

Physical Review & Research International 3(3): 205-217, 2013

SCIENCEDOMAIN *international www.sciencedomain.org*

The Effect of Hydrostatic Pressure on the Electronic Properties of TlBr and TlCl Radiation Detectors

N. Amrane1* and M. Benkraouda¹

¹Department of Physics, United Arab Emirates University, Al-Ain, P.O. Box: 17551, U.A.E.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. Author MB proposed the study, performed most of the calculations, author NA wrote the first draft of the manuscript and managed the literature searches. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Research Article

Received 31st December 2012 Accepted 18th March 2013 Published 2 nd April 2013

ABSTRACT

We present first principles calculations of the electronic properties of TIBr and TICI binary semiconductor compounds. The dependences on hydrostatic pressure of these properties (band structure, density of states, electronic charge density) are successfully calculated using self-consistent scalar relativistic full potential linear augmented plane wave method (FP-LAPW) within the generalized gradient approximation (GGA). The GGA corrections yield only minor improvement, whereas Engel-Vosko approximation gives a significant improvement to the band gap. The results are compared with previous calculations and with experimental measurements, we found good agreement with our calculations.

Keywords: Band structure; density of states; electronic charge density; radiation detectors.

1. INTRODUCTION

Thallium halides (TlCl and TlBr) are technologically very important materials having many applications as radiation detectors and as new optical fibre crystals. Thallium chloride and thallium bromide both, crystallize in the cubic CsCl structure. This structure, with a coordination number of eight, represents the most stable dense configuration for ionic crystals [1–3].

__

^{}Corresponding author: Email: namrane@uaeu.ac.ae;*

In the past two decades there has been much interest in the pressure dependence of the optical properties semiconductors [4-9]. While the fundamental understanding of this problem is in itself of great importance, with the recent development of strained super lattices it has become more relevant.

High-pressure studies are a very efficient tool in understanding the electronic and optical properties of semiconductors [10], but they have been scarcely used in the investigation of TlBr and TlCl, compared to other II–VI semiconductors. The study of materials at high pressures has become very important has a great activity because of the developments of the diamond-anvil technique and the extension of the range for optical and X-ray measurements under static pressures [11,12].

The main motivation of this work is to study the change in the optical properties under pressure of two technologically important semiconductors TlBr and TlCl. A modification of the crystal lattice which does not change its symmetry properties can be obtained by applying hydrostatic pressure to the crystal. Pressure changes produces shifts of the electronic states and, hence a change in the optical properties of the crystal. Therefore pressure provides a convenient technique for modifying optical constants by a controlled amount.

Most of the heavy-metal halides crystallize into anisotropic or layered structures. The simple lattice structure would reduce the complexity of electronic structure usually encountered in anisotropic materials and allows us a simple analysis of the optical spectra. Optical properties of TICl and T1Br have been studied by many workers in the absorption edge [13] and in the VUV region [14].A remarkable property of thallium halide crystals is their high dielectric permittivity. The static values are 30 and 32 for the cubic TlBr and TlCl [15-16].

In this study, we have investigated the optical properties by means of first-principles densityfunctional total-energy calculation using the all-electron full potential linear augmented plane wave method (FPLAPW) [17].

2. CALCULATIONS

The calculation of the effect of pressure on the optical properties is a long standing problem in semiconductor physics. Although detailed calculations of the pressure dependence of optical properties with varying degree of sophistication like empirical pseudopotential [18], self-consistent first principle pseudopotential [19,20] and quasiparticle [21,22] have successfully estimated some coefficients, the results are not entirely satisfactory.

A modification of the crystal lattice which does not change its symmetry properties can be obtained by applying hydrostatic pressure to the crystal. Pressure changes the lattice parameters and, hence produces shifts of the electronic states in the crystal.

