

Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology

Volume 42, Issue 8, Page 19-33, 2023; Article no.CJAST.98995 ISSN: 2457-1024 (Past name: British Journal of Applied Science & Technology, Past ISSN: 2231-0843, NLM ID: 101664541)

The Exploitation of Genetic Variability and Trait Association Analysis for Diverse Quantitative Traits in Bread Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* **L.)**

Sanjeev Sharma ^a , M. K. Tripathi a*, Sushma Tiwari ^a , R. S. Solanki ^a , Shailja Chauhan ^a , Niraj Tripathi ^b , Namrata Dwivedi^a and V. S. Kandalkar^a

^aDepartment of Genetics & Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture, Rajmata Vijayraje Scindia Agricultural University, Gwalior 474002, M.P., India. ^bDirectorate of Research Services, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur 482004, M.P., India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/CJAST/2023/v42i84087

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/98995

> *Received: 16/02/2023 Accepted: 18/04/2023 Published: 19/04/2023*

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

The present investigation was conducted using 102 wheat genotypes at Research Farm, College of Agriculture, Gwalior during *Rabi* 2018-2019 in randomized block design with two replications. A set of 102 wheat genotype were used to estimate genetic variability, correlation coefficients and path coefficients for fourteen yield and its accrediting attributes. A wide range of variation was observed for important yield components. GCV and PCV was recorded highest for biological yield/plant. High

**Corresponding author: E-mail: drmanojtripathi64@gmail.com;*

Curr. J. Appl. Sci. Technol., vol. 42, no. 8, pp. 19-33, 2023

heritability accompanied with higher genetic advance for numbers of productive tillers/plants, spike length, weight of spike, numbers of grains/spike, weight of grains/spike, biological yield/plant, grain yield/plant, harvest index and test weight. Genotypic correlation coefficients were found to be higher in magnitude than that of phenotypic correlation coefficients for most of the traits under investigation, which clearly indicated the presence of inherent association among various characters. The genotypic correlation analysis in the present study observed significant positive association for grain yield with five yield contributing characters *viz*., numbers of productive tillers/plant, biological yield/plant, weight of grains/spike, weight of spike and numbers of grain/spike. Thus, selection for these characters would be directly follow the immediate improvement of the grain yield for wheat crop. Analysis of phenotypic path coefficient for various traits on grain yield disclosed highest positive direct effect on grain yield for numbers of productive tillers/plant tracked by biological yield/plant, weight of grains/spike, test weight, harvest index, numbers of grains/spike and numbers of spikelets/spike. Whilst, highest positive indirect effect on grain yield was documented for biological yield per plant *via* numbers of productive tillers/plants. Henceforward, these traits must be given weightage in selection for the improvement of wheat in future breeding programmes.

Keywords: Wheat; GCV (Genotypic coefficient of variance); PCV (Phenotypic coefficient of variance); heritability; correlation; path coefficient analysis.

1. INTRODUCTION

"Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L., 2n=6x=42) is a monocot plant, belongs to the tribe *Triticeae*, under the grass family *Poaceae*. Wheat, a cereal grass of the Gramineae (Poaceae) family and of the genus (*Triticum aestivum.* Emm. L) with 17 species, out of which only three species *viz*. *Triticum aestivum* (bread wheat), *Triticum durum* (macroni/pasta wheat) and *Triticum dicalcium* (emmer wheat) are mainly cultivated throughout the world" [1]. "Wheat is a monoecious plant with perfect flowers, reproducing sexually as an autogamous crop although limited (3%) cross pollination is possible. Wheat grain has a high nutritional value with 70-75% starch, 14% water, 8-20% proteins, 2-3% non-starch polysaccharides, 2% lipids, 1.6% minerals, antioxidants *etc.* and is main staple food crop for a huge world population" [2-3]. It has a higher protein level than either maize or rice. It is the dominant source of cereal and vegetable protein in human meals worldwide. After considering maize's greater use in animal feeds, it currently surpasses rice and maize as the principal crop used to provide food for humans in terms of total production tonnes [4].

"Globally, wheat (*Triticum spp*.) is grown in about 220.83 million hectares holding the position of highest acreage among all crops with annual production hovering around 769.31 million tones" [5]. India is the world's second-largest producer of wheat, trailing China. While the state of M.P.'s estimated annual wheat is cultivated in 29.55 million hectares 29.55 million hectares with

101.20 million tonnes production with a record average national productivity of 3424 kgha⁻¹ for the year 2019–20 [6]. The estimated annual wheat production in India is estimated at 108.75 million tonnes with a national productivity of 3424 kgha $^{-1}$ [7].

"Grain yield is a complex trait and highly influenced by many genetic factors and environmental fluctuations. In plant breeding programme, direct selection for yield as such could be misleading [8-10]. A successful selection depends upon the information on the genetic variability and association of morphoagronomic traits with grain yield" [11-16]. Analyzing the genotypic, phenotypic, and yield components of several crop genotypes, a breeder may be able to ascertain the amount to which the environment affects yield [17-23]. Breeders can pick desired features and obtain the greatest genetic increase with the least amount of time and resources by using an accurate allocation of resources based on heritability estimates [24]. At present different molecular markers most widely used to detect variability present among genotypes in most of the crops including wheat [25-33].

"Correlation studies along with path analysis provide a better understanding of the association of different characters with grain yield. The correlation coefficient measures the mutual relationship between various plant characters and determines the component character on which selection can be based for improvement of yield" [34-35]. "Path coefficient analysis separates the direct effects from the indirect effects through other related characters by partitioning the correlation coefficient" [36]. The information obtained by path coefficient analysis
helps in indirect selection for genetic helps in indirect selection for genetic improvement of yield because direct selection is not effective for low heritable trait like yield. Thus, the estimation of heritability and genetic advance is essential for a breeder which helps in understanding the magnitude, nature and interaction of genotype (s) and environmental variation of the traits. Hence, in this investigation, exotic as well as local genotypes were used and an attempt was made to generate information on inheritance, relationships of yield and its components and their implication in selection of better genotypes of wheat for the development or improvement of cultivars and germplasm as well.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experimental material constituted 102 wheat genotypes (single plant selections and advanced breeding lines) acquired from AICRP on Wheat, Department of Genetics & Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture, Rajmata Agricultural University, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh, India (Table 1). These genotypes were sown in Randomized Complete Block Design with two replications. The sowing was done by dibbling seeds in rows with spacing of 20 cm apart and 4- 6 cm within a row on November $21st$ in timely sown environment in the year 2018-19. The recommended packages of practices were adopted for optimum crop growth. Five competitive plants per genotype in each replication were selected randomly and observations were recorded on fourteen different characters as per the DUS guideline and their average values were employed for statistical analysis.

