Advances in Research

10(5): 1-8, 2017; Article no.AIR.34765 ISSN: 2348-0394, NLM ID: 101666096

Estimation of Aspect Based Multidimensional Poverty in Rural Haryana

Nitin Tanwar^{1*} and B. K. Hooda¹

¹Department of Mathematics, Statistics and Physics, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration of both authors. Author NT designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Author BKH managed the analyses of the study. Author NT managed the literature searches. Author BKH guided the author NT during whole research period. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AIR/2017/34765 <u>Editor(s):</u> (1) Martin Kröger, Computational Polymer Physics, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology (ETH Zürich), Switzerland. <u>Reviewers:</u> (1) Shahzad Khan, National University of Modern Languages, Islamabad, Pakistan. (2) Adewale Adejugbagbe, University of Ibadan, Nigeria Complete Peer review History: <u>http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/19974</u>

Original Research Article

Received 10th June 2017 Accepted 5th July 2017 Published 10th July 2017

ABSTRACT

The issue of poverty estimation in India has been drawing attention since independence. The process for measuring poverty in India was initiated in early sixties, when a working group from planning commission provided a quantification of minimum food and non-food requirements of individuals for a healthy living. Theoretical developments on poverty measurement have gradually shifted from the traditional unidimensional approach to the multidimensional concept. [1] Pointed out that the study of poverty should identify and analyze attributes than monetary which directly influence the individual welfare. In the present study, estimation of poverty in rural Haryana has been undertaken on the aspects of drinking water, sanitation and housing facilities. For estimation of the poverty, the secondary data on selected indicators of drinking water, sanitation and housing facilities from 69th round of National sample survey office (NSSO) survey have been utilized. The multidimensional poverty index (MPI) using the dual cut-off method based on the counting approachhas been applied for estimation of the aspect based multidimensional poverty in rural Haryana. It involves counting the different types of deprivation that individuals experience at the same time. These deprivation profiles are analysed to identify who is poor, and then used to construct a MPI. Using the MPI, the districts Mewat, Rohtak, Palwal, Jhajjar and Fatehabad have



^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: nitintanwar5@gmail.com;

higher value of MPI and indicating high level of poverty while districts Rewari, Kaithal, Bhiwani, Mahendragarh, Kurukshetra, Faridabad and Ambala were found in better condition. Effective awareness programmes about various schemes provided by government should be conducted so that villagers get to know about various benefits and the ways of approaching for getting benefits given by state/central government.

Keywords: Aspect based poverty; multidimensional poverty measurement; poverty line.

1. INTRODUCTION

Poverty is a global issue and commonly considered as a state of not having enough resources to take care for basic needs such as food, clothing and housing. The monetary value for such requirements is often used to define poverty line. In simple words, poverty is lack of basic amenities. Estimation of poverty has been at the centre of the planning process in every developing country. Till recently, poverty was assessed on the basis of income level. The monetary approach to poverty estimation was pioneered by Booth and Row tree in the late 19th and early 20th centuries. There is a growing realization that poverty not only includes level of income and expenditure but also refers to social, cultural, and political aspects of life.

The criteria developed for estimation of poverty revolve around quantification of minimum food and non-food requirements of individuals for a healthy living. The monetary value for such a requirement is termed as poverty line. Poverty lines are obtained at the state levels with ruralurban classifications.

The theoretical debate on the estimation of poverty in the past few years has led to the shift from the traditional unidimensional view of poverty to the new multidimensional concept of social exclusion [2,3,4].

Batana [5] Measured multidimensional povertv among the women in Sub-Saharan countries using the four dimensions-assets, health, schooling and empowerment. Multidimensional poverty estimates when compared with Human Development Index (HDI), Income poverty, Asset poverty and Gender Development Index (GDI) show a different picture in country rankings. This suggests that inclusion of additional dimensions in multidimensional measure changes the countries [6]. rankings of Measured multidimensional poverty in six Latin American countries by combining indicators from two traditional measures of poverty: income based and unsatisfied basic needs (UBN) approach and

used Alkire-Foster measure of poverty. While measuring poverty, both income based and UBN indicators are relevant and useful in targeting the poor [7,8]. Used the unit level data from NFHS-3 and linked multidimensional poverty with health and health care utilisation. Children belonging to multidimensional poor households are more likely to be deprived of health care and lower survival. [9] And [10] suggested a new method using binary scoring method, which can be updated periodically, to target BPL households in India.