Scalar relativistic calculations have been performed using the wien2k code [23,24]. For the exchange correlation potential, we have used the local density approximation (LDA) with a parameterization of Ceperly-Adler data [25]. The new Full Potential Augmented Plane Wave method of the density functional theory is applied [26,27]. Several improvements to solve the energy dependence of the basis set were tried but the first really successful one was the linearization scheme introduced by Andersen [28] leading to the linearized augmented plane wave (LAPW) method. In LAPW, the energy dependence of each radial wave function inside

the atomic sphere is linearized by taking a linear combination of a solution u at a fixed the atomic sphere is linearized by taking a linear combination of a solution u
linearization energy and its energy derivative^{ti}l computed at the same energy.

Physical Review & Research International, 3(3): 205-217, 2013\natomic sphere is linearized by taking a linear combination of a solution u at a fixed
\narization energy and its energy derivative^{2L} computed at the same energy.\n\n
$$
\Phi_K(\mathbf{r}) = \begin{cases}\n\sum_{L} \left[a_L^{\alpha K} u_L^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) + b_L^{\alpha K} u_L^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r})\right] Y_L(\hat{\mathbf{r}}^{\dagger}) & \mathbf{r}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{R}_{\alpha}) \\
\Omega^{-1/2} \exp\left(i(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{K})\mathbf{r}\right) & \mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{I}\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(1)\n\nHere $\mathbf{r}^* = \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_\alpha$ is the position inside sphere α with polar coordinates \mathbf{r}^* and \mathbf{r} , \mathbf{k} is a wave for in the irreducible Brillouin zone, \mathbf{K} is a reciprocal lattice vector and u_L^{α} is the numerical

Physical Review & Research International, 3(3): 205-217, 2013

mic sphere is linearized by taking a linear combination of a solution u at a fixed

tion energy and its energy derivative^f computed at the same energy.
 $(\math$ *Physical Review & Research International, 3(3): 205-217, 2013*

is linearized by taking a linear combination of a solution u at a fixed

and its energy derivative^{ti} computed at the same energy.
 $a_L^{ak}u_l^{\alpha}(r^r) + b_L^{ak}\dot$ Where r'=r-r_α is the position inside sphere α with polar coordinates r' and r, **k** is a wave Where r'=r-r_α is the position inside sphere α with polar coordinates r' and r, **k** is a wave
vector in the irreducible Brillouin zone, **K** is a reciprocal lattice vector and $\mathbf{u}_\mathrm{l}^\alpha$ is the numerical solution to the radial Schrodinger equation at the energy ε. The coefficients $\, {\rm a}_{{\rm L}}^{\, \alpha {\rm K}}\,$ are chosen such that the atomic functions for all L components match (in value) the PW with K at the such that the atomic functions for all L components match (in value) the PW with K at the
Muffin tin sphere boundary. The KS orbitals are expressed as a linear combinations of APWs $\Phi_{_{\rm K}}({\rm r})$. In 1991 Singh [29] introduced the concept of local orbitals (LOs) which allow an efficient treatment of the semi-core states. An LO is constructed by the LAPW radial functions u and \dot{u} at one energy ε_1 in the valence band region and a third radial function at Where $r^2 = r - r_a$ is the position inside sphere α with polar coordinates r^2 and r , \bf{k} is a wave
vector in the irreducible Brillouin zone, \bf{K} is a reciprocal lattice vector and u_i^{α} is the numerical
sol is the position inside sphere α with polar coordinates r' and r, k is a wave
educible Brillouin zone, K is a reciprocal lattice vector and u_i^{α} is the numerical
radial Schrodinger equation at the energy ϵ . The c Expression is interacted by taking a linear combinations, eigenvalues and its attack
 $\sum_i [\hat{a}_i^{(K} u_i^{\alpha}(r^+) + \hat{b}_i^{(K} \hat{u}_i^{\alpha}(r^*))^{\gamma}]V_i(\hat{r}^{\alpha})$
 $\sum_i [\hat{a}_i^{(K} u_i^{\alpha}(r^+) + \hat{b}_i^{(K} \hat{u}_i^{\alpha}(r^*))^{\gamma}]V_i(\hat{r}^{\alpha})$
 $\Gamma \in$ tomic sphere is linearized by taking a linear combination of a solution u at a fixed

