



Studies on Genetic Variability in Fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.) Germplasms Grown Under Bundelkhand Region, Uttar Pradesh, India

Praveen, Mousmi Syed ^{a*}, Mahipat Singh ^a, Mahaveer Prasad Yadav ^a, Himanshu ^a, Rakesh Yadav ^a and Yogendra Yadav ^a

^a Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Bundelkhand University, Jhansi (U.P.), India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/jeai/2024/v46i92867>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/122816>

Original Research Article

Received: 01/07/2024

Accepted: 04/09/2024

Published: 06/09/2024

ABSTRACT

The test genotypes were raised in randomized block design with three replications during *rabi* season 2023 at Organic Research Farm, Karguanji, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Bundelkhand University, Jhansi (U.P.). In the present investigation, genotypes *viz.*, RMT-354 and RMT-303 were superior not only for seed yield per plant but also related traits like pod length, biological yield, test

*Corresponding author: E-mail: choudharypk2017@gmail.com;

Cite as: Syed, Praveen, Mousmi, Mahipat Singh, Mahaveer Prasad Yadav, Himanshu, Rakesh Yadav, and Yogendra Yadav. 2024. "Studies on Genetic Variability in Fenugreek (*Trigonella Foenum-Graecum* L.) Germplasms Grown Under Bundelkhand Region, Uttar Pradesh, India". *Journal of Experimental Agriculture International* 46 (9):702-7. <https://doi.org/10.9734/jeai/2024/v46i92867>.

weight and number of pods per plant. Data recorded on five competitive plants chosen at random from each plot for gathering information on existing genetic variability. All of the traits under study showed a wide range of variation. The variation was highest show to 119.67 to 131.67 days, 72.03 to 93.99 cm, 48.67 to 56.00 days, 37.00 to 55.80, 28.93 to 46.15 g, 20.65 to 31.81 %, 12.33 to 17.53 days, 9.21 to 13.08 cm, 9.53 to 13.18 g, 8.35 to 12.10 g, 3.60 to 6.53 for maturity ranged from, plant height, days to 50% flowering ranged from, number of pods per plant, biological yield per plant, harvest index, number of seed per pod ranged, pod length, test weight, seed yield per plant and number of branches per plant. These diverse genotypes can be used in future breeding programme of fenugreek.

Keywords: Genetic variability; heritability; genetic advance; fenugreek; genotypes.

1. INTRODUCTION

Fenugreek [*Trigonella foenum-graecum* (L.)] also known as Methi, is an annual spice herb of the sub-family Papilionaceae of Leguminaceae. It is a small seeded self-pollinated, diploid annual plant with $2n=16$. An important non spice use of fenugreek is as a potential source of diosgenin. It is also known as one of the oldest medicinal plants recognized in the recorded history. Fenugreek has two centers of origin, the Indian sub-continent and the Eastern Mediterranean Region. Fenugreek is considered to have originated in the Mediterranean region in parts of Asia [1]. The species name "*foenum-graecum*" means "Greek hay" indicating its use as a forage crop in the past. Fenugreek is widely cultivated in warm temperate and tropical regions of the Mediterranean, Europe and Asia. It is largely cultivated in Argentina, Egypt, Brazil, Southern France, Morocco, Algeria, Ethiopia and Lebanon besides India. The major seed producing countries are India, Ethiopia, Egypt and Turkey. India exports fenugreek to Saudi Arabia, Japan, Malaysia, USA, UK, Singapore and Sri Lanka. It is a *rabi* crop, can be grown under wide range of climatic conditions. It requires low temperature during early stage for better vegetative growth, while a dry and relatively high temperature favours better ripening and high seed production. It can be grown on all types of well drained soils. Unlike other legumes, it is quite tolerant to salinity and can be grown in black cotton soil. It is an erect, hardy, annual plant, typically growing to a height of 20-160 cm.

Fenugreek is also grown for forage. It is regarded as traditional forage in Egypt, India, Turkey and the Mediterranean region [2]. Many researchers have suggested that it has been used extensively in the past as hay, green fodder, silage and as a supplement with other animal feed. In addition fenugreek, mixed with cotton seed and fed to weaning cows to increase flow of milk. It is also used to mix with inferior hay and sour hay

(mildewed hay) to increase palatability [3]. It is recommended as alternative leguminous forage in alfalfa based cattle farms since it can prevent bloating in cattle which is a disadvantage associated with use of alfalfa fodder [4].