2.1 Statistical Analysis

The mean values of each genotype were employed for statistical analysis. The phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) and genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) was estimated as per the formula suggested by Burton [37]. Heritability percent in broad sense was estimated by formula given by Singh and Chaudhary [38]. Genetic advance (GA) and genetic advance as per cent of mean (GAPM) were calculated by Johnson et al. [39]. "Phenotypic and genotypic correlation coefficient between characters were computed utilized respective components of variance and co-variance, by following formula suggested" by Miller et al. [40]. "The proportion of direct and indirect contribution of various characteristics to the total correlation coefficients with grain yield per plant was estimated through path coefficient analysis as suggested" by Wright [41,42] and elaborated by Dewey and Lu [43].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Analysis of Variance

Analysis of variance revealed that all the 102 genotypes differed significantly for all the 14 character *viz*. days to heading, days to maturity, grain filling period, plant height, numbers of productive tillers/plants, spike length, weight of spike, numbers of spikelets/spike, numbers of grains/spike, weight of grains/spike, biological yield/plant, harvest index, test weight and grain yield/plant (Table 2).This outcome of the present investigation exhibited similarity with earlier research work conducted by Bozhidar et al. [44], Tabassum et al. [45] and Khairnar et al. [46].

3.2 Estimation of Genetic Parameters

The genetic characteristics for the characters under study, including mean, range, PCV and GCV, heritability estimates, and genetic advance as a percentage of mean are shown in Table 3 and Fig. 1. In the present investigation, large differences in mean values for all the traits were observed. The trait day to heading ranged from 78.00 to 90.50, days to maturity between 130.50 to 140.50, grain filling periods from 44.50 to 58.50, plant height 80.97 to 139.75 cm, numbers of tillers/ plant ranged between 3.73 to 9.45, spike length from 7.39 to 13.97 cm, weight of spike from 1.92 to 5.93 g, numbers of spikelets/spike from 17.40 to 27.20, numbers of grains/spike from 31.57 to 88.10, weight of grains/spike ranged from 1.33 to 4.73 g, biological yield/plant from 13.20 to 63.00 g, grain yield/plant from 3.73 to 17.86 g, harvest index from 23.68 to 53.20 and test weight ranged between 32.20 to and 53.30 g. The experimental material had a wide range of variability and favorable mean performance for most of the traits studied.

3.3 Estimates of Genotypic and Phenotypic Variance

"Variability plays an important role in crop breeding. The development of an effective plant breeding programme depends on genetic variability. The total variation present in a population arises due to genotypic and

Sharma et al.; Curr. J. Appl. Sci. Technol., vol. 42, no. 8, pp. 19-33, 2023; Article no.CJAST.98995

Fig. 1. Components of variance and genetic parameters present among various traits of wheat genotypes

environmental effect presence of genetic variability in the breeding materials is essential for a successful plant breeding programme" [9- 13]. "The efficiency of selection largely depends on the magnitude of genetic variability

present in plant population. Variability was measured by estimation of mean value, coefficient of variation (genotypic and phenotypic), heritability, genetic advance, and genetic gain" [14-16].

Estimation of PCV (σ^2 p) and GCV (σ^2 g) were obtained for different characters (Table 3, Fig. 1). A wide range of variance was documented for all the characters. The estimates of PCV were higher than their respective GCV for all the traits. A proximity between GCV and PCV values for almost all the characters revealed less influence of the environment on expression of the characters [47]. Phenotypic variance was higher than genotypic variance for most of the yield and yield contributing characters indicating that these characters are influenced to a greater extent by the environment and hence large magnitude of variations for these characters are because of environment only. PCV and GCV was recorded highest for biological yield/plant (g) followed by grain yield per plant (g). High value indicates that heritability may be due to higher contribution of genotypic components. Tesfaye et al. [48], Chethana et al. [49], Khairnar et al. [46] and Pachauri et al. [50] also reported similar results for high heritability estimates. Whilst moderate PCV and GCV were recorded for the traits plant height (cm), numbers of productive tillers/plant, spike length (cm), weight of grains/spike (g), numbers of spikelets/spike, numbers of grains/spike, weight of grains/spike (g), harvest index and test weight (g). Present findings are in confirmation with results of Meles et al. [51], Balkan [52], Dabi et al. [53] and Nimbal and Naik et al. [54]. Whereas low PCV and GCV were found for grain filling period and test weight. Dabi et al. [53], Taneva et al. [55] and Regmi et al. [56] have also been reported earlier similar finding. This indicates the environmental factors had more influence on the expression of these characters than the genetic factors, suggesting the limited scope for improvement of these traits through direct selection for better-performing genotypes.

3.4 Heritability (in Broad Sense) and Genetic Advance as Percent of Mean

The estimates of heritability measure the degree of inheritance of any particular trait. Although, high heritability suggests high component of heritable portion of variation that can be exploited by breeders in the selection of superior
genotypes [57]. Heritability predicts the genotypes [57]. Heritability predicts the transmission of characters from parents to offspring while genetic advance clarifies the involvement of the type of gene action in the expression of any trait. High heritability coupled with higher genetic advance is an indication of the involvement of additive gene action in the transmission as well in the expression of any trait and the selection may be effective in such a case.

The estimates of broad sense heritability varied from 39.17 to 99.13 % (Table 3). In the present study high heritability accompanied with higher genetic advance was documented for numbers of productive tillers/plants, spike length, weight of spike, numbers of grains/spikes, weight of grains/spike, biological yield/plant, grain yield/plant, harvest index and test weight. However, high heritability coupled with moderate genetic advance was recorded for grain filling period, plant height and numbers of spikelets/spike indicating the lesser influenced by environment and are governed by both additive as well non-additive gene actions. While days to heading and days to maturity showed low genetic advance. These findings are in confirmation with the outcome of the research work conducted by Lone et al. [58], Adhikari et al. [59], Gite et al. [60], Hossain et al. [61], Ibrahim et al. [62] and Jaiswal et al. [63].