vization energy and its energy derivative^{fit} computed at the same energy.
 $D_k(\mathbf{r}) = \begin{cases} \sum_L \begin{bmatrix} a_L^{ax} u_I^a(\mathbf{r}^a + \mathbf{b}_I^{ax} \mathbf{b}_$ sphere is linearized by taking a linear combination of a solution u at a fixed
energy and its energy derivative¹⁴ computed at the same energy.
 $\left[\sum_i \left[a_i^{ak} u_i^a(\mathbf{r}) + b_i^{ak} \dot{u}_i^a(\mathbf{r})\right]\mathbf{Y}_1(\hat{\mathbf{r}})\right] \mathbf{r}'(\mathbf{R}_$ **Engine also and the system of the about the non-
the administration energy and its energy derivative¹² computed at the same energy.
 \Phi_{\kappa}(\mathbf{r}) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i} \left[a France Example 12**
 France Exa a is the position inside sphere α with polar coordinates r' and r , k is a wave
irreductible Brillouin zone, K is a recoposal lattice vector and u_1 ²^t is the numerical
irreductible Brillouin zone, K is a Here $\int e^{-t}$ are possion in slow sphere u with plant columns as a wave to the radial for the radial Schrodinger equation at the energy ε. The coefficients are a wave to the radial Schrodinger equation at the energy ε. e irreducible Brillouin zone, **K** is a reciprocal lattice vector and u_i^* is the numerical
the radial Schrodinger equation at the energy ϵ . The coefficients u_i^* ² are chosen
per atomic functions for all L compone Where $r = r-r_0$ is the position inside sphere a with polar coordinates r and r, k is a wave
exector in the irreducible Brillouin zone, K is a reciprocal lattice vector and u_i^{α} is the numerical
solution to the radial S position inside sphere a with polar coordinates r' and r, **k** is a wave
ble Brillouin zone, **K** is a reciprocal lattice vector and u_i^m is the numerical
Schrodinger equation at the energy c . The coefficients $a_i^{\alpha K}$ Physical Review & Research International, 3(3): 205-217, 2013

mic sphere is linearized by taking a linear combination of a solution u at a fixed

tion energy and its energy derivative¹² computed at the same energy.
 $(\$ Physical Review & Research International, 3(3): 205-217, 2013

the atomic sphere is linearized by taking a linear combination of a solution u at a fixed

inearization energy and its energy derivative^{fit} computed at the Where $r = r \cdot r_5$ is the position inside sphere α with polar coordinates r and r , k is a wave
exector in the irreducible Brillouin zone, K is a reciprocal lattice vector and u_i^R is the numerical
solution to t arch International, 3(3): 205-217, 2013

ion of a solution u at a fixed

e same energy.
 $r' \langle R_{\alpha}$ (1)
 $r \in I$

dinates r' and r, k is a wave

vector and u_i^{α} is the numerical

e coefficients $a_L^{\alpha K}$ are chosen

$$
\Phi_{LO}(\mathbf{r}) = \begin{cases} \left[a_L^{\alpha,LO} u_{11}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}') + b_L^{\alpha,LO} u_{11}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}') + c_L^{\alpha,LO} u_{21}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}')\right] Y_L(\hat{\mathbf{r}}') & \text{r} \langle R_{\alpha} \\ 0 & \text{r} \in I \end{cases}
$$
(2)