The maximization of seed yield of fenugreek is the major objective for its improvement. Very little effort has been made in collection, maintenance and utilization of different genotypes for the improvement of this crop. There is need to assess and improve the existing genotypes and introduce cultivars for seed purpose.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The current investigation was conducted with 12 fenugreek genotypes, raised in randomized block design with three replications during the *Rabi* season 2023 to understand the nature and magnitude of the genetic component of variation for yield and yield traits in fenugreek. The experimental data were subjected to statistical analysis using the analysis of variance technique suggested by Panse and Sukhatme [5]. Where the "F" test was found significant at 5% level of significance, the critical differences for the treatment's comparison were worked out. The phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variation were worked out as per Burton, 1952. Heritability in broad Johnson et al. [6]. PCV and GCV were classified as suggested by Sivasubramanian and Menon [7] such as less than 10 % is low, 10-20 % is moderate and more than 20 % is high. The heritability h^2 (b) was classified as suggested by Johnson et al., [6], 0-30% is low, 31- 60% is moderate, 61 % and above is high. The genetic advance as per cent of mean was classified as suggested by Johnson et al. [6] less than 10% is low, 10-20% is moderate and more than 20% is high.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The study emphasized the growth and yield parameters for illustrating the performance of

Table 1. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for yield and its component traits in fenugreek genotypes

Source of variation	Degree of freedom (df)	Mean sum of squares										
		Days to 50% flowering	Days to maturity	Plant height (cm)	No. of branches/plant	No. of seeds per pod	Number of pod per plant	Pod length (cm)	Test weight	Harvest index	Seed yield per plant	Biological yield
Replications	2	0.44	1.86	9.298	0.14	0.03	20.201	0.31	0.09	0.61	2.03	25.33
Genotypes	11	22.14**	37.626**	178.925**	2.07**	6.56**	103.78**	5.44**	9.33**	38.65**	4.96*	91.76*
Error	22	1.17	1.740	11.729	0.08	0.21	8.98	0.16	0.12	7.52	1.30	32.83

**, ** Significant at 5% and 1% levels, respectively*

Table 2. Mean values of 12 genotypes for 11 characters in fenugreek

Chr	DF50	DM	PH	NBP	NSP	NPP	PL	TW	HI	BY	SYP
RMT-361	51.33	123.00	79.12	4.20	14.40	40.67	9.53	9.70	25.44	36.80	9.40
RMT-303	48.67	120.67	72.03	6.53	17.53	55.80	13.02	13.18	25.43	45.91	11.60
Hisar mukta	56.00	125.00	78.13	5.33	12.33	37.40	11.44	11.26	22.42	44.93	10.05
Hisar sonoli	56.00	131.67	93.92	5.00	13.20	43.87	10.29	9.53	25.28	36.85	9.22
Rajendra kranti	52.00	123.00	82.76	5.27	14.60	43.47	9.64	10.14	29.74	28.93	8.50
RMT-354	48.67	119.67	73.36	6.07	17.00	50.07	13.08	15.72	26.24	46.15	12.10
RMT-351	51.33	127.33	93.99	3.60	13.53	37.00	10.62	11.51	31.61	32.98	10.40
RMT-305	48.33	123.00	76.38	4.87	15.33	38.27	9.21	13.00	20.65	40.71	8.43
RMT-143	50.00	127.67	85.67	3.87	14.47	43.73	11.51	10.58	24.31	43.16	10.45
Hisar suarna	52.00	126.33	90.77	5.00	13.67	42.41	10.57	12.43	22.60	37.43	8.35
NRCSS-AM-1	54.67	123.00	77.85	4.93	14.53	34.47	11.71	11.03	23.49	35.80	8.39
RMT-1	53.33	129.00	76.14	5.13	14.67	42.60	9.30	10.81	31.81	34.11	10.62
GM	51.72	124.58	82.18	4.97	14.60	42.47	10.97	11.64	25.75	38.65	9.72
SE	0.625	0.7616	1.9773	0.1709	0.2694	1.7301	0.2363	0.2007	1.5841	3.3081	0.6605
CD 5%	1.832	2.2336	5.7991	0.5011	0.7902	5.0741	0.6931	0.5886	4.6460	9.7024	1.9372
CV	2.09	1.06	4.19	5.94	3.20	7.05	3.78	3.00	10.65	14.83	11.68

Table 3. Genetic variability parameters for yield and its attributing traits in fenugreek genotypes