3.5 Estimates of Phenotypic and Genotypic Correlation Coefficients

Estimates of genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficients were calculated among all characters under investigation (Table 4). Phenotypic correlation is the observable correlation between two variables, including both genotypic and environmental effects and genotypic correlation is the inherent heritable association between two variables, hence genotypic correlation is of greater importance to the plant breeder as compared to phenotypic correlation for the genetic improvement of any one character by selecting the other character which is genetically correlated with the selected trait. In the present investigation, genotypic correlation coefficients were found to be higher in magnitude than that of phenotypic correlation coefficients for most of the traits under study, which clearly indicated the presence of inherent association among various characters. Many earlier research findings also reported lesser magnitude of phenotypic correlation coefficients than the genotypic correlation coefficients [35,53] that revealed the presence of inherent genetic relationships among various characters and the phenotypic expression of these traits were less influenced by the environment.

In the present study the analysis of genotypic and phenotypic correlation disclosed a significant positive correlation of grain yield/plant with numbers of productive tillers/plant, weight of spike, numbers of grains/spike, weight of grains/spike and biological yield/plant. Whilst days to heading displayed significant positive correlation with days to maturity and numbers of spikelets/spike. Whereas, days to maturity had a significant positive correlation with harvest index. Plant height exhibited significant positive correlation with harvest index and test weight. Numbers of tillers/plant disclosed significant positive correlation with biological yield/plant. Spike length presented significant positive correlation with weight of spike, numbers of spikelets/spike, numbers of grains/spike, weight of grains/spike and biological yield/plant. However, weight of spike exhibited significant positive correlation with numbers of spikelets/spike, numbers of grains/spike, weight of grains/spike, biological yield/plant, and spike length. Spike length is positively correlated with weight of spike per plant, numbers of spikelets per spike, numbers of grains per spike, weight of grains per spike and biological yield per plant. Weight of spike per plant significantly correlated with numbers of spikelets per spike, numbers of grains per spike and biological yield. While, numbers of spikelets/spike had positive correlation with numbers of grains/spike and weight of grains/spike. Weight of grains per spike significantly and positively correlated with spike length, weight of spike and numbers of spikelets per spike. Whereas weight of grains/spike positively correlated with spike length, weight of spike, numbers of spikelets/spike and numbers of grains/spike. While, biological yield/plant showed significant positive correlation with numbers of productive tillers/plant, spike length, weight of spike and weight of grains/spike. Harvest indexexposed significant positive correlation with days to maturity and plant height. Test weight disclosed significant positive correlation with plant height. The interrelationship among yield components would help in increasing the yield levels and therefore, more emphasis should be given to these components while selecting better types in wheat. Likewise, the association of grain yield with either one or more than one trait has also been reported by Desheva [64], Phougat et al. [65] Arya et al. [66], Abdul et al. [67], Madić et al. [68], Negash et al. [69], Ashish et al. [70] and Aklilu et al. [71].

3.6 Path Analysis

This technique was first used for plant selection. The path coefficient analysis is the simply a standardized partial regression coefficient which split the correlation coefficient into the measure of direct and indirect effects. According to Singh et al. [35] and Gelalcha and Hanchinal [72], path analysis not measure the direct effect of one variable, but also partition both direct and indirect effects and also measure the residual effect of all those passively independent variables which are not taken into consideration during the study, this assist plant breeder to identify traits that are useful as selection criteria to improve yield.

Analysis of genotypic path coefficients is present in Table 5. It is disclosed that the highest positive direct effect on grain yield was recorded for numbers of productive tillers/plant tracked by biological yield/plant, test weight, weight of grains/spike, harvest index, numbers of grains/spike and numbers of spikelets/spike, whereas it was recorded lowest for days to heading. Similar findings were also addressed by Wahidy et al. [73], Desheva [64], Phougat et al. [65], Arya et al. [66] and Ashish et al. [70] in bread wheat.

Positive indirect effect of various characters was recorded *via* other traits on grain yield which are prominent for days to heading showed a positive indirect effect on grain yield *via* numbers of spikelets/spike, harvest index, biological yield/plant, and numbers of grains per spike. Days to maturity exhibited positive indirect effect on grain yield *via* days to heading and harvest index. Whilst grain filling period exhibited positive indirect effect on grain yield *via* test weight, numbers of productive tillers/plant, harvest index. Whereas plant height revealed a positive indirect effect on grain yield *via* test weight, harvest index. Numbers of productive tillers/plant exhibited a positive direct effect on grain yield and a positive indirect effect on grain yield *via* biological yield/plant, numbers of grains/spike and days to maturity. Spike length had a positive indirect effect on grain yield *via* weight of grains/spike, biological yield/plant, numbers of grains/spike, numbers of spikelets/spike and harvest index. Weight of spike showed positive indirect effect on grain yield *via* weight of grains/spike, numbers of grains/spike, biological yield/plant, numbers of spikelets/spike and test weight. Numbers of spikelets/spike exposed positive direct effect on grain yield and a positive indirect effect on grain yield via numbers of grains/spike, weight of grains/spike and biological yield/plant. Numbers of grains/spike had a positive indirect effect on grain yield *via* weight of grains/spike, biological yield/plant,

Table 2. Analysis of variance for yield and its attributing traits in wheat genotypes

**** Significant at 5% and 1% level respectively*

Table 3. Estimation of components of variance and genetic parameters for 14 quantitative characters in wheat genotypes