Recently, an alternative approach was proposed by Sjöstedt et al [30], namely the APLW+ lo (local orbital) method. Here the augmentation is similar to the original APW scheme but each radial wave function is computed at a fixed linearization energy to avoid the non-linear eigen value problem. The missing variational freedom of the radial wave functions can be recovered by adding another type of local orbitals (termed in lower case to distinguish them from LO) containing u and \dot{u} term: $\mu_L^{\alpha,LO} u_{\text{II}}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}') + b_{\text{L}}^{\alpha,LO} u_{\text{II}}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}') + c_{\text{L}}^{\alpha,LO} u_{\text{2I}}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}') \Big] Y_{\text{L}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}') \qquad \qquad \mathbf{r}' \langle R_{\alpha} \rangle$
 $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{I}$

there allowed: $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{R}$

the proposed by Sjöstedt et al [30], $\mathbf{L}_{\text{L}}^{\alpha,\text{LO}}\mathbf{u}_{\text{H}}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}') + \mathbf{b}_{\text{L}}^{\alpha,\text{LO}}\dot{\mathbf{u}}_{\text{H}}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}') - \mathbf{c}_{\text{L}}^{\alpha,\text{LO}}\mathbf{u}_{\text{2I}}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}') \Big] Y_{\text{L}}(\hat{\mathbf{f}}') \qquad \qquad \mathbf{r}' \langle R_{\alpha} \rangle$
 $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{I}$

alternative approach was proposed $f_{\text{LO}}(\mathbf{r}) = \begin{cases} \left[a_L^{\alpha,L_O} u_H^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}') + b_L^{\alpha,L_O} u_H^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}') + c_L^{\alpha,L_O} u_H^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}') \right] Y_L(\hat{\mathbf{r}}') & \text{r}^{\prime} \langle R_{\alpha} \rangle \\ 0 & \text{r} \in I \end{cases}$

ccently, an alternative approach was proposed by Sjöstedt et al [30], namely the A

$$
\Phi_{lo}(\mathbf{r}) = \begin{cases} \left[a_L^{\alpha,lo} u_l^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}') + b_L^{\alpha,lo} \dot{u}_l^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}')\right] Y_L(\mathbf{r}') & \text{r}' \langle R_{\alpha} \\ 0 & \text{r} \in I \end{cases}
$$
(3)

It was demonstrated that this new scheme converges faster than LAPW. The APW +lo scheme has been implemented in the wien2k code version [31].

However, in the calculations reported here, we chose the muffin tin radii for Tl, Br and Cl to be 2.5 a.u.. The expansion of the spherical region is developed up to $I_{\text{max}}=10$ for both compounds, while in the interstitial region we have used 372 plane waves for TlBr and 331 for TICI. Furthermore, we have used the energy cut-off of R_{III} . $K_{\text{III}}=8$ and the maximal reciprocal vector equal to 10 for both compounds. has been implemented in the wien2k code version [31].
 σ , in the calculations reported here, we chose the muffin tin radii for TI, Br and CI to
 σ .u.. The expansion of the spherical region is developed up to $I_{\text{max$

3. RESULTS

The structural optimization of the cubic phase was performed by calculating the total energy as function of the volume. The minimization of the total energy versus volume requires that each of the self-consistent calculations is converged, so the iteration process was repeated until the calculated total energyof the crystal converged to less than 1 mRyd. A total of seven iterations were necessary to achieve self-consistency for TlBr and nine iterations in the case of TlCl. The equilibrium lattice constants and bulk modulus are calculated by fitting the total energy versus volume according to Murnaghan's equation of state [33]. The variation of total energy as a function of volumeis shown in Figs. 1a and 1b for TlBr and TlCl respectively.

Fig. 1a. Energy (eV) versus volume (Å3) for TlBr

Fig. 1b. Energy (eV) versus volume (Å3) for TlCl

Our results are shown along with other theoretical values in Table 1. It is found that for the generalized gradient approximation (GGA92) and (GGA96), the energy gap is underestimated relative to the experimental value due to the well known artifact of the local density approximation calculations, while the Engel-Vosko scheme gives quite a nice band gap compared to the experimental one.