Alkire and Seth [11] Construct MPI, a measure of acute poverty, understood as a person's inability to meet minimum international standards in indicators related to the Millennium Development Goals and to core functioning. It constitutes the first implementation of the direct method to measure poverty for over 100 developing countries. A range of robustness tests indicated that the MPI offers a reliable framework that can complement global income poverty estimates [12]. Analyzed the change in multidimensional poverty in India between 1999 and 2006 and find out a strong depletion in national poverty and each of its dimensions, but this has not been uniform across regions, castes, or religions. Probing further, analyzed changes in the distribution among the poor people nationally as well as within population subgroups. Recently, [13] measured multidimensional poverty in China at the national, rural-urban, regional and provincial levels using the China Family Panel Studies data from 2010, and observed that when they adopted four kinds of different methods to measure multidimensional poverty, the variation of weights did not change the results much.

The causes of rural poverty are complex and multidimensional. They involve, among other things, culture, climate, gender, markets, and public policy. In poverty related studies, it is essential to examine the economic and social context, including institutions of the state, households etc. Housing is a basic requirement of human well-being. Along with the requirement of shelter, other facilities in the micro environment of housing such as type of dwelling unit, drinking water, sanitation, hygiene, etc., form vital components of overall quality of life of the population. Access to drinking water and sanitation is both a human rights issue and a key development challenge that has profound health implications. This paper probes into the current scenario of access to facilities of drinking water, sanitation and housing condition in rural Haryana [9]. Method has been applied for estimation of the aspect based multidimensional poverty in rural Haryana.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Data

The National Sample Survey Office (NSSO) of the Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation (Government of India) headed by a Director General is responsible for conduct of large scale sample surveys in diverse fields on All India basis. Primarily data are collected through nation-wide household surveys on various socio-economic subjects, Annual Survey of Industries (ASI), etc. Besides these surveys, NSSO collects data on rural and urban prices and plays a significant role in the improvement of crop statistics through supervision of the area enumeration and crop estimation surveys of the State agencies. The NSSO adopts two stage stratified sampling design, the first stage units being census villages in the rural sector selected

through circular systematic sampling with probability proportional to size (PPS) and the ultimate-stage units being the households selected circular systematically with independent random starts. For the present study the data on selected indicators of 69th round survey (2012) of NSSO on drinking water, sanitation, hygiene and housing condition in India have been used for the estimation of poverty in rural Haryana. A 'state sample' was surveyed by state government official whereas the 'central sample' was surveyed by NSSO. Number of fsu's (villages/blocks) surveyed for schedule 1.2: NSS 69th round, central sample for Haryana state 76 for rural and 72 for urban area. Second-stage units: For this particular survey, from each sample village and urban block, 12 households were selected respectively for canvassing schedule 1.2. The total number of 1756 households was considered from Harvana out of which 912 in rural Harvana and 844 in urban Haryana. In this study only rural households were studied for estimating aspect based multidimensional poverty in rural Haryana.

In measuring the multidimensional poverty three aspects viz. drinking water, sanitation and housing condition have been considered. These aspects comprise a total of ten indicators. The description of aspects and indicators is given in Table 1. Three indicators are related with the drinking water dimension two with the sanitation dimension and five with the housing condition.

| Aspect | Indicators | Deprived if |
|-------------------|---|---|
| Drinking water | Principal source of drinking water | The household does not have Principal source of drinking water in the dwelling/yard/plot |
| | Whether drinking water sufficient | The household does not have sufficient drinking water throughout the year |
| | Distance of the principal source of drinking water | Principal source of drinking water is outside the premises more than 0.2 K.M. |
| Sanitation | Access to latrine | Household does not have exclusive use or Household use common latrine in a building or public/community latrine |
| | Facility of bathroom | Household does not have bathroom |
| Housing | Condition of structure | Household has bad condition of structure |
| condition | Type of dwelling | The household does not have independent or own house |
| | Floor type | The household has a mud, bamboo, wood lime stone floor |
| | Wall type | The household has bamboo/straw/reeds/grass, mud/unbrunt bricks and other katcha walls |
| | Roof type | The household has bamboo/straw/reeds/grass, mud/ unbrunt bricks, canvas/cloth and other katcha roof |

 Table 1. The aspects, indicators and deprivation thresholds

2.2 Methods

2.2.1 Poverty ratio or head count ratio

Head count ratio is one of the most widely used poverty measure. It is also known as poverty Ratio (PR). The Head Count Ratio (HCR) measures the proportion of the population that is counted as poor. It gives the proportion of population which is not above the poverty line. It can be formally defined as:

$$HCR = \frac{P}{N}$$

Where, P is the number of poor people and N is the total population.