Characters	DTH	DTM	GFP	PН	ΝT	SL	WS	SPKL	GPS	WGS	BY	HI	TW	GY
DTH		$0.5946**$	$-0.2921**$	0.0399	-0.1532	-0.0821	-0.0102	0.3736**	0.0798	0.0284	0.0599	0.1442	$-0.3836**$	-0.0199
DTM	$0.3711**$		0.0149	-0.1064	$-0.2972**$	-0.1109	-0.113	0.0423	-0.1221	-0.1628	-0.0199	$0.2583*$	-0.0607	-0.1548
GFP	-0.1307	0.0138		0.0678	0.0455	-0.0709	-0.0124	-0.2531	-0.0682	-0.0242	-0.0869	0.0863	0.1946	-0.0045
PH	-0.0864	-0.0648	0.0401		-0.0768	0.0782	-0.0797	-0.1423	$-0.2285*$	-0.0571	-0.0533	0.2267	$0.2432*$	-0.0215
NT	-0.1151	$-0.2786**$	0.0276	-0.051		0.0103	-0.0034	-0.1147	0.1318	0.0209	$0.4240**$	-0.1428	-0.074	$0.5961**$
SL	-0.0482	-0.1033	-0.0888	0.0488	0.005		$0.4532**$	$0.5992**$	$0.4699**$	$0.4350**$	$0.2090*$	0.0879	-0.0192	0.1728
WS	-0.0028	-0.1075	-0.0097	-0.0644	-0.0178	$0.4072**$		$0.3761**$	$0.5876**$	0.9699**	$0.2245*$	-0.0537	0.0693	$0.2996**$
SPKL	$0.2261*$	0.0402	$-0.2188*$	-0.0762	-0.1059	$0.5495**$	$0.3585**$		$0.5900**$	$0.3497**$	0.0986	0.0209	$-0.3552**$	0.0895
GPS	0.0356	-0.1166	-0.0545	-0.1009	0.1131	$0.4348**$	0.5541	0.5826**		$0.5539*$	0.1851	-0.0684	$-0.2720*$	$0.2852**$
WGS	-0.0039	-0.1566	-0.0182	-0.0083	0.0192	$0.3917**$	$0.9293**$	$0.3449**$	$0.5325**$		$0.2450*$	-0.0579	0.0227	$0.3134**$
BY	0.0146	-0.0184	-0.0637	-0.0341	$0.4206**$	0.1894	$0.2054*$	0.0963	0.1679	$0.2294*$		-0.0318	-0.0333	$0.5789**$
HI	0.0943	$0.2559**$	0.0823	0.158	-0.1261	0.0825	-0.0523	0.0222	-0.0638	-0.0539	-0.0334		0.1678	0.0907
TW	$-0.2621**$	-0.0571	0.1827	0.1722	-0.0705	-0.0168	0.0717	$-0.3400*$	$-0.2629**$	0.0247	-0.0289	0.1641		0.127
GY	-0.089	-0.1477	-0.0036	-0.0121	0.5926**	0.1595	0.2611	0.0776	$0.2549**$	$0.2913**$	$0.5638**$	0.0767	0.121	

Table 4. Genotypic correlation (Above diagonal) and phenotypic (below diagonal) correlation coefficients of wheat genotypes

**, ** significant at 5% and 1% level respectively*

Where is; DTH – Days to heading, DTM – Days to maturity, GFP – Grain filling period, PH – Plant height, NT – Numbers of tillers per plant, SL– Spike length, WS – Weight of spike per plant, SPKL – Numbers of spikelets per spike, **GPS** – Numbers of grains per spike, **WGS** – Weight of grains per spike, **BY** – Biological yield per plant, **GY** – Grain yield per plant, **HI** – Harvest index, **TW** – Test *weight per 1000 seeds*

Characters		DTH	DTM	GFP	PH	NT	SL	WS	SPKL	GPS	WGS	BY	HI	TW	GY
DTH	G	0.0701	-0.0264	0.0018	-0.0004	-0.0743	0.0074	0.0005	0.0356	0.0102	0.0058	0.0196	0.0228	-0.0926	-0.0199
		-0.0331	0.0024	0.0033	0.0013	-0.0551	0.0031	0.0001	0.0188	0.0039	-0.0008	0.0047	0.0132	-0.051	-0.089
DTM	G	0.0417	-0.0444	-0.0001	0.0012	-0.1441	0.01	0.0059	0.004	-0.0156	-0.033	-0.0065	0.0408	-0.0147	-0.1548
	D	-0.0123	0.0066	-0.0004	0.001	-0.1333	0.0066	0.0054	0.0033	-0.0126	-0.0308	-0.0059	0.0358	-0.0111	-0.1477
GFP	G	-0.0205	-0.0007	-0.0061	-0.0008	0.0221	0.0064	0.0006	-0.0241	-0.0087	-0.0049	-0.0285	0.0136	0.047	-0.0045
	P	0.0043	0.0001	-0.0256	-0.0006	0.0132	0.0057	0.0005	-0.0182	-0.0059	-0.0036	-0.0205	0.0115	0.0355	-0.0036
PH	G	0.0028	0.0047	-0.0004	-0.0111	-0.0373	-0.0071	0.0042	-0.0136	-0.0292	-0.0116	-0.0175	0.0358	0.0587	-0.0215
	P	0.0029	-0.0004	-0.001	-0.015	-0.0244	-0.0031	0.0032	-0.0063	-0.0109	-0.0016	-0.011	0.0221	0.0335	-0.0121
NT	G	-0.0107	0.0132	-0.0003	0.0009	0.4850	-0.0009	0.0002	-0.0109	0.0169	0.0042	0.1391	-0.0226	-0.0179	0.5961
	P	0.0038	-0.0018	-0.0007	0.0008	0.4785	-0.0003	0.0009	-0.0088	0.0123	0.0038	0.1356	-0.0177	-0.0137	0.5926
SL	G	-0.0058	0.0049	0.0004	-0.0009	0.005	-0.0904	-0.0237	0.0572	0.0601	0.0881	0.0686	0.0139	-0.0046	0.1728
		0.0016	-0.0007	0.0023	-0.0007	0.0024	-0.0640	-0.0205	0.0456	0.0471	0.0771	0.0611	0.0116	-0.0033	0.1595
WS	G	-0.0007	0.005	0.0001	0.0009	-0.0017	-0.041	-0.0523	0.0359	0.0751	0.1964	0.0737	-0.0085	0.0167	0.2996
	P	0.0001	-0.0007	0.0002	0.001	-0.0085	-0.0261	-0.0504	0.0297	0.06	0.1829	0.0662	-0.0073	0.0139	0.2611
SPKL	G	0.0262	-0.0019	0.0015	0.0016	-0.0556	-0.0541	-0.0197	0.0954	0.0754	0.0708	0.0324	0.0033	-0.0858	0.0895
	Ρ	-0.0075	0.0003	0.0056	0.0011	-0.0507	-0.0352	-0.0181	0.0830	0.0631	0.0679	0.0311	0.0031	-0.0661	0.0776
GPS	G	0.0056	0.0054	0.0004	0.0025	0.0639	-0.0425	-0.0308	0.0563	0.1279	0.1122	0.0607	-0.0108	-0.0657	0.2852
	D	-0.0012	-0.0008	0.0014	0.0015	0.0541	-0.0278	-0.0279	0.0483	0.1083	0.1048	0.0541	-0.0089	-0.0511	0.2549
WGS	G	0.002	0.0072	0.0001	0.0006	0.0101	-0.0393	-0.0508	0.0334	0.0708	0.2025	0.0804	-0.0091	0.0055	0.3134
	P	0.0001	-0.001	0.0005	0.0001	0.0092	-0.0251	-0.0468	0.0286	0.0577	0.1968	0.074	-0.0076	0.0048	0.2913
BY	G	0.0042	0.0009	0.0005	0.0006	0.2056	-0.0189	-0.0118	0.0094	0.0237	0.0496	0.3281	-0.005	-0.0081	0.5789
	P	-0.0005	-0.0001	0.0016	0.0005	0.2013	-0.0121	-0.0104	0.008	0.0182	0.0452	0.3224	-0.0047	-0.0056	0.5638
HI	G	0.0101	-0.0115	-0.0005	-0.0025	-0.0693	-0.0079	0.0028	0.002	-0.0087	-0.0117	-0.0104	0.1579	0.0405	0.0907
	P	-0.0031	0.0017	-0.0021	-0.0024	-0.0604	-0.0053	0.0026	0.0018	-0.0069	-0.0106	-0.0108	0.1401	0.0319	0.0767
TW	G	-0.0269	0.0027	-0.0012	-0.0027	-0.0359	0.0017	-0.0036	-0.0339	-0.0348	0.0046	-0.0109	0.0265	0.2414	0.127
	Þ	0.0087	-0.0004	-0.0047	-0.0026	-0.0338	0.0011	-0.0036	-0.0282	-0.0285	0.0049	-0.0093	0.023	0.1944	0.121