3.1 Electronic Band Structures

The electronic band structures of cubic TlBr and TlCl along symmetry lines are shown in Figs. 2a, 2b at normal pressure and 3a, 3b under hydrostatic pressure. The calculated band energy gap at high symmetry points is given in Table 1; the band gap is found to be direct and equal to 1.87 eV and 2.08 eV for TlBr and TlCl respectively, which is in close agreement with other theoretical calculations as shown in Table 1.

Fig. 2a. Energy (eV) versus wave vector for TlBr at normal pressure (GGA-08)

Fig. 2b. Energy (eV) versus wave vector for TlCl at normal pressure (GGA-08)

It is clear from these figures that the energy levels are shifted upon applied pressure for both Tl Br and TlCl; under pressure, the energy levels for the valence bands decrease while the ones of the conduction bands increase, the main band gaps are also increased under pressure, hence The valence bandwidth increases with the increase of pressure, while the conduction bandwidth decreases with the increase of pressure.

The band structure is qualitatively similar to that of ambient pressure. However, the conduction minimum at C shifts upwards, while the X-point conduction-band minimum moves down relative to the valence-band maximum. The energy of the lowest conduction band at the L point is almost independent of pressure.

We applied a pressure up to 8.69 GPa for TIBr and 10.33 GPa for TICI, because this structure transforms to another phase when pressure exceeds those values. The variation is not constant and depends on the k-point and energy. Both materials under study remain a direct band-gap at 8.69 GPa for TlBr and 10.33 GPa for TlCl.

It is interesting to compare our calculated gaps with experimental data (Table 1). Since quasi-particle excitations are not taken into account in density functional theory (DFT), the energy gap calculated from DFT, often called the Kohn-Sham gap, tends to be smaller than the experimental one. In some cases, even the wrong ground state is predicted, as, e.g., in Ge, where the energy gap is around 0.7 eV, whereas the LDA Kohn-Sham gap is slightly negative at ambient pressure [26]. The GGA corrections yield only minor improvement. Quasi particle calculations essentially overcome the underestimate of the band gap as obtained using the LDA, The GW [27] calculations for GaN for instance yield band structures in much better agreement with experiment; they are, however, time consuming and do not, as yet, produce self consistent total-energy values. However, in our case, the use the Engel- Vosko improves significantly the band gap which becomes closer to the experimental one.

Fig. 2a indicates that TlBr with cubic structure has a direct band gap between the top of the valence band and the bottom of the conduction band at the X. The lowest band is the Tl 6s band. At X there is significant mixing between the nominal TI s and the X_6^+ which is the valence band edge. A maximum pressure of 8.69 GPa was used.

In the case of TlCl (Fig. 3a), the overall results are similar to TlBr; Table 1 summarizes the key information for TlCl, discrepancies between calculated and experimental band gap, which can be attributed as stated earlier to the exchange GGA potentials which do not take into account the excitations, whereas the Engel–vosko correction significantly improves the gap which is 2.72 eV and 2.96 eV for TlBr and TlCl respectively different from the experimental ones. Note that in the case of TICI, the CI s and p bands make the convergence of the calculation a bit longer. The calculated band gaps at p= 8.69 GPa and p=10.33 GPa for TlBr and TlCl are given in Table 1.

TIBr	Present work			Other	Experiment
	GGA96	GGA92	Engel-Vosko	calculations	
Energy	1.87 eV at P=0	1.74	2.72	2.38	2.68 ^d
gap (eV)	GPa			$(OPW)^c$	
	0.76 eV at P=8.69				
	GPa				
Lattice	4.0			3.96 ^c	3.98 ^a
constant(\AA)					
TICI	Present work			Other	Experiment
	GGA96	GGA92	Engel-Vosko	calculations	
Energy	2.08 eV at $P = 0$	1.94	2.96	2.04	3.22^{d}
gap (eV)	GPa			$(OPW)^c$	
	0.87 eV at $P=10.33$				
	GPa				
Lattice	3.84			3.84°	3.83 ^b
constant(Å)					
			^a reference [28]		
			^b reference [29]		
		\sim	^c reference [30]		

Table 1. Band energies (eV) andstatic equilibrium constant a (Å) for TlBr and TlCl

reference [30] ^dReference [31]

3.2 Total Charge Density

To visualize the nature of the bond character and to explain the charge transfer and the bonding properties of cubic TlBr and TlCl, we calculate the total charge density.