Chr	DF50	DM	PH	NBP	NSP	NPP	PL	TW	HI	BY	SYP
Maximum	57.0000	133.0000	97.1100	7.0000	18.2000	58.6000	13.8600	16.4000	38.7473	49.47	12.6500
Minimum	47.0000	118.0000	70.4100	3.4000	11.6000	32.2000	8.9100	9.2300	19.2626	21.93	6.5300
GM	51.8611	124.9444	81.6769	4.9833	14.6056	42.4789	10.8272	11.5733	25.7524	38.6472	9.7919
SEm	0.6250	0.7616	1.9773	0.1709	0.2694	1.7301	0.2363	0.2007	1.5841	3.3081	0.6605
CD 5%	1.8329	2.2336	5.7991	0.5011	0.7902	5.0741	0.6931	0.5886	4.6460	9.7024	1.9372
CD 1%	2.4913	3.0358	7.8820	0.6811	1.0740	6.8966	0.9420	0.8000	6.3147	13.1872	2.6330
ECV	2.0872	1.0557	4.1930	5.9384	3.1951	7.0542	3.7804	3.0033	10.6542	14.826	11.6836
GCV	5.0988	2.7681	9.1401	16.3148	9.9598	13.2340	12.2487	15.1442	12.5075	11.4684	11.2773
PCV	5.5095	2.9626	10.0560	17.3622	10.4598	14.9967	12.8187	15.4391	16.4301	18.7439	16.2382
Heritability(BS)	0.8565	0.8730	0.8261	0.8830	0.9067	0.7787	0.9130	0.9622	0.5795	0.3744	0.4823
GA	5.0413	6.6571	13.9781	1.5738	2.8534	10.2194	2.6105	3.5416	5.0511	5.5864	1.5798
GA as % mean	9.7208	5.3280	17.1139	31.5813	19.5364	24.0576	24.1105	30.6014	19.6141	14.4549	16.1337

different germplasms of fenugreek concerning various productivity parameters. The data obtained on variability for all studied characters have been summarized in Table 1. The analysis of variance revealed that estimated mean sum of squares for all the characters were significant at 1% level and 5% indicating the large variation amongst the genotypes. This result was accordance with the earlier findings of by Sarada et al. [8], Gangopadhyay et al. [2], Singh & Pramila [9], Prajapati et al. [10], Verma and Ali

[11], Jain et al [12], Pathak et al. [13], Singh et al. [14], Panwar et al. [15], Bhatt et al. [16], Singh et al. [14] and Prakash et al. [17]. All of the traits under study showed a wide range of variation. The variation was highest for days to maturity ranged from 119.67 days to 131.67 days, plant height ranged from 72.03 cm to 93.99 cm, days to 50% flowering ranged from 48.67 days to 56.00 days, number of pods per plant ranged from 37.00 to 55.80, biological yield per plant ranged from 28.93 g to 46.15 g, harvest index

ranged from 20.65 % to 31.81%, number of seed per pod ranged from 12.33 to 17.53, pod length ranged from 9.21 cm to 13.08 cm, test weight ranged from 9.53 g to 13.18 g, seed yield per plant ranged from 8.35 g to 12.10 g and number of branches per plant ranged from 3.60 to 6.53. Early flowering and early maturing genotype is RMT-354. Dwarf genotype is RMT-351. These studies are in agreement with the findings of Singh & Pramila [18], Dashora et al [19], Singh et al. [17], Verma and Ali days [11].

This result was accordance with the earlier findings of by Sarada et al. [8], Gangopadhyay et al. [2], Singh & Pramila [9], Dashora et al. [19], Singh et al. [17], Verma and Ali [11], Jain et al. [12], Yadav et al. [20], Pathak et al. [13], Singh et al. [14], Gurjar et al. [3], Panwar et al. [15], Meena et al. [4] and Prakash et al. [17]. High heritability estimates indicated that the characters were less influenced by the environmental effects and their greater proportion of variability is transmitted to subsequent generations. Thus selection based on phenotypic expression is likely to be effective in the above traits. These results are in accordance with the findings of Gangopadhyay et al. [2], Singh & Pramila [9], Dashora et al. [18], Verma and Ali [11], Jain et al. [12], Yadav et al. [20], Singh et al. [14], Gurjar et al. [3], Panwar et al. [15], Bhatt et al. [16], Singh et al. [17] and Prakash et al. [17]. High heritability with low genetic advance as percentage of mean had recorded for days to 50 per cent flowering and days to maturity. It indicates that no epistatic genes play major role in the inheritance of these characters. Thus, the direct selection based on these characters will be appropriate and reliable. Similar findings were agreement with Sarada et al. [8], Gangopadhyay et al. [2], Singh & Pramila [9], Prajapati et al. [10], Dashora et al. [18], Jain et al. [12], Yadav et al. [20], Pathak et al. [13], Gurjar et al. [11], Panwar et al. [15], Bhatt et al. [16], Meena et al. [4] and Prakash et al. [17].

