalate content of green leafy vegetables and pulses. *Asian J. Food Agro-Ind.* 2012;5(4):311-314.
 144. Wilcox JR, Premachandra GS, Young KA, Raboy V. Isolation of high seed inorganic p, low-phytate soybean mutants. *Crop Sci.* 2000;40(6):1601-1605.

- DOI: 10.2135/cropsci2000.4061601x
145. Wang R, Guo S. Phytic acid and its interactions: Contributions to protein functionality, food processing, and safety. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*. 2021;20(2): 2081-2105.
146. Wani MR, Kozgar MI, Tomlekova N, Khan S, Kazi AG, Sheikh SA, Ahmad P. Mutation breeding: A novel technique for genetic improvement of pulse crops particularly Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum L.*). *Improvement of Crops in the Era of Climatic Changes: Volume*. 2014;2:217-248.
147. Yuan FJ, Zhu DH, Deng B, Fu XJ, Dong DK, Zhu SL, et al. Effects of two low phytic acid mutations on seed quality and nutritional traits in soybean (*Glycine max l. merr*). *J. Agric. Food Chem*. 2009;57(9): 3632–3638.
DOI: 10.1021/ jf803862a
148. Yadav L, Bhatnagar V. Effect of soaking and roasting on nutritional and anti-nutritional components of chickpea (Pratap-14). *Agric. Plant Sci*; 2017.
DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.17116.41609
149. Yasmin A, Zeb A, Khalil AW, Paracha GM, Khattak AB. Effect of processing on anti-nutritional factors of red kidney bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) grains. *Food Bioproc. Technol*. 2008;1(4):415-419.
150. Yang XE, Wu X, Hao HL, He ZL. Mechanisms and assessment of water eutrophication. *Journal of Zhejiang University Science B*. 2008;9:197-209.
151. Zia-ur-rehman Z, Islam M, Shah WH. Effect of microwave and conventional cooking on insoluble dietary fibre components of vegetables. *Food Chem*. 2003;80(2):237-240.
152. Zeller E, Schollenberger M, Kühn I, Rodehutscord M. Hydrolysis of phytate and formation of inositol phosphate isomers without or with supplemented phytases in different segments of the digestive tract of broilers. *Journal of Nutritional Science*. 2015;4:e1.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/122664>