The electronic charge density is obtained for each band n by summing over the k-states in the band.

$$
\rho_n(r) = \sum_k \left| \Psi_{nk}(r) \right|^2 \tag{4}
$$

and the total charge density is obtained by summing over the occupied band.

$$
\rho(r) = \sum_{n} \rho_n(r) \tag{5}
$$

The total valence charge densities for the two binary compounds,TlBr and TlCl,are displayed along the Tl-Br-Cl bonds in Figs. 3a and 3b.

Fig. 3a. Energy (eV) versus wave vector for TlBr at pressure p=8.69 GPa, (GGA-08)

Fig. 3b. Energy (eV) versus wave vector for TlCl at pressure p=10.33 GPa, (GGA-08)

Figs. 4a and 4b show the charge density distribution in the (110) plane for TlBr and TlCl respectively at normal pressure and at 8.69 GPa for TlBr and 10.33 GPa for TlCl. The calculated electron charge distributions indicate that there is a strong ionic character for both compounds as can be seen along the Tl-Br-Cl bonds. The charge densities around the atoms have asymmetric forms which are similar to those given in previous reports using the ab initio pseudo-potential method [32]. The charge transfer gives rise to the ionic character in TlBr and TlCl semiconductor compounds. The driving force behind the displacement of the bonding charge is the greater ability of Tl to attract electrons towards it due to the difference in the electronegativity of Tl and Br. However as pressure is applied we note a charge transfer toward the interstitial region, and also a decrease in the charge density, more noticeable in the case of TlBr, this is attributed to the difference in the core size of these compounds. The charge distribution which was concentrated at the atomic sites at normal pressure becomes more delocalized throughout the unit cell. This difference has important physical consequences, the substitution of the interstitial sites of TlBr and TlCl with host atoms can affect the band structure topology and gives rise to a semiconductor with new physical properties.

and at p= 8.69 GPa (GGA-08)

and at p= 10.33 GPa (GGA-08)

The total DOS curves displayed on Figs. 5a and 5b give an idea about the dominant orbital character of the groups of bands in the indicated regions of energy for TlBr and TlCl, respectively.

Fig. 5a. Density of states for TlBr at normal pressure and at p= 8.69 GPa (GGA-08)

Fig. 5b. Density of states for TlCl at normal pressure and at p= 8.69 GPa (GGA-08)

The cubic binary compounds TlBr and TlCl have valence band densities of states qualitatively similar to the band structures. The energy zero is the top of the valence band, E_v , or valence-band maximum (VBM). Structures of the density of states are labeled with the same notation as the band structure and the corresponding points in the Brillouin zone follow from inspection of the band structure. The minimum of the density of states occurs at Γ at - 5.3 eV for TlBr and -5.2 eV for TlCl. The lower states from -5.3 to -2.7 eV and from -5.2 to -

2.71 eV for TlBr and TlCl, respectively have primarily s character and are localized on the anion. The second state of the second valence band is cation s, it changes rapidly to anion p-like at the top of the valance band in the case of TlCl. However, as pressure is applied, the intensity of the peaks in the DOS figure decreases and also both valence and conduction band peaks are shifted as sated above in the band structure analysis.

4. CONCLUSION

The effect of hydrostatic pressure on the electronic properties of cubic TlBr and TlCl have been investigated using the wien2k package, full-potential linearized augmented plane wave (FP-LAPW) approach within the density functional theory (DFT) in the local spin density approximation (LSDA) including the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) was used. The use of GGA for the exchange-correlation potential permitted us to obtain good structural parameters but an underestimated fundamental band gap, whereas the Engel–vosko correction significantly improves the gap. The charge densities have been presented and provide additional evidence of the similarity of the bonds in TlBr and TlCl. As a result of the ionic character of these two binary semiconductor compounds which share many similar properties. We noticed that at much lower pressures, the character of the fundamental gap is affected by changes in band dispersion of the topmost part of the valence band in both TlBr and TlCl.