Table 5. Genotypic path and phenotypic path coefficient matrix of direct and indirect effects of different traits on grain yield in wheat genotypes

Genotypic Residual effect: 0.626992, Phenotypic Residual effect:0. 655828

Where; G- Genotypic, P- Phenotypic

DTH – Days to heading, DTM – Days to maturity, GFP – Grain filling period, PH – Plant height, NT – Numbers of tillers per plant, SL– Spike length, WS – Weight of spike per plant, SPKL – Numbers of spikelets per spike, GPS – Numbers of grains per spike, WGS – Weight of grains per spike, BY – Biological yield per plant, GY – Grain yield per plant, HI – Harvest index, TW – Test weight per *1000- seeds.*

** Bold and underlined figures indicate the direct effect of each attributing trait on grain yield/plant*

numbers of productive tillers/plant and numbers of spikelets/spike. Weight of grains/spike had a positive indirect effect on grain yield *via* biological yield/plant, numbers of grains/spike, numbers of spikelets/spike and numbers of productive tillers/plant. Biological yield exhibited a positive indirect effect on grain yield *via* numbers of productive tillers/plant, weight of grains/spike and numbers of grains/spike. Test weight exhibited a positive indirect effect on grain yield via harvest index. Harvest index exhibited a positive indirect effect on grain yield *via* test weight and days to maturity. The residual path effect value found to be 0.6269 indicated that contribution of characters included in this study explained almost all the variation for grain yield as shown in Table 5. These findings are in confirmation with the outcome of the research work done by Mecha et al. [74], Adhikari et al. [59] and Saleh et al. [75].

Analysis of phenotypic path coefficient for various traits under study on grain yield disclosed as the highest positive direct effect on grain yield was recorded for numbers of productive tillers/plant tracked by biological yield/plant, weight of grains/spike, test weight, harvest index, numbers of grains/spike, numbers of spikelets/spike, whereas it was recorded lowest for days to maturity.

Highest positive indirect effect on grain yield was recorded for biological yield per plant *via* numbers of productive tillers/plant tracked by weight of spike *via* weight of grains/spike, numbers of productive tillers/plant *via* biological yield/plant and numbers of grains/spike *via* weight of grains/spike. Whereas days to heading displayed a positive indirect effect on grain yield *via* numbers of spikelets and harvest index. Days to maturity had a positive indirect effect on grain yield *via* harvest index. Grain filling period showed a positive indirect effect on grain yield *via* test weight, numbers of productive tillers/plant and harvest index. Whilst plant height disclosed positive indirect effect on grain yield *via* test weight and harvest index. Numbers of productive tiller/plant displayed positive indirect effect on grain yield *via* biological yield/plant and numbers of grains/spike. Spike length had s positive indirect effect on grain yield *via* weight of grains/spike, biological yield/plant, numbers of grains/spike and numbers of spikelets/spike. Weight of spike exhibited a positive indirect effect on grain yield *via* weight of grains/spike, biological yield/plant, grains/spike, numbers of spikelets/spike and test weight. Numbers of

spikelets/spike had a positive indirect effect on grain yield *via* weight of grains/spike, numbers of grains/spike and biological yield/plant. Numbers of grains/spike displayed positive indirect effect on grain yield *via* weight of grains/spike, numbers of productive tillers/plant, biological/plant and numbers of spikelets/spike. While weight of grains/spike had a positive indirect effects on grain yield *via* biological yield/plant, numbers of grains/spike and numbers of spikelets/spike. Biological yield had a positive indirect effect on grain yield *via* numbers of productive tillers/plant, weight of grains/spike and numbers of grains/spike. Harvest index exhibited a positive direct effect on grain yield and a positive indirect effect on grain yield *via* test weight. Test weight exhibited a positive indirect effect on grain yield *via* harvest index. The residual path effect value found to be 0.6558. The residual path effect value found to be 0.6558 indicated that contribution of characters included in this study explained almost all the variation for grain yield as shown in Table 5. These results are in confirmation with the outcome of the research work done by Sharma et al. [76] and Pooja et al. [77].