Poverty ratio is, thus, simply a head count ratio and it only measures the incidence of poverty. Though it is most commonly used measure of poverty, it suffers from the drawback that it does not take into account the level of poverty within poor people. Poverty ratio is not affected by upward or downward movement of poor people unless they cross the poverty line [14]. Made an attempt to review some of the existing procedures for poverty mapping for estimating poverty indicators at district level in Uttar Pradesh.

2.2.2 Multidimensional poverty index

The multidimensional poverty index (MPI) using the dual cut-off method based on the counting approach was developed by [15,9]. In unidimensional analysis, identification is normally accomplished by the use of a poverty line or threshold value. A poor hold is one of the poor household whose resource or achievement variable level falls below the poverty line. In multidimensional setting, where there are multiple variables, identification of poor hold is more challenging exercise. With the increasing understanding that poverty is of multidimensional and dynamic natures, many studies had responded with new measures and tools that comprehensively measure poverty to the strong demands of governments and international communities [16,17,18,19].

The first partial index is the percentage of the population that is poor, or the multidimensional headcount ratio H. The second is the average intensity A, which calculates the deprivation share for each poor person by dividing the deprivation count by d, and then averages across all poor persons. The MPI is the product of both i.e.

Where *H*is multidimensional head count ratio:

$$H = \frac{q}{n}$$

Here *q* is the number of people who are multi dimensionally poor and *n* is the total population and *A* is Average deprivation share among poor or intensity of poverty:

$$A = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i(k)}{q}$$

Where $c_i(k)$, is the censored deprivation score of individual *I* and *q* is the number of people who are multidimensionally poor.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As mentioned in materials and methods poverty ratios have been estimated for each district in rural Haryana as percentage of persons below aspect based poverty lines and then a pooled poverty ratio for each district with respect to each of the dimension have been obtained.

Table 2 presents district-wise percentage of population below poverty line in facility of drinking water in rural Harvana. An examination of district level estimates indicates wide range of variation in different drinking water indicators across districts. The conditions of some districts in terms of drinking water indicators are much better than in other districts. The performance of all districts is not uniform on all the indicators related to drinking water. Also district performed better one indicator. In case of principal source of drinking water, rural households in the districts Rohtak, Palwal, Jhajjar, Jind and Mewat (100, 77.1, 75.0, 64.6 and 60.4 per cent respectively) are deprived. On the other hand rural households found in good condition regarding this variable were Ambala, Sirsa, Rewari, Kurukshetra and Yamuna Nagar. Regarding sufficiency of drinking water the households of Yamuna Nagar (41.0%) district were found most deprived. The households of Rohtak district were most deprived in respect to the distance of the principal source of drinking water followed by Faridabad (83.0%),

Palwal (62.0%) and Jhajjar (56.0%). The performance of districts Jhajjar, Mahendargarh, Panchkula, Panipat and Rohtak are not likely to be uniform on all the indicators related to drinking water facility while district Ambala shows uniformity related to all indicators as given in Table 2.

Analysing the levels of two sanitation facilities separately, the perusal of Table 3 revealed that the rural areas of the districts Panchkula (75.0%), Mewat (70.8%), Yamuna nagar (62.5%) and Palwal (56.3%) appear as the most deprived districts in Harvana, which have used a common latrine facilities in the building. Kaithal and Faridabad districts were found in good condition regarding this indicator. It is also found that with regard to bathroom facility, 50% of the rural households do not have bathroom facility in Mewat followed by Sirsa with 45.83% and Fatehabad with 39.58%. The districts Fatehabad and Hisar are likely to be performing uniform approximately while other districts shows wide range of variation related to sanitation facilities as given in Table 3.

The results of Table 4 showed the district-wise proportion of deprived households in case of quality of house. In case of condition of structure,41.6% households of Fatehabad district

are living in bad condition of structure followed by Gurgaon (37.5%). Panipat (29.2%) and Mewat (27.1%). The households of the districts of Panipat (29.0%), Fatehabad (25.0%) and Sirsa (18.0%) do not have their own house or independent house. In Gurgaon (58.0%), Palwal (47.0%) and Mewat (45.0%) households do not have cemented or pucca floor. In case of wall type, 31.0% households in Mewat found deprived. 45.0 per cent households of Mewat district were also found deprived in case of roof material and have roof prepared by mud, bamboo, canvas or other katcha type roof. The households of Mewat district found most deprived in all cases or indicators of housing condition or quality of house. The only district Panchkula is likely to be performing uniform approximately with respect to all housing condition indicators given in Table 4.