However, the charge distribution which was concentrated at the atomic sites at normal pressure becomes more delocalized throughout the unit cell as pressure is applied, this has important physical consequences, substitution of the interstitial sites with host atoms will give rise to a semiconductor with different physical properties.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

P. Blaha, K. Schwarz, G. Madsen, D. Kvasnicka and J. Luitz, *WIEN2k*, An Augmented Plane Wave + Local Orbitals Program for Calculating Crystal Properties (Karlheinz Schwarz, Techn. Universität Wien, Austria), 2001. ISBN 3-9501031-1-2.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Pistorius CWFT, Clark JB. Effect of Pressure on the Polymorphism and Melting Points of the Thallous Halides. Phys. Rev. 1968;173(3):692–699.
- 2. Brade RM, Yates BJ. The low temperature heat capacities of ferrous bromide and ferrous iodide, Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 1971;(4):417-427.
- 3. Lawless WN. Specific heat and thermal-conductivity measurements on cesium and thallous halide crystals at low temperatures, Phys. Rev. 1984;B30(10):6057–6066.
- 4. Sans JA, Segura A, Manjon FJ, Marı B, Munoz A, Herrera-Cabrera MJ. Optical properties of wurtzite and rock-salt ZnO under pressure, Microelectronics Journal. 2005;(36):928–932.
- 5. Alouani M, Brey L, Christensen NE. Optical properties of GaAs, Phys. Rev. 1988;B(37):1167-79.
- 6. Chen W, Zhang JZ, Joly GA. Optical properties and potential applications of doped semiconductor nanoparticles. J. of Nanosc. and Nanotec. 2004;4:142.
- 7. Segura A, Sans JA, Munoz A, Herrera-Cabrera MJ. Optical properties and electronic structure of rock-salt ZnO under pressure, App. Phys. Lett. 2003;(2):83.
- 8. Kalarasse L, Mellouki A, Bennecer B, Kalarasse F. Pressure effect on the optical properties of the filled tetrahedral semiconductors LiZnX (X=N,P,andAs), J. Phys. Chem. Solid. 2007;(68:2286-2292.
- 9. Benmakhlouf F. Pressure dependence of opto-electronic properties in ZnSxSe1-x Inter. J. of Mod. Phys. 2006;B(20):4807-4820.
- 10. Secco RA, Chen Q, Secco EA, Chen J, Vaughan MT, Zhang JZ, Chen G. Bulk modulus and high pressure thermal expansion of TlCl and TlBr Sol. State Com. 1998;10:553-556.
- 11. Holzapfel WB. Physics of solids under strong compression Rep. Prog. Phys. 1996;59:29.
- 12. Badding JV.High pressure synthesis, characterization, and tuning of solid state materials Annu. Rev. Mater. Sci. 1998;28:631 and references therein.
- 13. Ueta M, Kanzaki H, Kobayashi K, Toyozawa Y, Hanamura E. Excitonic Processes in Solids, ed. M. Cardona, P. Fulde, K. von Klitzing and H.-J. Queisser (Springer, Berlin, Springer Ser. Solid-State Sci. 1986;60:370.
- 14. Soda K, Mikuni A, Kanzaki H, Ishii T. Core-Level Spectroscopy in Condensed Systems,ed. J. Kanamori and A. Kotani (Springer, Berlin) Springer Ser. Solid-State Sci. 1988;81:222.
- 15. Agekyan VF, Stepanov YuA. Optical and Structural Properties of Thallium Halide Microcrystals in a Porous Matrix. Phys. of the Sol. State, 2001;(43):763–765.
- 16. Landolt-Börnstein, Non-Tetrahedrally Bonded Elements and Binary Compounds I Vol.III; 1998.