4. CONCLUSION

A study of genetic variability, heritability, and genetic advance in fenugreek is useful for selecting high-yielding genotypes. In the present investigation, genotypes viz., RMT-354 and RMT-303 were superior not only for seed yield per plant but also related traits like pod length, biological yield, test weight and number of pods per plant. These diverse genotypes can be used in future breeding programme of fenugreek.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of this manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. De Candolle A. Origin of cultivated plants. Hoffner, New York. 1884;346.
2. Gangopadhyay KK, Yadav SK, Kumar G, Meena BL, Mahajan RK, Mishra SK. Correlation, Path coefficient and genetic diversity pattern in fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.) Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2009;79(7):521-526.
3. Gurjar M, Naruka IS, Shaktawat RPS. Variability and correlation analysis in fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.) Legume Research. 2015;39(3):22-28.
4. Meena RS, Kakani RK, Anwar MM, Panwar A, Choudhary S, Meena SR. Variability studies in fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.). International Journal of Seed Spices. 2019;1(1):44-46.
5. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical method for agriculture workers, Indian Council of Agriculture Research, New Delhi. 1985;155.
6. Johnson HW, Robinson HF, Comstock RE. Genotypic and phenotypic correlation in soybean and their implication in selection. Agronomy Journal. 1955;47:477-483.
7. Sivasubramanian S, Menon M. Heterosis and inbreeding depression in rice. Madras Agric J. 1973;60:1139-1149.
8. Sarada C, Giridhar K, Rao NH. Studies on genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.). Journal of Spices and Aromatic Crops. 2008;17(2):163-166.
9. Singh SP, Pramila Correlation and path analysis in fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.). Asian Journal of Horticulture. 2009;4(1):105-107.
10. Prajapati DB, Ravindrababu Y. and Prajapati, BH. Genetic variability and character association in fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.). Journal of

- Spices and Aromatic Crops. 2010;19(1/2): 61-64.
11. Verma P, Ali M. Genetic variability in fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.) assessed in South Eastern Rajasthan. International Journal of Seed Spices. 2012;2(1):56-58.
 12. Jain A, Singh B, Solanki R, Saxena SN, Kakani RK. Genetic variability and character association in fenugreek. International Journal of Seed Spices. 2013; 3(2):22-28.
 13. Pathak AR, Patel AI, Joshi HK, Patel DA. Genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis in fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.). Trends in Biosciences. 2014;7(4): 234-237.
 14. Singh MK, Abhisek Naik, Singh BM. Genetic variability, heritability, genetic advance and correlation coefficient analysis studies in fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.). Annals of Biology. 2015;30(3):542- 544.
 15. Panwar A, Sharma YK, Meena RS, Solanki RK, Aishwath OP, Singh R, Choudhary S. Genetic variability, association studies and genetic divergence in Indian fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.) varieties. Legume Research-An International Journal, 2017;41:816-821.
 16. Bhatt B, Raghav M, Jeena AS, Agrawal S, Gaur AK. Assessment of genetic variability of fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.) genotypes under Tarai conditions of Uttarakhand. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2019;7:484-487.
 17. Prakash S, Pandey VP, Gautam DK, Bhargava AK, Nath T. Evaluation of germplasm genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2020;9:303-308.
 18. Singh A, Pandey VP, Singh AP, Singh AK, Yadav S, Verma M. To estimate genetic variability analysis among different characters in germplasm of fenugreek [*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.]. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2019;8:1073-1076.
 19. Dashora A, Maloo SR, Dashora LK. Variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis in fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.) under water limited condition. Journal of Spices and Aromatic Crops. 2011;20(1):38-42.
 20. Yadav Y, Yadava PS, Pandey VP, Kumar A. Genetic variability, correlation and path co-efficient analysis studies in fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.) Asian Journal of Horticulture. 2013; 8(2):456-459.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/122816>