3.1 The Multidimensional Poverty Index (MPI)

The estimation of the poverty with the multidimensional scale provides wider and deeper view of wellbeing and could be efficiently used for the targeted policy interventions. The multidimensional poverty index (MPI) is the product of two measures, multidimensional

 Table 2. Estimate of district-wise proportion of deprived households on the aspect of drinking water facilities in rural Haryana

| Districts | Principal source of | Sufficiency of | Distance of the principal | |
|--------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------------------|--|
| | drinking water | drinking water | source of drinking water | |
| Ambala | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |
| Sirsa | 0.104 | 0.000 | 0.060 | |
| Rewari | 0.104 | 0.000 | 0.040 | |
| Kurukshetra | 0.146 | 0.060 | 0.120 | |
| Yamuna Nagar | 0.208 | 0.410 | 0.080 | |
| Mahendragarh | 0.208 | 0.020 | 0.200 | |
| Faridabad | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.830 | |
| Kaithal | 0.313 | 0.020 | 0.100 | |
| Bhiwani | 0.333 | 0.000 | 0.330 | |
| Panchkula | 0.375 | 0.080 | 0.370 | |
| Gurgaon | 0.375 | 0.040 | 0.290 | |
| Fatehabad | 0.438 | 0.020 | 0.370 | |
| Karnal | 0.479 | 0.020 | 0.330 | |
| Sonipat | 0.479 | 0.020 | 0.180 | |
| Panipat | 0.542 | 0.000 | 0.330 | |
| Hisar | 0.563 | 0.120 | 0.410 | |
| Mewat | 0.604 | 0.100 | 0.540 | |
| Jind | 0.646 | 0.020 | 0.540 | |
| Jhajjar | 0.750 | 0.000 | 0.560 | |
| Palwal | 0.771 | 0.040 | 0.620 | |
| Rohtak | 1.000 | 0.000 | 1.000 | |

| Districts | Access to latrine | Facility of bathroom |
|--------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Kaithal | 0.167 | 0.060 |
| Faridabad | 0.167 | 0.250 |
| Jind | 0.229 | 0.080 |
| Hisar | 0.292 | 0.250 |
| Panipat | 0.333 | 0.250 |
| Karnal | 0.354 | 0.020 |
| Bhiwani | 0.354 | 0.120 |
| Fatehabad | 0.375 | 0.390 |
| Mahendragarh | 0.375 | 0.160 |
| Rewari | 0.396 | 0.200 |
| Ambala | 0.417 | 0.270 |
| Sonipat | 0.417 | 0.040 |
| Rohtak | 0.417 | 0.120 |
| Kurukshetra | 0.438 | 0.270 |
| Jhajjar | 0.438 | 0.100 |
| Sirsa | 0.542 | 0.450 |
| Gurgaon | 0.542 | 0.160 |
| Palwal | 0.563 | 0.310 |
| Yamuna Nagar | 0.625 | 0.250 |
| Mewat | 0.708 | 0.500 |
| Panchkula | 0.750 | 0.330 |

| Table 3. Estimate of district-wise proportion of deprived households on the aspect of | |
|---|--|
| sanitation facilities in rural Haryana | |

Table 4. Estimate of district-wise proportion of deprived households on the aspect of Housing conditions in rural Haryana