4. CONCLUSION

Significant variability was observed among 102 wheat genotypes for all the traits studied indicating the need for effective selection. High heritability coupled with higher genetic advance was recorded for numbers of tillers/plant, spike length, weight of spike, numbers of grains/spike, weight of grains/spike, biological yield/plant, grain yield/plant, harvest index and test weight (1000 - seeds) indicating that these characters are governed by additive gene action and hence direct selection for these traits will give more effective results for increasing the grain yield through genetic improvement in wheat. Significant positive correlation was reported for grain yield/plant with five characters *viz*. numbers of tillers/plant, biological yield/plant, weight of grains/spike, weight of spike and numbers of grains/spike. Hence selection for these characters may be followed for the improvement of grain yield in wheat. Outcome of the path analysis disclosed higher positive and direct effect of numbers of tillers/plant, biological yield/plant, test weight, weight of grains/spike, harvest index, numbers of grains/spike and numbers of spikelets/spike over grain yield whereas other characters exhibited prominent positive indirect effect over grain yield through other characters. Hence, these traits must be given weight age in selection for the improvement of wheat in future breeding programmes.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Feldman M, Kislev ME. Domestication of emmer wheat and evolution of freethreshing tetraploid wheat. Israel J Plant Sci. 2007;55:207 – 221.
- 2. Tiwari S, Tomar RS, Cand S, Tripathi MK, Singh AK, Tomar SMS. Molecular breeding approaches for improving rust resistance in wheat:(*Triticum aestivum* L.):marker assisted gene pyramiding. Indian Res J Genet & Biotech. 2017;9(3):August:382 – 396.
- 3. Yadav PK, Tiwari S, Kushwah A, Tripathi MK, Gupta N, Tomar RS, Kandalkar VS. Morpho-physiological characterization of bread wheat genotypes and their molecular validation for rust resistance genes *Sr2, Sr31* and *Lr24*. Proc. Indian Natl. Sci.Acad. 2021;87:534-545. DOI[:https://doi.org/10.1007/s43538-021-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s43538-021-00049-y) [00049-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s43538-021-00049-y)
- 4. Mollasadeghi V, Shahryari R. Important morphological markers for improvement of yield in bread wheat. Advances Environ Biol. 2012;5(3):538-542.
- 5. USDA, 2020.
- 6. Department of Agriculture and Farmer Welfare (2019-20).
- 7. AIRCP on wheat and barley, progress report, Social Science. 2021
- 8. Asati R, Tripathi MK,Tiwari S, Yadav RK, Tripathi N. Molecular breeding and droughtttolerance in chickpea. Life. 2022;12:1846.
	- DOI:https://doi.org/10.3390/ life12111846
- 9. Barfa D, Tripathi MK, Kandalkar VS, Gupta JC, Kumar G. Heterosis and combining ability analysis for seed yield in Indian mustard [*Brassica Juncea* (L) Czern& Coss]. Suppl. 2017;75-83.
- 10. Makwana K, Tiwari, S, Tripathi MK, Sharma AK, Pandya RK, Singh AK. Morphological characterization and DNA finger printing of pearl millet (*Pennisetum Glaucum* (L.) germplasms. [Range](https://www.researchgate.net/journal/Range-Management-and-Agroforestry-0971-2070) [Manag Agrofores.](https://www.researchgate.net/journal/Range-Management-and-Agroforestry-0971-2070) 2021;42(2):205- 211.
- 11. Rajpoot NS, Tripathi MK, Tiwari S, Tomar RS, Kandalkar VS. Characterization of Indian mustard germplasm on the basis of morphological traits and SSR markers. Curr J Appl Sci Technol. 2020; 39:300-311.
- 12. Shyam C, Tripathi MK, Tiwari S, Tripathi N. Genetic components, and diversity analysis inIndian mustard [*Brassica juncea* (Linn.) Czern&Coss] based on different morpho-physiological traits. Curr J Appl Sci Technol. 2021;40(20):34-57.
- 13. Shyam C, Tripathi MK, Tiwari S, Tripathi N, Sikarwar RS. Morpho-physiological variations and genetic components analysis in *Brassica juncea* (Linn.) Czern& Coss. In book:Research Developments in Science and Technol. 2022;1:98-126.
- 14. Rajpoot P, Tripathi M. K., Solanki RS, Tiwari S, Tripathi N, Chauhan S, Pandya RK, Khandelwal V. Genetic variability and multivariate analysis in pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R. Br.) germplasm lines. The Pharma Innov J. 2023;12(4):216-226.
- 15. Shrivastava A, Tripathi MK, Solanki RS, Tiwari S, Tripathi N, Singh J, Yadav R. Genetic Correlation and Path Coefficient Analysis of Yield Attributing Parameters in Indian Mustard. Curr J Appl Sci Technol. 2023;42 (7):42-58.
	- DOI[:10.9734/CJAST/2023/v42i74079](http://dx.doi.org/10.9734/CJAST/2023/v42i74079)
- 16. Ningwal R, Tripathi MK, Tiwari S, Yadav RK, Tripathi N, Solanki RS, Asati R, Yasin M. Assessment of genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis for yield and its attributing traits in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). The Pharma Innov J. 2023;12(3):4851-4859.
- 17. Yadav LN, Tripathi MK, Sikarwar RS, Mishra AK. Heterosis in sesame. Sesame & Safflower Newsl. 2005;20:6-10.
- 18. Ullah MZ, Hasan MJ, Chowdhury AZMKA, Saki AI, Rahman AHMA. Genetic
variability and correlation in exotic and correlation in exotic
(Cucumis sativus L.) cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) varieties. Bangladesh J Plant Breed Genet. 2012;25(1):17–23.
- 19. Tripathi MK, Tomar SS, Tiwari VK, Awasthi D, Gupta JC. Heterosis in Indian mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L) Czern and Coss]. Prog Res. 2015;10 (Special-VI):3376- 3379.
- 20. Mishra N, Tripathi MK, Tiwari S, Tripathi N, Gupta N, Sharma A. Morphological and physiological performance of Indian soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill]

genotypes in respect to drought. Legume Res; 2021a.