- 17. Daoudi B, Sehil M, Boukraa A, Abid H. Int. FP-LAPW calculations of ground state properties for AlN, GaN and InN compounds, J. Nanoelectronics and Mat. 2008;(1):65- 79.
- 18. Friedel P, Hybertsen MS and Schlüter M. Local empirical pseudopotential approach to the optical properties of Si/Ge superlattices, Phys. Rev. 1989;B(39):7974–7977.
- 19. Wei F, Zong-quan G, Jian-bai X, Guo-hua Li. First-Principles Self-Consistent Pseudopotential Calculation of The Eelectronic Structures in Superlattices. Acta Phys. Sin*.* 1992;6:305.
- 20. Wei-jun F, Zong-quan G, Jian-bai X and Guo-hua L. First-Principles Self-Consistent Pseudopotential Calculation of THE Eelectronic Structures of Short-Period (GaAs)m(AlAs)n Superlattices. Chinese Phys. 1992;B(1):45-50.
- 21. Fuchs F, Bechstedt F. Indium-oxide polymorphs from first principles: Quasiparticle electronic states, Phys. Rev. 2008;B(77):155107.
- 22. Eiguren A, Ambrosch-Draxl, Echenique PM. Self-consistently renormalized quasiparticles under the electron-phonon interaction, Phys. Rev. 2009;B(79):245103
- 23. Schwarz K, Blaha P,Madsen GKH. Electronic structure calculations of solids using the WIEN2K package. Comp. Phys.Com. 2002;147:71.
- 24. Schwarz K, Blaha P. Solid state calculations using WIEN2K. Comput.Mater.Sci. 2003;28:259.
- 25. Ceperley DM, Alder BI. Ground State of the Electron Gas by a Stochastic Method Phys.Rev.Lett. 1990;45:566.
- 26. Wimmer E, Krakauer H, Weinert M, Freeman AJ. Full-potential self-consistent linearized-augmented-plane-wave method for calculating the electronic structure of molecules and surfaces: O2 molecule. Phys. Rev. 1981;B(24):864.
- 27. Blaha P, Schwarz K, Sorantin P, Trikey SB. Full-potential, linearized augmented plane wave programs for crystalline systems. Comput. Phys.Commun. 1990;59:399.
- 28. Andersen OK.Linear methods in band theory. Phys.Rev. 1975;B(12):3060-3083.
- 29. Singh D. Ground-state properties of lanthanum. Treatment of extended-core states Phys.Rev. 1991;B(43):6388-6392.
- 30. Sjöstedt E, Nordström L, Singh DJ. An alternative way of linearizing the augmented plane-wave method. Solid State Comm. 2000;(114):15-20
- 31. Blaha P, Schwarz K, Madsen GKH, Kvanicka D, Luitz J. WIEN2k,An Augmented Plane Wave Plus Local Orbitals Program For Calculating Crystal properties, Vienna University of Technology,Austria; 2001.
- 32. Monkhorst HJ, Pack JD. Special points for Brillouin-zone integrations. Phys.Rev. 1976;B(13):5188.
- 33. Murnaghan FD.The Compressibility of media under extreme pressures. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA . 1944;30:5390.
- 34. Yannopapas V, Moroz A. J. Phys.: Condens. Matter. 2005;(17):3717–3734.
- 35. Ueta M, Kanzaki H, Kobayashi K, Toyozawa Y, Hanamura E. Excitonic Processes in Solids, ed. Cardona M, Fulde P, von Klitzing K and Queisser HJ. Springer, Berlin, Springer Ser. Solid-State Sci. 1986;60:370.
- 36. Sakuragi S, et al. IR Transmission Capabilities of Thallium Halide and Silver Halide Optical Fibers Adv. in Cerm. 1981;(2):84-93

___ *© 2013 Amrane and Benkraouda; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history.php?iid=216&id=4&aid=1196