| Districts | Condition of structure | Type of dwelling | Floor type | Wall type | Roof type |
|--------------|------------------------|------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| Panchkula | 0.000 | 0.040 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Jind | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.040 | 0.000 | 0.330 |
| Rewari | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.100 | 0.000 | 0.180 |
| Faridabad | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.120 | 0.000 | 0.250 |
| Kaithal | 0.021 | 0.000 | 0.180 | 0.000 | 0.290 |
| Mahendragarh | 0.042 | 0.000 | 0.200 | 0.020 | 0.100 |
| Kurukshetra | 0.083 | 0.020 | 0.350 | 0.000 | 0.220 |
| Bhiwani | 0.083 | 0.040 | 0.330 | 0.000 | 0.040 |
| Karnal | 0.167 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.310 | 0.040 |
| Sirsa | 0.167 | 0.180 | 0.430 | 0.080 | 0.330 |
| Palwal | 0.167 | 0.000 | 0.470 | 0.120 | 0.370 |
| Ambala | 0.188 | 0.000 | 0.370 | 0.040 | 0.370 |
| Rohtak | 0.208 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.160 | 0.370 |
| Hisar | 0.229 | 0.020 | 0.180 | 0.040 | 0.200 |
| Yamuna Nagar | 0.250 | 0.000 | 0.450 | 0.020 | 0.020 |
| Jhajjar | 0.250 | 0.060 | 0.180 | 0.250 | 0.430 |
| Sonipat | 0.271 | 0.020 | 0.140 | 0.000 | 0.390 |
| Mewat | 0.271 | 0.100 | 0.450 | 0.310 | 0.450 |
| Panipat | 0.292 | 0.290 | 0.040 | 0.020 | 0.100 |
| Gurgaon | 0.375 | 0.000 | 0.580 | 0.250 | 0.370 |
| Fatehabad | 0.417 | 0.250 | 0.450 | 0.120 | 0.270 |

headcount ratio (H) and intensity of poverty (A) [9]. The multidimensional headcount ratio is the proportion of multidimensional poor to the total population. The intensity of poverty is the

average weight of deprivations experienced by the multidimensionally poor at a time. Table 5 provides the estimates of H, A and MPI and a ranking of districts according to MPI.

| S. no. | Districts | Н | Α | MPI = H×A | Rank |
|--------|--------------|--------|-------|-----------|------|
| 1 | Panchkula | 37.50 | 42.22 | 0.158 | 8 |
| 2 | Ambala | 64.58 | 23.55 | 0.152 | 7 |
| 3 | Yamuna Nagar | 83.33 | 30.00 | 0.250 | 15 |
| 4 | Kurukshetra | 50.00 | 28.75 | 0.144 | 5 |
| 5 | Kaithal | 45.83 | 24.55 | 0.113 | 2 |
| 6 | Karnal | 81.25 | 30.51 | 0.248 | 13 |
| 7 | Panipat | 54.17 | 36.92 | 0.200 | 10 |
| 8 | Sonipat | 62.50 | 28.33 | 0.177 | 9 |
| 9 | Jind | 97.92 | 23.62 | 0.231 | 11 |
| 10 | Fatehabad | 70.83 | 43.53 | 0.308 | 17 |
| 11 | Sirsa | 87.50 | 27.14 | 0.238 | 12 |
| 12 | Hisar | 77.08 | 32.43 | 0.250 | 14 |
| 13 | Bhiwani | 37.50 | 32.22 | 0.121 | 3 |
| 14 | Rohtak | 100.00 | 39.17 | 0.392 | 20 |
| 15 | Jhajjar | 100.00 | 33.75 | 0.338 | 18 |
| 16 | Mahendragarh | 41.67 | 31.00 | 0.129 | 4 |
| 17 | Rewari | 39.58 | 22.63 | 0.090 | 1 |
| 18 | Gurgaon | 87.50 | 32.86 | 0.288 | 16 |
| 19 | Faridabad | 50.00 | 29.17 | 0.146 | 6 |
| 20 | Mewat | 100.00 | 40.00 | 0.400 | 21 |
| 21 | Palwal | 100.00 | 34.79 | 0.348 | 19 |

Table 5. Estimate of district-wise multidimensional poverty index (MPI) values in rural Haryana

The MPI values vary from a low of 0.090 in Rewari district to a high of 0.400 in the Mewat district. On ranking all the districts in ascending order, we found that the districts Mewat, Rohtak, Palwal, Jhajjar and Fatehabad have higher value of MPI and indicating high level of poverty. Districts Rewari (0.090), Kaithal (0.113) Bhiwani (0.121), Mahendragarh (0.129), Kurukshetra (0.144), Faridabad (0.146) and Ambala (0.152) were categorized better according to the aspect based multidimensional poverty index.

4. CONCLUSION

This study made an attempt to estimate the deprived ness of rural households of Haryana in reference to the aspects of drinking water, sanitation and house condition. District level estimates indicate wide range of variation across districts. The households of Rohtak district were found most deprived regarding drinking water facilities while these households were found in better condition in case of sanitation facilities. Households of Ambala district were in better condition in terms of drinking water indicators. In case of sanitation facilities 75 per cent households of Panchkula district were found deprived while house quality of these households were in better condition in comparison to other districts. Usina the aspect based multidimensional poverty index we observed that the districts Mewat, Rohtak, Palwal, Jhajjar and Fatehabad have higher value of MPI and

indicating high level of poverty while districts Rewari (0.090), Kaithal (0.113) Bhiwani (0.121), Mahendragarh (0.129), Kurukshetra (0.144), Faridabad (0.146) and Ambala (0.152) were found in better condition.

5. RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Drinking Water

The water connections and water pipes should be laid to individual household in the villages under schemes invented by government. The timings for the water supply should be fixed so that the villagers don't face any problem as most of them work as labourers and they can't keep waiting for water supply the whole day.

5.2 Sanitation

To overcome the problem of sanitation, community participation is very important. Regarding sanitation problem, government implement should some schemes like construction of individual household latrines, sanitary complex for women, school sanitation. The various technological options and models should be provided to the rural people and they can select the affordable model for construction of individual household latrines. Selection of beneficiaries under these schemes should be fair without any biasness. This can be achieved by having periodic as well as surprise checks at the sites by the authorities, checking of the records

and meeting the beneficiaries to make sure that the deserving cases have been selected. Any deviations found should be rectified and the person responsible should be penalized. The material supplied for the construction of toilets should be sufficient of good quality and should also be complete.

5.3 Housing

To provide shelter to all BPL families, it should be ensured the proper quality of building material is supplied and in time. The programmes for rural housing needs increased fund allocation. There should be proper monitoring system for checking the quality of building material and a proper and effective source of building material should be fixed. Villagers should know this source so that a proper transparency is maintained.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Sen A. Commodities and capabilities Oxford: Elsevier Science Publishers; 1985.
- Haagenars AJM. The perception of poverty. North Holland, Amsterdam; 1986.
- Dagum C. Poverty as perceived by the Leyden evaluation project: A survey of Hagenaars' contribution on the perception of poverty. Eco Notes. 1989;1:99-110.
- 4. Sen A. Inequality Re-examined. Russell Sage Foundation: New York; 1992.
- 5. Batana YM. Multidimensional measurement of poverty among women in Sub-Saharan Africa. Soc Indic Res. 2013;112:337-362.
- Battiston D, Cruces G, Lopez-Calva LF, Lugo MA, Santos ME. Income and beyond: Multidimensional poverty in six Latin American countries. Soc Indic Res. 2013;112:291-314.
- Mohanty SK. Multidimensional poverty and child survival in India. PLoS ONE. 2011;6(10):doi.e26857.

- Mohanty SK. Multiple deprivation and maternal care in India. Intern Perspec on Sexual and Reprod Health. 2012;38(1):6-14.
- 9. Alkire S, Foster J. Counting and multidimensional poverty measures. J of Pub Eco. 2011;95:476-87.
- 10. Alkire S, Seth S. Selecting a targeting method to identify BPL households in India. Soc Indic Res. 2013;112:417-446.
- 11. Alkire S. Measuring acute poverty in the developing world: Robustness and scope of the multidimensional poverty index. World dev. 2014;59:251-274.
- 12. Alkire S, Seth S. Multidimensional poverty reduction in India between 1999 and 2006: Where and How? World dev. 2015;72:93-108.
- Yang J, Mukhopadhaya P. Disparities in the level of poverty in China: Evidence from China Family Panel Studies 2010. Soc Indic Res; 2016. DOI: 10.1007/s11205-016-1228-2
- 14. Srivastava AK. Some aspects of estimating poverty at small area. J of Ind Soc of Agril stat. 2009;63(1):1-23.
- Alkire S, Foster J. Counting and multidimensional poverty measures. Oxford poverty and human development initiative (OPHI). Oxford deptt of inter dev, university of oxford; 2007.
- Annand S, Sen A. Concepts of human development and poverty: A multidimensional perspective. New York: UNDP; 1997.
- Boourguignon F, Chakravarty SR. The measurement of multidimensional poverty. J of Eco Inequal. 2003;1(1):25– 49.
- Maasoumi E, Xu T. Weights and substitution degree in multidimensional well-being in China. J of Econ Stud. 2015;42(1):4–19.
- Guedes GR, Brondízio ES, Barbieri AF, Anne R, Penna-Firme R, D'Antona AO. Poverty and inequality in the rural Brazilian Amazon: A multidimensional approach. Hum Ecol. 2012;40(1):41–57.

© 2017 Tanwar and Hooda; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

> Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/19974