DOI[:10.18805/LR-4550](http://dx.doi.org/10.18805/LR-4550)

- 21. Tripathi N, Tripathi MK, Tiwari S and Payasi DK. Molecular breeding to overcomebiotic stresses in soybean: update. Plants (Basel). 2022;11(15):1967.
- 22. Yadav PK, Singh AK., Tripathi MK, Tiwari S, Rathore J. Morpho-physiological characterization of maize (*Zea mays* L.) genotypes against drought. Biol Forum. 2022a;14(2):573-581.
- 23. Yadav PK, Singh AK, Tripathi MK, Tiwari S, Yadav SK, Tripathi N. Morphophysiological and molecular characterization of maize (*Zea Mays* L.) genotypes for drought tolerance. European J Appl Sci. 2022b;10(6):65-87.
- 24. Smalley MD, Daub JL, Hallauersa AR. Estimation of heritability in maize by parent-offspring

regression. Maydica. 2004;49:221–229.

25. Mishra N, Tripathi MK, Tiwari S, Tripathi N, Trivedi HK. Morphological and Molecular Screening of Soybean Genotypes against yellow mosaic virus disease. Leg Res.; 2020.

DOI:10.18805/LR-4240

- 26. Mishra N, Tripathi MK, Tripathi N, Tiwari S, Gupta N, Sharma A. Screening of soybean genotypes against drought on the basis of gene-linked microsatellite markers. In book: Innovations in Science and Technology. 2022a;3:49-61.
- 27. Mishra N, Tripathi MK, Tiwari S Tripathi N, Gupta N, Sharma A, Solanki RS. Characterization of soybean genotypes on the basis of yield attributing traits and SSR molecular markers.In book:Innovations in Science and Technology. 2022b;.3:87-106.
- 28. Verma K, Tripathi MK, Tiwari S, Tripathi N. Analysis of genetic diversity among *Brassica juncea* genotypes using morphophysiological and SSR markers. Int J Curr Microbiol App Sci. 2021;10(01):1108-1117.
- 29. Choudhary ML, Tripathi K, Tiwari S, Pandya RK, Gupta N, Tripathi N, Parihar P. Screening of pearl millet [*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R. Br.] germplasm lines for drought tolerance based on morphophysiological traits and SSR markers. Current J Appl Sci Technol*.* 2021;40:46- 63.
- 30. Mandloi S, Tripathi MK, Tiwari S, Tripathi N. Genetic diversity analysis among late leaf spot and rust resistant and susceptible

germplasm in groundnut (*Arachis hypogea* L.). Isr. J. Plant Sci.; 2022. DOI[:https://doi.org/10.1163/22238980](https://doi.org/10.1163/22238980-bja10058) [bja10058](https://doi.org/10.1163/22238980-bja10058)

- 31. Tiwari S, Tripathi MK, Kumar S. Improvement of soybean through plant tissue culture and genetic transformation: a review. JNKVV Res J. 2012;45(1):1-18
- 32. Shyam C, Tripathi MK, Tiwari S, Tripathi N, Ahuja A. Molecular characterization and identification of *Brassica* genotype(s) for low and high erucic acid content using SSR markers. Global J Biosci Biotechnol. 2020;9(2):56-66.
- 33. Pramanik A, Tiwari S, Tripathi MK, Mandloi S, Tomar RS. Identification of groundnut germplasm lines for foliar disease resistance and high oleic traits using SNP and gene-based markers and their morphological characterization. Leg Res; 2021.

DOI:10.18805/LR-4666

- 34. Iftikhar R, Khaliq I, Ijaz M, Rashid MAR. Association analysis of grain yield and itscomponents in spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). American-Eurasian J Agric Environ Sci. 2012;12:389-392.
- 35. Singh AK, Singh SB, Singh AP, Sharma AK. Genetic variability, character association and path analysis for seed yield and its component characters in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under rainfed environment. Indian J Agric Res. 2012;46:48-53.
- 36. Dixit P, Dubey DK. Path analysis in lentil (*Lens culinaris* Med.). Lens Newslett. 1984;11:15-17.
- 37. Burton GW. Quantitative inheritance in grasses. 6th Int. Grassland Congress. 1952;1:277-283.
- 38. Singh RK, Choudhury BD. Biometrical methods in quantitative genetic analysis (2nd ed.). Kalyani Publishers; 1977.
- 39. Johnson HW, Robinson HF, Comstock RE. Genotypic and phenotypic correlations in soyabeans and their implication in selection. Agron J. 1955;47:477-483.
- 40. Miller PA, Williams JC, Jr Robinson, HF, Comstock RE. Estimates of genotypic and environmental variances and covariances in upland cotton and their implications in selection 1. Agron J. 1958;50(3):126–131.
- 41. Wright S. Correlation and causation. Agric. Res J. 1921;20:557-585.
- 42. Wright S. The analysis of variance and the correlations between relatives with respect

to deviations from an optimum. J Genet. 1935;30:243-256.22.

- 43. Dewey DR, Lu K. A correlation and path coefficient analysis of components of crested wheatgrass seed production. Agron J. 1959;51(9):515–518.
- 44. Bozhidar K, Desheva G. Study on variability, heritability, genetic advance and associations among characters in emmer wheat genotypes (*Triticum dicoccon* Schrank). J Biosci Biotechnol. 2015; 9(3):221-228.
- 45. Tabassum, Kumar A, Kumar A, Pangti L, Joshi A. Evaluation of genetic variability in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes: Bulletin of Environment, Pharmacology Life Sciences*.* 2017;6 (3):309-313.
- 46. Khairnar SS, Bagwan JH, Yashavanthakumar KJ, Baviskar VS, Honrao BK, Surve VD, Khade VM, Chavan AM, Bankar BN. Studies on genetic variability parameters and character association in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under timely and late sown environments of irrigated condition. Electron J Plant Breed. 2018;9(1):190 – 198.
- 47. Kumar S, Dwivedi VK, Tyagi NK. Genetic variability in some metric traits and its contribution to yield in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Progres Agric. 2003;3 (1/2):152-153.
- 48. Tesfaye W, Eticha F, Alamerew S, Assefa E, Dutamo D. Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for yield and yield related traits in Durum wheat (*Triticum durum* L.) accessions. Sky J Agri Res*.* 2016;5(3):042 – 047.
- 49. Chethana CK, Rudranaik VK. Genetic variability for yield parameters and spot blotch resistance in F2 population of Durum wheat (*Triticum turgidum var durum*). Int J Agri Sci. 2017;9(7):3843- 3845.
- 50. Pachauri P, Kandalkar VS, Satankar N. Analysis of genetic variability and path coefficient for yield and yield contributing traits in cultivated and synthetic wheat and their interspecific crosses. J Pharmacog Phytochem. 2018;2:83-87.
- 51. Meles B, Mohammed W, Tsehaye Y. Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis of yield and grain quality traits in bread wheat (*Tritium aestivum* L.) genotypes at Axum, Northern Ethiopia. J Plant Breed Crop Sci. 2017;9(10):175-185.
- 52. Balkan A. genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for yield and quality traits in m2-4 generations of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Genotypes. Turk J Field Crops*.* 2018;23(2):173-179.
- 53. Dabi A, Mekbib F, Desalegn T. Genetic variability studies on bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes. J Plant Breed Crop Sci. 2019;11(2):41-54.
- 54. Nimbal AM, Naik VR. Genetic variability for yield parameters in F2 population of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under rainfed condition. The Pharma Innov J. 2021; 10(12):482-486.
- 55. Taneva K, Bozhanova V, Petrova I. Variability, heritability and genetic advance of some grain quality traits and grain yield in durum wheat genotypes. Bulgarian J Agri Sci. 2019;25(2):288–295.
- 56. Regmi S., Poudel B, Ojha BR, Kharel R, Joshi P, Khanal S, Kandel BP. Estimation of Genetic Parameters of Different Wheat Genotype Traits in Chitwan, Nepal. Int J Agron. 2021;6651325:10.
- 57. Ali A, Khan S, Asad MA. Drought tolerance in wheat:Genetic variation and heritability for growth and ion relations. Asian J. Plant Sci. 2002;1:420-422.
- 58. Lone RA, Dey T, Sharma BC, Rai GK, Wani SH, Lone JA. Genetic Variability and Correlation Studies in Winter Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Germplasm for morphological and Biochemical Characters. International Journal of pure and Applied Biosciences. 2017;5:82-91.
- 59. Adhikari SK, Rana N, Ojha BR, Kharel R, Chauhan S, Thapa DB. Study of Variability and Association of Yield Attributing Traits in Durum Wheat Genotypes. J Agri Ecol Res Int. 2018;14(4):1-12.
- 60. Gite VD, Bankar DN, Yashavanthakumar KJ, Baviskar VS, Honrao BK, Chavan AM, Surve VD, Raskar SS, Khairnar SS, Bagwan JH, Khade VM. Genetic variability parameters and correlation study in elite genotypes of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). J Pharmacog Phytochem. 2018;1:3118-3123.
- 61. Hossain MM, Azad MAK, Alam MS, Eaton TEJ. Estimation of variability, heritability and genetic advance for phenological, physiological and yield contributing attributes in wheat genotypes under heat stress condition. American J Plant Sci. 2021;12:586-602.
- 62. Ibrahim AU, Yadav B, Raj A, Magashi AI. Heterosis studies in durum wheat (*Triticum*

durum L.). J Genet Genom Plant Breed. 2020;4:2-8. 11.

- 63. Jaiswal A, Singh L, Singh M, Singh SV, Kumar S, Jatav AL, Singh RK. Analysis of correlation and path coefficient for yield and yield related traits in wheat genotypes. The Pharma Innov J. 2022;1:294-298.
- 64. Desheva G. Correlation and pathcoefficient analysis of quantitative characters in winter bread wheat varieties. Trakia J Sci. 2016;1:24-29.
- 65. Phougat D, Panwar IS, Saharan RP, Singh V, Anuradha. Genetic diversity and association studies for yield attributing traits in bread wheat [*Triticum aestivum* (L.) em.Thell]. Res Crops. 2017;18 (1):139- 144.
- 66. Arya VK, Singh J, Kumar L, Kumar R, Kumar P, Chand P. Genetic variability and diversity analysis for yield and its components in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Indian J Agri Res. 2017;51(2):128- 34.
- 67. Abdul Hamid MIE, Qabil N & El-Saadony FMA. Genetic variability, correlation and path analyses for yield and yield components of some bread wheat genotypes. J Plant Prod 2017;8(8):845- 852.
- 68. Madić M, Knežević D, Đurović D, Paunović A, Stevović V, Tomić D, Đekić V. Assessment of the correlation between grain yield and its components in spring barley on an acidic soil. Acta Agri Serbica. 2019;24(47):41-49.
- 69. Negash G, Lule D, Jalata Z. Correlations and path Analysis of some quantitative characters in barley (*Hordeum vulgareum* L.) landraces in western Oromia, Ethiopia. African J Plant Sci. 2019;13(2):34-46.
- 70. Ashish, Sethi SK, Phougat V, Antim D. Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) genotypes for yield and its

contributing traits. J Pharmacog Phytochem*.* 2020;9(6):388-391.

- 71. Aklilu E, Dejene T, Worede F. Genotypic and phenotypic correlation and path coefficient analysis for yield and yield related traits in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) Landraces in North Gondar, Ethiopia. Ind. J. Pure App. Biosci. 2020;8(3):24-36.
- 72. Gelalcha S, Hanchinal RR. Correlation and path analysis in yield and yield components in spring bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes under irrigated condition in Southern India. African J Agri Res*.* 2013;8(24);3186- 3192.
- 73. Wahidy S, Suresh BG, Lavanya GR. Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in wheat germplasm (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Int J Multidis Res Dev. 2016;3(7):24-7.
- 74. Mecha B, Alamerew S, Assefa Al, Assefa E, Dutamo D. Correlation and path coefficient studies of yield and yield associated traits in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes. Adv Plants Agri Res. 2017;6(5):128-136.
- 75. Saleh MM, Moussa DS, Nader AI, Abdurahman AL. Correlation, regression and path analysis among yield and yield traits in *Triticum dicoccum.* J Exp Sci. 2018;9:24-27.
- 76. Sharma P, Kamboj MC, Singh N, Mehar C, Yadava RK. Path coefficient and correlation studies of yield and yield associated traits in advanced homozygous lines of bread wheat germplasm. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci. 2018;7(2):51- 63.
- 77. Pooja, Chawla V, Singh V, Yadav S. Path coefficient and correlation studies of yield and yield associated traits in diverse genotypes of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum L*.). Int J Chem Stud. 2018;6(3):73-76.

_________________________________________________________________________________ *© 2023 Sharma et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

> *Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/98995*